SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY
3 1223 09951 6420

5 / S

San Francisco Public Library

Government Information Center
San Francisco Public Library
100 Larkin Street 5th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94102

REFERENCE BOOK
Not to be taken from the Library

Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2014

https://archive.org/details/ordinance1996sanf

3 1223 09951 6420

FILE NO. 27-95-19 ORDINANCE NO. 1-96

CC

(Airport-Leases Modifications)

APPROVING MODIFICATION OF LEASES DTFA08-92-L-14110, DTFA08-93-L-14138 AND DTFA08-92-L-14111 BY AND BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, ACTING BY AND THROUGH ITS AIRPORTS COMMISSION.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 7.402-1 of the Charter, the Board of Supervisors hereby approves Modification for Leases DTFA08-92-L-14110, DTFA08-93-L-14138 and DTFA08-92-L-14111, and the City and County of San Francisco, acting by and through its Airports Commission, copies of which Modification are contained in Board of Supervisors' file number 27-95-19.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

By Yvonne E. Fowler
Deputy City Attorney

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
January 2, 1996	January 8, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hallinan Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley Teng	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley
Absent: Supervisors Hsieh Kennedy	Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Teng

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
27-95-19

1-11-96
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JAN 25 1996

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 27-95-20 ORDINANCE NO. 2-96

AS AMENDED IN COMMITTEE - 12/19/95

CC

(Airport-Lease Modifications)

APPROVING, RETROACTIVELY, LEASE NUMBERS DTFA08-95-L-14713, DTFA08-95-L-14717, DTFA08-95-L-14708 AND DTFA08-95-L-14709 BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION (FAA) AND THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, ACTING BY AND THROUGH ITS AIRPORTS COMMISSION.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 7.405 of the Charter, the Board of Supervisors hereby approves, retroactively, Lease Numbers DTFA08-95-L-14713, DTFA08-95-L-14717, DTFA08-95-L-14708 and DTFA08-95-L-14709 between the United States of America, Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) and the City and County of San Francisco, acting by and through its Airports Commission, copies of which Leases are contained in Board of Supervisors' file number 27-95-20.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
January 2, 1996	January 8, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hallinan Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley Teng	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley
Absent: Supervisors Hsieh Kennedy	Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Teng

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John Taylor
Clerk

File No. 27-95-20

1-11-96
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JAN 25 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 34-95-3 ORDINANCE NO. 3-96

AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 1061 ENTITLED "REGULATING THE WIDTH OF SIDEWALKS" BY ADDING THERETO SECTION NO. 1520 CHANGING THE OFFICIAL WIDTHS OF SIDEWALKS ON A PORTION OF FLORIDA STREET BETWEEN 17 TH STREET & MARIPOSA STREET, OF A PORTION OF INDIANA STREET BETWEEN 23 RD STREET & 25 TH STREET, AND OF A PORTION OF INDIANA STREET BETWEEN 25 TH STREET & 26 TH STREET, AS SHOWN ON THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS DRAWINGS LABELED Q-20-427, Q-20-428 AND Q-20-429 AND MAKING FINDINGS PURSUANT TO PLANNING CODE SECTION 101.1.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

SECTION 1: Ordinance No. 1061 entitled " Regulating the Width of Sidewalks", be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication filed in the Office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered as follows:

Section 1520. The width of sidewalks on a) A portion of Florida Street between 17 th Street & Mariposa Street, shall be as shown on the Department of Public Works drawing labeled Q-20-427, b) a portion of Indiana Street between 23 rd Street & 25 th Street, shall be as shown on the Department of Public Works drawing labeled Q-20-428, and c) a portion of Indiana Street between 25 th Street & 26 th Street, shall be as shown on the Department of Public Works drawing labeled Q-20-429.

SECTION 2: The Planning Department in its letter dated July 17, 1995 states that the above described changes of official sidewalk widths are in conformity with the Master Plan and makes findings of consistency with the Eight Priority Policies of Planning Code Section 101.1.

The San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings made by the Planning Department in its letter dated July 17, 1995, that the project intended by the proposed changes of official sidewalk widths are consistent with the Eight Priority Policies of Planning Code Section 101.1.

The Bureau of Engineering, Department of Public Works, as is necessary as a result of this Ordinance, shall make arrangements with Public Utility companies and City Departments for the relocation and / or adjustment of their facilities in the affected sidewalk and street areas.

RECOMMENDED:

Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

City Engineer

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

By

Deputy City Attorney

APPROVED:

Chief Administrative Officer

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JAN 25 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	$	Finally Passed
	$	
January 2, 1996	$	January 8, 1996
	$	
	$	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano	$	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano
Bierman Hallinan Kaufman	$	Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Leal Migden
Leal Migden Shelley Teng	$	Shelley
	$	
Absent: Supervisors Hsieh Kennedy	$	Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Teng

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
34-95-3

1-11-96
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 36-95-6.1 ORDINANCE NO. 4-96

(Zoning Map Amendments for Westwood Park Residential Character District)

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER II OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (CITY PLANNING CODE) BY AMENDING THE ZONING MAP OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR CERTAIN PROPERTIES IN THE WESTWOOD PARK SUBDIVISION, GENERALLY BOUNDED BY OCEAN AVENUE, PLYMOUTH AVENUE (INCLUDING EAST SIDE PROPERTIES), GREENWOOD AVENUE (INCLUDING SOUTH SIDE PROPERTIES), JUDSON AVENUE, PHELAN AVENUE, FLOOD AVENUE, RIDGEWOOD AVENUE, SOUTH SIDE OF MONTEREY BOULEVARD AND FAXON AVENUE (INCLUDING WEST SIDE PROPERTIES), ALL OF ASSESSOR'S BLOCKS 3106-3113, 3133-3138, 3160-3166, 3173-3178, 3189-3190 AND PORTIONS OF ASSESSOR'S BLOCKS 3167, 3191 AND 3196-3199, TO CREATE THE WESTWOOD PARK RESIDENTIAL CHARACTER DISTRICT.

/

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

/

Section 1. Special Use Sectional Map 12SU of the Zoning Map of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby amended to include the Westwood Park Residential Character District with boundaries as shown on the map on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors under File No. 36-95-6.1 and as generally shown on Exhibit A, attached hereto. Description of Property: Assessor's Blocks 3106-3113, 3133-

3138, 3160-3166, 3173-3178, 3189-3190; Lots 1-9 in Assessor's Block 3167; Lots 1-6 in Assessor's Block 3191; Lots 1-3 and 18-27 in Assessor's Block 3196; Lots 1-5 and 12-14 in Assessor's Block 3197; Lots 1-10 and 17-27 in Assessor's Block 3198; and Lots 1-11, 15, 16, 18, and 19 in Assessor's Block 3199.

/

Section 2. Pursuant to Section 101.1 of the City Planning Code, this Board of Supervisors adopts City Planning Commission Resolution No. 13992 approving the findings related to the Eight Priority Policies of Section 101.1 of the City Planning Code.

/

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

/

By: _____
Deputy City Attorney

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JAN 25 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

cc

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
	§	
January 2, 1996	§	January 8, 1996
	§	
	§	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano	§	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano
Bierman Hallinan Kaufman	§	Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Leal Migden
Leal Migden Shelley Teng	§	Shelley
	§	
Absent: Supervisors Hsieh Kennedy	§	Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Teng

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No.
36-95-6.1

1-11-96
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 36-95-6.2 ORDINANCE NO. 5-96

(Zoning Map Amendments for Westwood Park Height and Bulk District)

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER II OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (CITY PLANNING CODE) BY AMENDING THE ZONING MAP OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR CERTAIN PROPERTIES IN THE WESTWOOD PARK SUBDIVISION, GENERALLY BOUNDED BY OCEAN AVENUE, PLYMOUTH AVENUE (INCLUDING EAST SIDE PROPERTIES), GREENWOOD AVENUE (INCLUDING SOUTH SIDE PROPERTIES), JUDSON AVENUE, PHELAN AVENUE, FLOOD AVENUE, RIDGEWOOD AVENUE, SOUTH SIDE OF MONTEREY BOULEVARD AND FAXON AVENUE (INCLUDING WEST SIDE PROPERTIES), ALL OF ASSESSOR'S BLOCKS 3106-3113, 3133-3138, 3160-3166, 3173-3178, 3189-3190 AND PORTIONS OF ASSESSOR'S BLOCKS 3167, 3191 AND 3196-3199, TO RECLASSIFY THESE PROPERTIES FROM A 40-X HEIGHT AND BULK DISTRICT TO A 28-X HEIGHT AND BULK DISTRICT.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Sectional Map 12H of the Zoning Map of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby amended to reclassify the height and bulk district from a 40-X Height and Bulk District to a 28-X Height and Bulk District for the Westwood Park Subdivision, with boundaries as shown on the map on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors under File No. 36-95-6.2 and as generally shown on Exhibit A, attached hereto.

Supervisor Shelley

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Description of Property

Assessor's Blocks 3106-3113, 3133-3138, 3160-3166, 3173-3178, 3189-3190; Lots 1-9 in Assessor's Block 3167; Lots 1-6 in Block 3191; Lots 1-3 and 18-27 in Block 3196; Lots 1-5 and 12-14 in Block 3197; Lots 1-10 and 17-27 in Block 3198; Lots 1-11, 15, 16, 18 and19 in Block 3199.

Section 2. Pursuant to Section 101.1 of the City Planning Code, this Board of Supervisors adopts City Planning Commission Resolution No. 13992 approving the findings related to the Eight Priority Policies of Section 101.1 of the City Planning Code.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

By: [signature]
Deputy City Attorney

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JAN 25 1996

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	$	Finally Passed
	$	
January 2, 1996	$	January 8, 1996
	$	
	$	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hallinan Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley Teng	$	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley
	$	
Absent: Supervisors Hsieh Kennedy	$	Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Teng

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
36-95-6.2

1-11-96
Date Approved

Gavin Newsom
Mayor

FILE NO. 36-95-7.1 ORDINANCE NO. 6-96

[Zoning Map Change]

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER II OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (CITY PLANNING CODE) BY ADOPTING CHANGES IN PROPERTY USE CLASSIFICATION AS AN AMENDMENT TO THE ZONING MAP OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR PROPERTY GENERALLY DESCRIBED AS ASSESSOR'S BLOCK 647, LOT 12 FROM AN RM-3 DISTRICT TO AN NC-3 NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL DISTRICT AND ADOPTING FINDINGS PURSUANT TO PLANNING CODE SECTION 101.1

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Pursuant to resolution of the Board of Supervisors, adopting the final negative declaration as its own, and pursuant to Section 302(c) of Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code), the following change in property use classification, duly approved by resolution of the City Planning Commission, is hereby adopted as an amendment to the Zoning Map of the City and County of San Francisco as shown on the Map on File with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors under File No. 4608.

/

/

Description of Property	Use District to be Superseded	Use District Hereby Approved
BEGINNING at the corner formed by the intersection of the southerly line of California Street with the easterly line of Franklin Street; and running	RM-3 (Residential Mixed, Medium Density District)	NC-3 (Medium Scale Neighborhood Commercial District

thence easterly along said line of California Street 90 feet 6 inches; thence at right angles southerly 137 feet 6 inches; thence at right angles westerly 90 feet 6 inches to said easterly line of Francisco Street; and thence along the last said line 137 feet 6 inches to the point of beginning, being a portion of 50 Vara Lot No. 4 of Block 87 Western Addition.

Section 2. Pursuant to Planning Code Section 101.1, this Board of Supervisors adopts City Planning Commission Resolution No. 13974 approving findings related to the Eight Priority Policies of Section 101.1 of the Planning Code.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

By Naren Ambrose
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

By L R Blazej
Lucian Blazej
Director of Planning

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JAN 25 1996

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

cc

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	$	Finally Passed
	$	
January 2, 1996	$	January 8, 1996
	$	
	$	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hallinan Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley Teng	$	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley
	$	
Absent: Supervisors Hsieh Kennedy	$	Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Teng

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
36-95-7.1

1-11-96
Date Approved

Frank Jordan
Mayor

FILE NO. 45-95-53 ORDINANCE NO. 7-96

RO #95097

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF STATE COMPENSATION INSURANCE FUND AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO for $11,000.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section I. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "State Compensation Insurance Fund v. City and County of San Francisco, et al.," Municipal Court No. 103-104 by the payment of $11,000.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

PATRICK J. MAHONEY
Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

JOHN E. CRIBBS
Director

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

EDWARD M. HARRINGTON
Controller

1G AGF AAA 97 09 90
975016 05311 $11,000.00
11-20-95

APPROVED:

WILLIAM LEE
Chief Administrator Officer

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

CC

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
January 2, 1996	January 8, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hallinan Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley Teng	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley
Absent: Supervisors Hsieh Kennedy	Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Teng

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 45-95-53

1-11-96
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JAN 25 1996
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

AS AMENDED IN COMMITTEE - 12/19/95

CC

FILE NO. 45-95-54

ORDINANCE NO. 8-96

RO # 95102

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF CHARLES JOHNSON AND DIANE JOHNSON AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $17,500.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "Charles Johnson and Diane Johnson v. City and County of San Francisco, S.F. Giants, et al.", San Francisco Superior Court No. 957-633, by the payment ~~recovery~~ of $17,500.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

PATRICK J. MAHONEY
Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:

RECREATION AND PARK DEPARTMENT

MARY E. BURNS
General Manager

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

EDWARD HARRINGTON
Controller

1G AGF AAA 97 09 42
975052 05311 $17,500.00
12-01-95

APPROVED:

RECREATION & PARK COMMISSION

SECRETARY

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
January 2, 1996	January 8, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hallinan Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley Teng	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley
Absent: Supervisors Hsieh Kennedy	Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Teng

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 45-95-54

1-11-96
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JAN 25 1996
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 45-95-55

ORDINANCE NO. 9-96

RO #95107

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF FORD CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC. AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $90,000.00

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "FORD CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC. V. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al.", Superior Court No. 963-502, by the payment of $90,000.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

PATRICK MAHONEY
Chief Trial Deputy

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

CONTROLLER

1G AGF AAA 97 09 90
975016 05311 $90,000.00
12/06/95

RECOMMENDED:

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

JOHN E. CRIBBS
Director Of Public works

APPROVED:

WILLIAM LEE
Chief Administrative Officer

RECREATION & PARKS COMMISSION

SECRETARY

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
January 2, 1996	§	January 8, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hallinan Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley Teng	§	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley
Absent: Supervisors Hsieh Kennedy	§	Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Teng

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No.
45-95-55

1-11-96
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JAN 25 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 82-95-4 ORDINANCE NO. 10-96

[Sale of Easement]

AUTHORIZING CONVEYANCE OF EASEMENT OVER WATER DEPARTMENT RIGHT-OF-WAY IN SANTA CLARA COUNTY PURSUANT TO SETTLEMENT APPROVED BY PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. A settlement has been reached in the case of City and County of San Francisco v. The Board of Trustees of the Leland J. Stanford, Jr. University, et al., Santa Clara County Superior Court No. P55676, which settlement was approved by the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission on July 11, 1995. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, which is a clarification and elaboration of the rights of Stanford University ("Stanford") under a Condemnation Decree (recorded January 20, 1953, in Volume 2566 of the Official Records, at page 36, Santa Clara County Records), the City and County of San Francisco will convey an easement to Stanford for existing uses and any "permitted future uses" that meet criteria set forth in the Grant of Easement; Stanford will pay to the City $70,000 upon approval of the settlement, plus $17,500 annually for the use of the easement, subject to adjustments.

Section 2. In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Director of Property and City Attorney, the Board of Supervisors hereby approves the conveyance

as described above and authorizes the Mayor and Clerk of the Board to execute the deed conveying the above-described easement according to the terms and conditions of the Settlement Agreement.

Section 3. The conveyance of the subject easement to Stanford, the adjoining owner, will not affect the City's Master Plan.

RECOMMENDED:

Director of Property

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

By
Manager of Utilities
(Pursuant to PUC Res. No. 95-0123)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

By:
ROBIN M. Reitzes
Deputy City Attorney

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JAN 25 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
	§	
January 2, 1996	§	January 8, 1996
	§	
	§	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hallinan Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley Teng	§	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley
	§	
Absent: Supervisors Hsieh Kennedy	§	Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Teng

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
82-95-4

1-11-96
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 93-95-6.2 ORDINANCE NO. 11-96

(Memorandum of Understanding)

AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 116-95 TO CORRECT CLERICAL ERRORS IN THE LANGUAGE TO THE PROVISION ADDRESSING OVERTIME OF THE MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN THE CARPENTERS, LOCAL 22 AND THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR THE PERIOD JULY 1, 1995 THROUGH JUNE 30, 1996.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors hereby amends the provisions of the Memorandum of Understanding between the Carpenters, Local 22 and the City and County of San Francisco for the period July 1, 1995 through June 30, 1996 to correct non-economic clerical errors and ommissions. The provisions so amended are on file in the office of the Board of Supervisors.

APPROVED AS TO FORM

LOUISE H. RENNE
CITY ATTORNEY

DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

CC

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
January 2, 1996	January 8, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hallinan Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley Teng	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley
Absent: Supervisors Hsieh Kennedy	Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Teng

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 93-95-6.2

1-11-96
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JAN 25 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 93-95-11.2 ORDINANCE NO. 12-96

(Memorandum of Understanding)

AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 121-95 TO CORRECT CLERICAL ERRORS IN THE LANGUAGE TO THE PROVISION ADDRESSING OVERTIME OF THE MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN THE CEMENT MASONS, LOCAL 580 AND THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR THE PERIOD JULY 1, 1995 THROUGH JUNE 30, 1996.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors hereby amends the provisions of the Memorandum of Understanding between the Cement Masons, Local 580 and the City and County of San Francisco for the period July 1, 1995 through June 30, 1996 to correct non-economic clerical errors and ommissions. The provisions so amended are on file in the office of the Board of Supervisors.

APPROVED AS TO FORM

LOUISE H. RENNE
CITY ATTORNEY

DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

cc

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
January 2, 1996	January 8, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hallinan Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley Teng	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley
Absent: Supervisors Hsieh Kennedy	Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Teng

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No.
93-95-11.2

1-11-96
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT
JAN 25 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 93-95-12.2 ORDINANCE NO. 13-96

(Memorandum of Understanding)

AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 122-95 TO CORRECT CLERICAL ERRORS IN THE LANGUAGE TO THE PROVISION ADDRESSING OVERTIME OF THE MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN THE SHEETMETAL WORKERS, LOCAL 104 AND THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR THE PERIOD JULY 1, 1995 THROUGH JUNE 30, 1996.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors hereby amends the provisions of the Memorandum of Understanding between the Sheetmetal Workers, Local 104 and the City and County of San Francisco for the period July 1, 1995 through June 30, 1996 to correct non-economic clerical errors and ommissions. The provisions so amended are on file in the office of the Board of Supervisors.

APPROVED AS TO FORM

LOUISE H. RENNE
CITY ATTORNEY

DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
January 2, 1996	January 8, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hallinan Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley Teng	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley
Absent: Supervisors Hsieh Kennedy	Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Teng

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
93-95-12.2

1-11-96
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JAN 25 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 93-95-13.2 ORDINANCE NO. 14-96

(Memorandum of Understanding)

AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 123-95 TO CORRECT CLERICAL ERRORS IN THE LANGUAGE TO THE PROVISION ADDRESSING OVERTIME OF THE MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN THE INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF ELECTRICAL WORKERS, LOCAL 6 AND THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR THE PERIOD JULY 1, 1995 THROUGH JUNE 30, 1996.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors hereby amends the provisions of the Memorandum of Understanding between the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 6 and the City and County of San Francisco for the period July 1, 1995 through June 30, 1996 to correct non-economic clerical errors and ommissions. The provisions so amended are on file in the office of the Board of Supervisors.

APPROVED AS TO FORM

LOUISE H. RENNE
CITY ATTORNEY

DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
January 2, 1996	January 8, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hallinan Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley Teng	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley
Absent: Supervisors Hsieh Kennedy	Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Teng

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 93-95-13.2

1-11-96
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT
JAN 25 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 93-95-14.2 ORDINANCE NO. 15-96

(Memorandum of Understanding)

AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 124-95 TO CORRECT CLERICAL ERRORS IN THE LANGUAGE TO THE PROVISION ADDRESSING OVERTIME OF THE MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN THE INTERNATIONAL ALLIANCE OF THEATRICAL STAGE EMPLOYEES, LOCAL 16 AND THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR THE PERIOD JULY 1, 1995 THROUGH JUNE 30, 1996.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors hereby amends the provisions of the Memorandum of Understanding between the International Alliance of Theatrical Stage Employees, Local 16 and the City and County of San Francisco for the period July 1, 1995 through June 30, 1996 to correct non-economic clerical errors and ommissions. The provisions so amended are on file in the office of the Board of Supervisors.

APPROVED AS TO FORM

LOUISE H. RENNE
CITY ATTORNEY

DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
January 2, 1996	January 8, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hallinan Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley Teng	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley
Absent: Supervisors Hsieh Kennedy	Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Teng

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 93-95-14.2

1-11-96
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JAN 25 1996
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 93-95-16.2 ORDINANCE NO. 16-96

(Memorandum of Understanding)

AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 126-95 TO CORRECT CLERICAL ERRORS IN THE LANGUAGE TO THE PROVISION ADDRESSING OVERTIME OF THE MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN THE ROOFERS, LOCAL 40 AND THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR THE PERIOD JULY 1, 1995 THROUGH JUNE 30, 1996.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors hereby amends the provisions of the Memorandum of Understanding between the Roofers, Local 40 and the City and County of San Francisco for the period July 1, 1995 through June 30, 1996 to correct non-economic clerical errors and ommissions. The provisions so amended are on file in the office of the Board of Supervisors.

APPROVED AS TO FORM

LOUISE H. RENNE
CITY ATTORNEY

DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

CC

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
January 2, 1996	January 8, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hallinan Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley Teng	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley
Absent: Supervisors Hsieh Kennedy	Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Teng

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John Taylor
Clerk

File No.
93-95-16.2

1-11-96
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JAN 25 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 93-95-19.2 ORDINANCE NO. 17-96

(Memorandum of Understanding)

AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 129-95 TO CORRECT CLERICAL ERRORS IN THE LANGUAGE TO THE PROVISION ADDRESSING OVERTIME OF THE MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN THE PILE DRIVERS, LOCAL 34 AND THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR THE PERIOD JULY 1, 1995 THROUGH JUNE 30, 1996.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors hereby amends the provisions of the Memorandum of Understanding between the Pile Drivers, Local 34 and the City and County of San Francisco for the period July 1, 1995 through June 30, 1996 to correct non-economic clerical errors and ommissions. The provisions so amended are on file in the office of the Board of Supervisors.

APPROVED AS TO FORM

LOUISE H. RENNE
CITY ATTORNEY

DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
January 2, 1996	January 8, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hallinan Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley Teng	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley
Absent: Supervisors Hsieh Kennedy	Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Teng

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 93-95-19.2

1-11-96
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JAN 25 1996
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 93-95-24.2 ORDINANCE NO. 18-96

(Memorandum of Understanding)

AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 132-95 TO CORRECT CLERICAL ERRORS IN THE LANGUAGE TO THE PROVISION ADDRESSING OVERTIME OF THE MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN THE PROBATION OFFICERS ASSOCIATION, LOCAL 856 AND THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR THE PERIOD JULY 1, 1995 THROUGH JUNE 30, 1996.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors hereby amends the provisions of the Memorandum of Understanding between the Probation Officers Association and the City and County of San Francisco for the period July 1, 1995 through June 30, 1996 to correct non-economic clerical errors and ommissions. The provisions so amended are on file in the office of the Board of Supervisors.

APPROVED AS TO FORM

LOUISE H. RENNE
CITY ATTORNEY

DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

CC

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
January 2, 1996	January 8, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hallinan Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley Teng	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley
Absent: Supervisors Hsieh Kennedy	Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Teng

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 93-95-24.2

1-11-96
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JAN 25 1996
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 93-95-35.3 ORDINANCE NO. 19-96

(Compensation)

ADOPTING AND IMPLEMENTING THE PROVISIONS OF AN ARBITRATOR'S AWARD INTERPRETING THE PROVISIONS OF THE MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING REGARDING THE COMPENSATION OF REAL PROPERTY AUDITORS AND APPRAISERS BETWEEN THE INTERNATIONAL FEDERATION OF PROFESSIONAL AND TECHNICAL ENGINEERS, LOCAL 21, AFL-CIO AND THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO TO BE EFFECTIVE RETROACTIVE TO JULY 1, 1994.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors hereby implements the provisions of the arbitrator's award originally dated March 29, 1995 as amended November 6, 1995, resolving a long-standing dispute regarding the compensation of Real Property Auditors and Appraisers and interpreting the provisions of the previously approved Memorandum of Understanding between the International Federation of Professional and Technical Engineers, Local 21, AFL-CIO and the City and County of San Francisco The award so implemented shall be retroactively effective as of July 1, 1994 and is on file in the office of the Board of Supervisors.

APPROVED AS TO FORM

LOUISE H. RENNE
CITY ATTORNEY

Vicki A. Clayton
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Supervisor Shelley

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
January 2, 1996	January 8, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hallinan Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley Teng	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley
Absent: Supervisors Hsieh Kennedy	Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Teng

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John Taylor
Clerk

File No.
93-95-35.3

1-11-96
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JAN 25 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 93-95-43.1 ORDINANCE NO. 20-96

(Retirement)

ADOPTING AND IMPLEMENTING THE PROVISIONS OF AMENDMENT NUMBER 2 TO THE MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN THE INTERNATIONAL UNION OF OPERATING ENGINEERS STATIONARY, LOCAL 39 AND THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO PROVIDING THAT ALL COVERED EMPLOYEES BE PLACED INTO FULL RETIREMENT CONTRIBUTION EFFECTIVE TWO PAY PERIODS AFTER APPROVAL OF THIS ORDINANCE BY THE MAYOR.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors hereby implements the provisions of amendment 2 to the Memorandum of Understanding between the International Union of Operating Engineers Stationary, Local 39 and the City and County of San Francisco providing that all covered employees be placed into full retirement contribution effective two pay periods after approval of this ordinance by the Mayor. The provisions so implemented are on file in the office of the Board of Supervisors.

APPROVED AS TO FORM

LOUISE H. RENNE
CITY ATTORNEY

Vulia A. Clayton
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

cc

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
January 2, 1996	§	January 8, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hallinan Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley Teng	§	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley
Absent: Supervisors Hsieh Kennedy	§	Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Teng

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
93-95-43.1

1-11-96
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JAN 25 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 93-95-52

ORDINANCE NO. 21-96

(Memorandum of Understanding)

gj 12/19 ADOPTING AND IMPLEMENTING, RETROACTIVELY, THE PROVISIONS OF A MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN THE FREIGHT CHECKERS, CLERICAL EMPLOYEES & HELPERS, LOCAL 856 AND THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR BARGAINING UNIT 11B, SUPERVISING REGISTERED NURSES, FOR THE PERIOD JULY 1, 1994 THROUGH JUNE 30, 1996.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco.

gj 12/19 Section 1. The Board of Supervisors hereby implements, retroactively, the provisions within its charter authority of the Memorandum of Understanding between the Freight Checkers, Clerical Employees & Helpers, Local 856 and the City and County of San Francisco for bargaining unit 11B, Supervising Registered Nurses, to be effective July 1, 1994 through June 30, 1996. The provisions so implemented are on file in the office of the Board of Supervisors.

APPROVED AS TO FORM

LOUISE H. RENNE
CITY ATTORNEY

DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
January 2, 1996	January 8, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hallinan Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley Teng	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley
Absent: Supervisors Hsieh Kennedy	Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Teng

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No. 93-95-52

1-11-96
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT

JAN 25 1996

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 97-95-60 ORDINANCE NO. 22-96

[Electronic Equipment Procurement]

ADDING SECTION 3.021 TO THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE, ENABLING THE ELECTRONIC INFORMATION PROCESSING STEERING COMMITTEE TO REVIEW PROCUREMENT OF ELECTRONIC INFORMATION PROCESSING RESOURCES CONDUCTED THROUGH OR IN CONJUNCTION WITH STATE OR FEDERAL AGENCIES AND PROGRAMS.

Note: Entire section is new.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

The San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by adding Section 3.021 thereto, which reads as follows:

SEC. 3.021. STEERING COMMITTEE: REVIEW OF PROCUREMENTS CONDUCTED THROUGH OR IN CONJUNCTION WITH STATE OR FEDERAL AGENCIES AND PROGRAMS; REPORTS. (a) **Steering Committee Review.** The Steering Committee shall review all electronic information processing resources procurements conducted through or in conjunction with state or federal agencies and programs.

(b) **Department Reports.** Heads of departments which conduct a procurement described under paragraph (a) above shall, as soon as is reasonably possible, file with the Steering Committee a

report describing such procurement and containing the information listed in paragraph (c) below, and shall supplement such report with any additional information which the Steering Committee may request.

(c) **Steering Committee Reports.** Each fiscal year, the Steering Committee shall submit to the Budget Analyst, and to the Board Of Supervisors for referral to a Board committee, a complete list of the preceding year's procurements described under paragraph (a) above. For each such procurement, the Steering Committee shall provide to the Budget Analyst information including the following: (1) prices to be paid for hardware and software; (2) competitive industry prices for comparable hardware and software from authorized sources; (3) training and staffing requirements for use and support of the hardware and software; (4) software application compatibility with City standards; (5) software application compatibility with Standard Query Language standards; (b) a long range forecast of system support costs; (7) existence of cost-efficient alternatives; (8) compliance with the City's Video Display Terminal Ordinance; and (9) any other factors the Steering Committee deems relevant to such procurement. The Budget Analyst shall thereafter prepare and submit a report to the

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JAN 25 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors which shall include the information listed above as well as any other information the Budget Analyst deems relevant to such procurements.

Approved as to form:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

SUPERVISORS TENG, AMMIANO, BIERMAN

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

PAGE NO. 83
12/22/95

cc

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
January 2, 1996	January 8, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hallinan Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley Teng	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley
Absent: Supervisors Hsieh Kennedy	Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Teng

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

_____
Clerk

File No.
97-95-60

1-11-96
Date Approved

_____
Mayor

FILE NO. 97-95-66 ORDINANCE NO. 23-96

[Credit Cards]
AMENDING THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY ADDING SECTION 10.11-4 THERETO TO AUTHORIZE THE DEPARTMENT OF ANIMAL CARE AND CONTROL OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO TO ACCEPT PAYMENTS BY CREDIT CARD AND ATM CARD.

NOTE: This entire section is new.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by adding Section 10.11-4 thereto to read as follows:

SEC. 10.11-4. PAYMENT BY CREDIT CARD. The Department of Animal Care and Control of the City and County is hereby authorized to accept credit cards and ATM cards for any license or registration or the payment of fees, penalties and other charges owed to the Department in connection with the care and control of animals. Payment by credit card or ATM card may be accepted for payments of greater than $10. Credit card and ATM card payments shall be restricted to in-person payments with valid identification. If the Department of Animal Care and Control wishes to accept credit cards or ATM cards in payment for any license or registration or the payment of fees, penalties and other charges owed to the Department in connection with the care

and control of animals, the Department shall obtain approval of the Treasurer and Controller prior to the establishment of any credit card or ATM card payment system.

Approved as to Form:
LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JAN 25 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
January 2, 1996	January 8, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hallinan Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley Teng	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley
Absent: Supervisors Hsieh Kennedy	Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Teng

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
97-95-66

1-11-96
Date Approved

Willie L. Brown Jr.
Mayor

FILE NO. 101-95-29

AS AMENDED IN COMMITTEE
12/20/95

ORDINANCE NO. 24-96

(Government Funding)

RO#95092
SA#27

12/20/95

APPROPRIATING ~~$25,545~~ $19,218 OF JAIL OVERCROWDING FINE REVENUE TO PROFESSIONAL SERVICES TO ALLOW THE SHERIFF DEPARTMENT TO FUND THE SUPERVISED MISDEMEANOR RELEASE PROGRAM TO REDUCE JAIL OVERCROWDING FOR FISCAL YEAR 1995-96. PLACING ~~$25,545~~ $19,218 ON RESERVE.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for FY 1995-96 as follows:

Department and Number	Source of Funds and Purpose of Appropriation	Amount Debit	Amount Credit
IG-AGF-AAA	General Fund		
600-60707 (064100)	Jail Overcrowding Fine Revenue	$19,218 ~~$25,545~~	
SH-SHF	Sheriff Department		
Proj. PSHJOP	Jail Overcrowding Project		
021-02799 (064100)	Other Professional Services		$19,218 ~~$26,545~~
	Total	$19,218 ~~$25,545~~	$19,218 ~~$25,545~~

2/20/95 grh

Section 2. Funds in the amount of $25,545 for the Sheriff are hereby placed on reserve pending approval of the Special Master.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: [signature]
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE
EDWARD M. HARRINGTON

BY: [signature]
CONTROLLER

n:\sscal\approp\06sa#27

CC

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading
January 2, 1996

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hallinan Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley Teng

Absent: Supervisors Hsieh Kennedy

Finally Passed
January 8, 1996

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley

Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Teng

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

[signature]
Clerk

File No. 101-95-29

1-11-96
Date Approved

[signature]
Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT
JAN 25 1996
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 101-95-30 AS AMENDED IN COMMITTEE 12/20/95 ORDINANCE NO. 25-96

(Government Funding) RO#95091 SA#35

12/20/95 grh

$114,799, $8,799

APPROPRIATING ~~$148,281, $42,281~~ FROM THE GENERAL FUND RESERVE AND $106,000 FROM RESCINDING CONTRACTUAL SERVICES, TO SALARIES AND FRINGE BENEFITS TO ALLOW THE MEDICAL EXAMINER TO CREATE THREE (3) POSITIONS TO CONTINUE BODY REMOVAL SERVICES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1995-96.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for FY 1995-96 as follows:

Department and Number	Source of Funds and Purpose of Appropriation	Amount Debit	Amount Credit
IG-AGF-AAA	General Fund Non-Project Controlled		
UN-UNA	General Fund Unallocated		
097-09799 (CON000174)	General Fund Reserve	$ 8,799 ~~$42,281~~	
CM-CME	Medical Examiner		
021-02899 (745004)	Contractual Services	$106,000	
001-00101 (745004)	Permanent Salaries		$59,157 ~~$76,410~~
001-01101 (745004)	Overtime		$ 7,861 ~~$10,154~~
001-00901 (745004)	Premium Pay		$ 6,691 ~~$8,643~~
001-01201 (745004)	Holiday Pay - Miscellaneous		$ 4,041 ~~$5,219~~
001-00501 (745004)	Temporary - Regular - Miscellaneous		$24,035 ~~$31,046~~
013-01300 (745004)	Mandatory Fringe Benefits		$13,014 ~~$16,810~~
	Total	$114,799 ~~$148,281~~	$114,799 ~~$148,281~~

Section 2. Funds are hereby appropriated for the creation of Three (3) positions by amendment to the Annual Salary Ordinance (Board of Supervisors File No. 102-95-7) as follows:

Classification	Title	Rate	Created
2580 N	Coroner Investigator	1714B2084	3

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: _____
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES

BY: _____ for Walt Pryor
DIRECTOR

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
EDWARD M. HARRINGTON
CONTROLLER

BY: _____

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DOCUMENTS DEPT
JAN 25 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
	§	
January 2, 1996	§	January 8, 1996
	§	
	§	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano	§	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano
Bierman Hallinan Kaufman	§	Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Leal Migden
Leal Migden Shelley Teng	§	Shelley
	§	
Absent: Supervisors Hsieh Kennedy	§	Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Teng

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
101-95-30

1-11-96
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 101-95-31

ORDINANCE NO. 26-96

(Government Funding)

RO#95093
SA#37

APPROPRIATING $172,620 OF DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROGRAM FUNDS TO ALLOW THE MAYOR 'S OFFICE OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT TO CONTINUE CONTRACTS FOR DISPUTE RESOLUTION SERVICES IN 1995-1996. PROVIDING FOR RATIFICATION OF ACTION PREVIOUSLY TAKEN.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for FY 1995-96 as follows:

Department and Number	Source of Funds and Purpose of Appropriation	Amount Debit	Amount Credit
2S-GSF-DRP	Dispute Resolution Program Fund		
MY-MYR17	Mayor - Community Development		
GL 399 (008565)	Unreserved Available for Appropriation	$50,000	
600-60106 (MYR17SFCDRP)	Dispute Resolution Filing Fee	$122,620	
001-00101 (MYR17SFCDRP)	Salaries - Miscellaneous Regular		
Grant #9601A	CDRP FY95-96 Administration		$5,000
020-02799 (MYR17SFCDRP)	Professional Services		
Grant #9602A	Community Board Program, Inc.		$86,250
Grant #9602B	California Community Dispute Services		$73,030
Grant #9602C	California Lawyers for the Arts		$8,340
	TOTAL	$172,620	$172,620

Section 2. Although the City has incurred no legal obligation under the Charter, the Board of Supervisors does hereby appropriate the funds necessary for the obligation and does hereby ratify the action previously taken.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: _____
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

n \wpcs\approp\25oa37

EDWARD M. HARRINGTON
CONTROLLER

BY: _____

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JAN 25 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

cc

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
January 2, 1996	January 8, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hallinan Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley Teng	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley
Absent: Supervisors Hsieh Kennedy	Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Teng

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
101-95-31

1-11-96
Date Approved

Willie L. Brown Jr.
Mayor

FILE NO. 101-95-32 ORDINANCE NO. 27-96

(Government Funding)

RO#95037
SA#08

APPROPRIATING $200,000 FROM VARIOUS BOND FUND PROCEEDS (1992 GOLDEN GATE PARK IRRIGATION BONDS AND 1990 EARTHQUAKE SAFETY BONDS) FOR BOND ISSUANCE COSTS FOR THE OFFICES OF THE CAO, CITY ATTORNEY AND CONTROLLER FOR FISCAL YEAR 1995-96.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for FY 1995-96 as follows:

Department and Number	Source of Funds and Purpose of Appropriation	Amount Debit	Amount Credit
3C-RPF-92B	**1992 Golden Gate Park Irrigation Bond Fund**		
RP-REC	Recreation and Park Department		
CRP6I2RP9500			
800-801-80112 (REC92PDBND95)	Proceeds - Sale of Bonds	112,680	
CT-CAT	City Attorney		
Proj. xxxxxxxxxx 069-06921 (xxxxxxxxxxxx)	Legal Services - City Attorney Programmatic Project-Budget		33,768
CA-CAO	Chief Administrative Officer		
Proj. xxxxxxxxxx 069-06921 (xxxxxxxxxxxx)	Consultation-CAO Programmatic Project-Budget		70,144
CO-CON	Controller		
Proj. xxxxxxxxxx 069-06921 (xxxxxxxxxxxx)	Cost of Issuance - Controller Programmatic Project-Budget		8,768
	Total 1992 Golden Gate Park Impvt. Bonds	112,680	112,680

Department and Number	Source of Funds and Purpose of Appropriation	Amount Debit	Amount Credit
3C-EQS-90B	1990 Earthquake Safety Bonds		
PW-DPW	Public Works		
CATES2BP0801			
800-801-80112 (DPWAAEQS0015)	Proceeds - Sale of Bonds	$87,320	
CT-CAT	City Attorney		
CATES2CAO301 069-06921 (030024)	Legal Services - City Attorney Programmatic Project-Budget		31,232
CA-CAO	Chief Administrative Officer		
CATES2CO0400 069-06921 (700573)	CAO - Consultation Programmatic Project-Budget		49,856
CON-CON	Controller		
CATES2CO0401 069-06921 (CON310599)	Cost of Issuance -Controller Programmatic Project-Budget		6,232
	Total 1990 Earthquake Safety Bonds	87,320	87,320

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: _____
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
EDWARD M. HARRINGTON
CONTROLLER

BY: _____

n:\ecs\appropr\90SA#08

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JAN 25 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
	§	
January 2, 1996	§	January 8, 1996
	§	
	§	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hallinan Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley Teng	§	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley
	§	
Absent: Supervisors Hsieh Kennedy	§	Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Teng

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
101-95-32

1-11-96
Date Approved

Frank M. Jordan
Mayor

FILE NO. 101-95-34 ORDINANCE NO. 28-96

(Government Funding) RO#95096
SA#38

APPROPRIATING AND RESCINDING $643,091 OF GENERAL FUNDS TO SALARIES, FRINGE BENEFITS, PROFESSIONAL SERVICES, MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES AND ADJUSTING REVENUES FOR THE CREATION OF TWO (2) POSITIONS AND THE DELETION OF NINE (9) POSITIONS AT THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND THE CREATION OF FOURTEEN (14) POSITIONS AND THE DELETION OF SEVEN (7) POSITIONS AT THE DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES TO REORGANIZE THE SSI ONE STOP SHOP PROGRAM AT THE DEPARTMENTS OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1995-96..

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for FY 1995-1996 as follows:

Department and Number	Source of Funds and Purpose of Appropriation	Amount Debit	Amount Credit
1G-S&A-AGF	General Fund - State Share		
SS-DSS	Dept. of Social Services		
400-40105 (455003)	Adult Services (Fed-Admin)	$4,840	
450-40105	Adult Services (State Admin.)	$1,407	
400-40101	Children's Svcs. (Fed. Admin)	$12,665	
450-45199	SSI-P Unit (Fed. Admin)	$57,815	
450-45301	Medi-Cal (State Admin.)	$1,105	
450-45199	Other General Revenue	$3,573	
091-0911G (455025)	RTO to 1G-AGF-AAA, General Fund, 455003		$81,405
	Total 1G-S&A-AGF	$81,405	$81,405

Department and Number	Source of Funds and Purpose of Appropriation	Amount Debit	Amount Credit
1G-AGF-AAA	General Fund		
SS-DSS	Dept. of Social Services		
910-9101G (455003)	RTI from 1G-S&A-AGF, General Fund State Share, 455025	$81,405	
001-00101 (455009)	Permanent Salaries-Misc.		$95,225
013-01300	Mandatory Fringe Benefits		$31,679
021-02700	Professional services		$12,000
040-04000	Materials and Supplies		$5,000
HC-HCH-04-PC	Community Health Services		
001-00101 (HCHAPGASSIGF)	Permanent Salaries-Misc.	$405,119	
001-00401	Nurses - Regular	$11,840	
001-00901	Premium Pay	$1,445	
013-01301	Mandatory Fringe Benefits	$73,712	
021-02700	Professional Services	$35,510	
021-03500	Other Contractual Services	$25,063	
040-04000	Materials and Supplies	$8,997	
600-65102	MediCal Revenue		$499,187
	Total 1G-AGF-AAA	$643,091	$643,091

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DOCUMENTS DEPT
JAN 25 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Section 2. Funds are hereby appropriated for the creation of two (2) positions and the deletion of nine (9) positions at the Department of Public Health and creation of fourteen (14) positions and deletion of seven (7) positions at the Department of Social Services by amendment to the Annual Salary Ordinance (Board of Supervisors File Number 102-95-8 as follows:

Class	Title	Rate	No. of Positions
Department of Public Health			
Positions Created - Index Code: HCHAPGASSIGF: Sub-Object 001-00101			
2574 N	Clinical Psychologist	2014B2449	2
	Total Positions Created, DPH:		2
Positions Deleted - Index Code: HCHAPGASSIGF: Sub-Object 001-00101			
1426 A	Senior Clerk Typist	1120B1355	3
2230 A	Physician Specialist	3064B3724	3
2112 A	Medical Record Tech.	1394B1580	3
	Total Positions Deleted, DPH:		9
Department of Social Services			
Positions Created - Index Code: 455009: Sub-Object 001-00101			
1408 N	Principal Clerk	1349B1634	1
1424 N	Clerk Typist	1022B1237	3
1426 N	Senior Clerk Typist	1120B1355	1
2110 N	Medical Records Clerk	1158B1401	1
2587 N	Health Worker III	1220B1477	8
	Total Positions Created, DSS:		14

Class	Title	Rate	No. of Positions
Positions Deleted - Index Code 455009: Sub-Object 001-00101			
2912 A	Social Worker	1443B1748	7
	Total Positions Deleted, DSS:		7

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY _____
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
EDWARD M. HARRINGTON
CONTROLLER

BY: _____

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES

BY: _____
DIRECTOR

n:\excel\spproj\83sa#38

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
	§	
January 2, 1996	§	January 8, 1996
	§	
	§	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hallinan Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley Teng	§	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley
	§	
Absent: Supervisors Hsieh Kennedy	§	Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Teng

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
101-95-34

1-11-96
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JAN 25 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 102-95-7 ORDINANCE NO. 29-96

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT SAL.ORD.AMEND. NO. 5

AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 256-95 (ANNUAL SALARY ORDINANCE, 1995/96) REFLECTING THE ADDITION OF THREE POSITIONS IN THE MEDICAL EXAMINER-CORONER'S OFFICE.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The hereinafter designated section and items of Ordinance No. 256-95 (Annual Salary Ordinance, 1995/96) are hereby amended so that the same shall read as follows:

(Amendment)	No. Pos.	Class and Item No.	Class Title	Compensation Schedule
		Department:	74 Medical Examiner/Coroner	
		Division:	00 Medical Examiner/Coroner	
		Section:	00 Medical Examiner/Coroner	
		Program:	7130 Coroner	
		Fund:	01001 General Fund	
		Index:	424077 Medical Examiner-Investigate	
		Proj/Phase:	000/00 Unassigned Title	
		Object:	001 Permanent Salaries-Misc.	
3 Added	3	2580 N Coroner's Investigator		SC $1714B2084

APPROVED AS TO CLASSIFICATION:
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES

Wendell Brown
HUMAN RESOURCES DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

By: Thadine R. Lakey
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

NO. 5

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

CC

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading
January 2, 1996

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hallinan Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley Teng

Absent: Supervisors Hsieh Kennedy

Finally Passed
January 8, 1996

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley

Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Teng

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No. 102-95-7

1-11-96
Date Approved

Willie L. Brown Jr.
Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT
JAN 25 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 102-95-8 ORDINANCE NO. 30-96

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT SAL.ORD.AMEND. NO. 6

AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 256-95 (ANNUAL SALARY ORDINANCE, 1995/96) REFLECTING THE ADDITION OF TWO POSITIONS AND THE DELETION OF NINE POSITIONS AT THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH; THE ADDITION OF FOURTEEN POSITIONS AND THE DELETION OF SEVEN POSITIONS AT THE DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The hereinafter designated section and items of Ordinance No. 256-95 (Annual Salary Ordinance, 1995/96) are hereby amended so that the same shall read as follows:

(Amendment)	No. Pos.	Class and Item No.	Class Title	Compensation Schedule
		Department:	83 Community Health Services	
		Division:	00 DPH Central Office	
		Section:	00 Public Health Central Office	
		Program:	4184 Community Ambulatory Care	
		Fund:	01001 General Fund	
		Index:	736686 Community Ambulatory Care	
		Proj/Phase:	000/00 Unassigned Title	
		Object:	001 Permanent Salaries-Misc.	
2 Added	2	2574 N	Clinical Psychologist	SC $2014B2449
3 Deleted	14	1426 A	Sr. Clerk Typist	$1120B1355
3 Deleted	6	2230 A	Physician Specialist	$3064B3724
3 Deleted	1	2112 A	Medical Records Technician	$1394B1580
		Department:	45 Social Services	
		Division:	00 Social Services	
		Section:	00 Social Services	
		Program:	3138 Adult Services	
		Fund:	01001 General Fund	
		Index:	640045 Adult Services	
		Proj/Phase:	000/00 Unassigned Title	
		Object:	001 Permanent Salaries-Misc.	

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

1 Added	1	1408 N	Principal Clerk	SC $1349B1634
3 Added	3	1424 N	Clerk Typist	SC $1022B1237
1 Added	1	1426 N	Senior Clerk Typist	SC $1120B1355
1 Added	1	2110 N	Medical Records Clerk	SC $1158B1401
8 Added	8	2587 N	Health Worker III	SC $1220B1477
7 Deleted	52	2912 A	Senior Social Worker	$1443B1748

APPROVED AS TO CLASSIFICATION:
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES

Wendell Brown
HUMAN RESOURCES DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

By: Theodore R. Fakey
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

No. 6

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JAN 25 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
	§	
January 2, 1996	§	January 8, 1996
	§	
	§	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano	§	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano
Bierman Hallinan Kaufman	§	Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Leal Migden
Leal Migden Shelley Teng	§	Shelley
	§	
Absent: Supervisors Hsieh Kennedy	§	Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Teng

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
102-95-8

1-11-96
Date Approved

Willie L. Brown Jr.
Mayor

Amendment of the Whole
December 14, 1995.

FILE NO. 115-95-8 ORDINANCE NO. 31-96

AMENDING ARTICLE 1.5 OF THE SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING CODE AND ADDING SECTION 155.1 TO PROVIDE BICYCLE STORAGE FOR ALL CITY-OWNED & CITY-LEASED PROPERTIES.

Note: Additions are underlined, deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Article 1.5 of the San Francisco Planning Code is hereby amended to read as follows:

SEC. 155. **GENERAL STANDARDS AS TO LOCATION AND ARRANGEMENT OF OFF-STREET PARKING, FREIGHT LOADING AND SERVICE VEHICLE FACILITIES.** Required off-street parking and freight loading facilities shall meet the following standards as to location and arrangement. In addition, facilities which are not required but are actually provided shall meet the following standards unless such standards are stated to be applicable solely to required facilities. In application of the standards of this Code for off-street parking and loading, reference may be made to provisions of other portions of the Municipal Code concerning off-street parking and loading facilities, and to standards of the Bureau of Engineering of the Department of Public Works. Final authority for the application of such standards under this

Supervisors Migden, Bierman, Teng, Leal, Ammiano, Alioto

Code, and for adoption of regulations and interpretations in furtherance of the stated provisions of this Code shall, however, rest with the Department of City Planning.

(a) Every required off-street parking or loading space shall be located on the same lot as the use served by it, except as provided in Sections 159, 160 and 161 of this Code.

(b) Every required off-street parking or loading space shall be located in its entirety within the lot lines of private property.

(c) Every off-street parking or loading space shall have adequate means of ingress from and egress to a street or alley. Every required off-street parking or loading space shall be independently accessible, with the exception of a parking space for a minor second dwelling unit in an RH-1(S) District, or as otherwise provided by the Bernal Heights Special Use District set forth in Section 242. In C-3 Districts, if it is found, in accordance with the provisions of Section 309, that independently accessible spaces are infeasible due to site constraints, or in South of Market Districts if it is found, in accordance with the provisions of Section 307(g) of this Code, that independently accessible spaces for nonresidential activities are infeasible due to site constraints or that valet parking would provide a

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JAN 25 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

more convenient and efficient means of serving business clients, the substitution of attendant parking spaces for independently accessible spaces may be approved. Access to off-street loading spaces shall be from alleys in preference to streets.

In C-3 Districts, where there is a choice, access to off-street parking and loading spaces shall be from streets and alleys which are not part of the pedestrian network and minor streets rather than transit preferential streets or major arterial streets, all as identified in the Downtown Plan, a component of the Master Plan.

Adequate reservoir space shall be provided on private property for entrance of vehicles to off-street parking and loading spaces, except with respect to spaces independently accessible directly from the street.

(d) All off-street freight loading and service vehicle spaces in the C-3-O, C-3-R, C-3-G, and South of Market Districts shall be completely enclosed and access from a public street or alley shall be provided by means of a private service driveway, which is totally contained within the structure. Such a private service driveway shall include adequate space to maneuver trucks and service vehicles into and out of all provided spaces, and shall be designed so as to facilitate access to the subject

property while minimizing interference with street and sidewalk circulation. Any such private service driveway shall be of adequate width to accommodate drive-in movement from the adjacent curb or inside traffic lane but shall in no case exceed 30 feet. Notwithstanding the foregoing, if an adjacent street or alley is determined to be primarily used for building service, pursuant to the provisions of Section 309 in a C-3-O, C-3-R or C-3-G District, or the provisions of Section 307(g) in a South of Market District, up to four spaces may be allowed to be individually accessible directly from such a street or alley.

(e) In a C-3 or South of Market District, where site constraints would make a consolidated freight loading and service vehicle facility impractical, service vehicle spaces required by Sections 153(a)(6) and 154(b)(3) of this Code may be located in a parking garage for the structure or other location separate from freight loading spaces.

(f) In a C-3 or South of Market District, whenever off-street freight loading spaces are provided, freight elevators immediately accessible from the loading dock shall be provided to all floors which contain uses that are included in the calculation of required number of freight loading spaces. If freight loading facilities are subterranean, the location and

operation of freight elevators shall be designed, where feasible, to discourage use of freight elevators for deliveries from the ground floor. Directories of building tenants shall be provided at all freight elevators. A raised loading dock or receiving area shall be provided with sufficient dimensions to provide for short-term storage of goods. All required freight loading and service vehicle spaces shall be made available only to those vehicles at all times, and provision shall be made to minimize interference between freight loading and service operations, and garbage dumpster operations and storage.

(g) In order to discourage long-term commuter parking, any off-street parking spaces provided for a structure or use other than residential or hotel in a C-3 District, whether classified as an accessory or conditional use, which are otherwise available for use for long-term parking by downtown workers shall maintain a rate or fee schedule for their use such that the rate charge for four hours of parking duration is no more than four times the rate charge for the first hour, and the rate charge for eight or more hours of parking duration is no less than 10 times the rate charge for the first hour. Additionally, no discounted parking rate shall be permitted for weekly, monthly or similar time-specific periods.

(h) The internal layout of off-street parking and loading spaces, driveways, aisles and maneuvering areas shall be according to acceptable standards, and all spaces shall be clearly marked.

(i) For each 25 off-street parking spaces provided, one such space shall be designed and designated for handicapped persons.

(j) Except as provided by Section 155.1 below, ((F))for each 20 off-street parking spaces provided, one space shall be provided for parking of a bicycle.

(k) Off-street parking and loading facilities shall be arranged so as to prevent encroachments upon sidewalk areas and adjacent properties, in the maneuvering, standing and storage of vehicles, by means of the layout of facilities and by use of bumper or wheel guards or such other devices as are necessary.

(l) Driveways crossing sidewalks shall be no wider than necessary for ingress and egress, and shall be arranged, to the extent practical, so as to minimize the width and frequency of curb cuts, to maximize the number and size of on-street parking spaces available to the public, and to minimize conflicts with pedestrian and transit movements.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JAN 25 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

(m) Every off-street parking or loading facility shall be suitably graded, surfaced, drained and maintained.

(n) Off-street parking and loading spaces shall not occupy any required open space, except as specified in Section 136 of this Code.

(o) No area credited as all or part of a required off-street parking space shall also be credited as all or part of a required off-street loading space, or used as all or part of an unrequired off-street loading space. No area credited as all or part of a required off-street loading space shall also be credited as all or part of a required off-street parking space, or used as all or part of an unrequired off-street parking space.

(p) Any off-street freight loading area located within 50 feet of any R District shall be completely enclosed within a building if such freight loading area is used in regular night operation.

(q) Rooftop parking shall be screened as provided in Section 141(d) of this Code. (Amended by Ord. 414-85, App. 9/17/85; Ord. 115-90, App. 4/6/90; Ord. 32-91, App. 1/25/91)

Article 1.5 of the San Francisco Planning Code is hereby amended by adding Section 155.1 to read as follows:

SEC. 155.1

In all City-owned and leased buildings, regardless of whether off-street parking is available, the landlord shall provide bicycle parking according to the schedule in Section 155.1(c) below. The provisions of this Ordinance shall not apply in any case where the City occupies property as a tenant under a lease the term of which does not exceed six months. All required bicycle parking shall conform to the requirements of Sections 155.1(b) (Location of Facilities) and 155.1(c) (Number of Spaces) set forth below:

(a) Definitions:

(1) Locker: A fully enclosed, secure and burglar-proof bicycle parking space accessible only to the owner or operator of the bicycle.

(2) Check-in facility: A location in which the bicycle is delivered to and left with an attendant with provision for identifying the bicycle's owner. The stored bicycle is accessible only to the attendant.

(3) Monitored parking: A location where Class 2 parking spaces are provided within an area under constant surveillance by an attendant or security guard or by a monitored camera.

(4) Restricted access parking: A location that provides Class 2 parking spaces within a locked room or locked enclosure accessible only to the owners of bicycles parked within.

(5) Personal storage: Storage within the view of the bicycle owner in either the operator's office or a location within the building.

(6) Class 1 bicycle parking space(s): Facilities which protect the entire bicycle, its components and accessories against theft and against inclement weather, including wind-driven rain. Examples of this type of facility include (1) lockers, (2) check-in facilities, (3) monitored parking, (4) restricted access parking, and (5) personal storage.

(7) Class 2 bicycle parking space(s): Bicycle racks which permit the locking of the bicycle frame and one wheel to the rack and, which support the bicycle in a stable position without damage to wheels, frame or components.

(8) Director: Director of the Department of City Planning.

(9) Landlord: Responsible City official where City leases from third party; the highest ranking City official of a given agency where the City is the owner of the property.

(10) Employees: Individuals employed by the City and County of San Francisco.

(b) Location of Facilities

(1) At locations where the majority of parking spaces will be long term (e.g., occupied by building employees for 8 hours or more), at least one half of the required bicycle parking spaces shall be Class 1 spaces. The remaining spaces may be Class 2 spaces. The Director may approve alternative types of parking spaces that provide an equivalent measure of security.

(2) Alternative locations. In the event that compliance with Section 155.1(b)(1) may not be feasible because of demonstrable hardship, the landlord may apply to the Director for an application for an alternative storage location. In acting upon such applications, the Director shall be guided by the following criteria: Such alternative facilities shall be well lighted and secure. The entrance shall be no more than 50 feet from the entrance of the building, unless there are no feasible locations within a 50 foot zone that can be provided without impeding sidewalk or pedestrian traffic. However, in no event shall an alternative location be approved that is farther from the entrance of the building than the closest automobile parking space.

(3) Exemptions: If no feasible alternative parking facility exists nearby which can be approved pursuant to Section

DOCUMENTS DEPT
JAN 25 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

155.1(b)(1) or (2) or, securing an alternative location would be unduly costly and pose a demonstrable hardship on the landlord, the Director may issue an exemption. In order to obtain an exemption, the landlord shall certify to the Director in writing that the landlord will not prohibit bicycle operators from storing bicycles within their office space, provided that they are stored in such a way that the Fire Code is not violated and that the normal business of the building is not disrupted.

(c) Required number of bicycle parking spaces

(1) Class 1 bicycle parking spaces: The following standards shall govern the number of Class 1, long-term, bicycle parking spaces a landlord must provide:

(A) In buildings with 20 or fewer employees, at least 2 bicycle parking spaces shall be provided.

(B) In buildings with 21 to 50 employees, at least 4 bicycle parking spaces shall be provided.

(C) In buildings with 51 to 300 employees, the number of bicycle parking spaces provided shall be equal to at least 5% of the number of employees at that building, but in no event shall fewer than five bicycle spaces be provided.

(D) In buildings with more than 300 employees, the number of bicycle parking spaces provided shall be equal to at

least 3% of the number of employees at that building but in no event shall fewer than 16 bicycle parking spaces be provided.

(2) In addition to the Class 1 bicycle parking spaces required above, a landlord shall also provide Class 2 bicycle parking spaces according to the below enumerated schedule:

(A) In buildings with 40 or fewer employees, at least 2 bicycle parking spaces shall be provided.

(B) In buildings with 41-50 employees, at least 4 bicycle parking spaces shall be provided.

(C) In buildings with 51-100 employees, at least 6 bicycle parking spaces shall be provided.

(D) In buildings with more than 100 employees, at least 8 bicycle parking spaces shall be provided. Wherever a landlord is required to provide 8 or more Class 2 bicycle parking spaces, at least 50% of those parking spaces shall be covered.

(3) In facilities where members of the public are patrons or users, such as libraries, museums, and sports facilities, the landlord shall provide the numbers of bicycle parking spaces as set out in 155.1(c)(1) and (2), except that the average patron load in a building during peak use hours as determined by the Director, rather than the number of employees, shall determine the number of spaces required.

(4) The Director shall annually survey the amount, location, and usage of provided bicycle parking spaces in all buildings subject to the requirements of this ordinance in order to ascertain whether current requirements are adequate to meet demand for such parking spaces. If current requirements are inadequate, the Director shall draft and submit to the Board of Supervisors proposed legislation that would remedy the deficiency.

(5) Reductions. The Director may grant a reduction from the number of bicycle parking spaces required by this Ordinance where the applicant shows based upon the type of patronage, clientelle, or employees using the building that there is no reason to expect a sufficient number of bicycle-riding patrons, clientelle or employees to justify the number of spaces otherwise required by the Ordinance.

(d) Layout of Spaces

Class 1 and Class 2 bicycle parking spaces or alternative spaces approved by the Director shall be laid out according to the following:

(1) An aisle or other space to enter and leave the facility shall be provided. The aisle shall provide a width of five feet

to the front or rear of a standard six-foot bicycle parked in the facility.

(2) Each bicycle parking space shall provide an area at least 2 feet wide by 6 feet deep. Vertical clearance shall be at least 78 inches.

(3) Bicycle parking shall be placed at least as conveniently located as the most convenient non-disabled car parking. Safe and convenient means of ingress and egress to bicycle parking facilities shall be provided. Safe and convenient means include, but are not limited to stairways, elevators and escalators.

(4) Bicycle parking and automobile parking shall be separated by a physical barrier or sufficient distance to protect parked bicycles from damage.

(5) Class 2 bicycle racks shall be located in highly visible areas to minimize theft and vandalism.

(6) Where Class 2 bicycle parking areas are not clearly visible to approaching bicyclists, signs shall indicate the locations of the facilities.

(7) The surface of bicycle parking spaces need not be paved, but shall be finished to avoid mud and dust.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JAN 25 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

(8) All bicycle racks and lockers shall be securely anchored to the ground or building structure.

(9) Bicycle parking spaces may not interfere with pedestrian circulation.

(e) Enforcement

Article 1.5, Section 155.1 shall be enforced by the Zoning Administrator. Upon complaint, the Zoning Administrator shall investigate. If the Zoning Administrator concludes that a violation exists, he or she provide written notice to the violating party offering five days to cure the violation. The written notice shall inform the violating party of the grounds for the Zoning Administrator's conclusion that the contract has been violated. The notice shall afford the violator an opportunity to meet with the Zoning Administrator to explain why penalties should not be assessed. The Zoning Administrator shall assess penalties according to the following provisions:

(1) If the violation has not been cured within the five days, a penalty of $50/day shall be assessed by the Director, commencing with the first date of the violation, or, in the alternative:

(2) Any person violating this ordinance may be subject to the civil enforcement provisions set forth in Planning Code Section 176.

(3) Any unpaid penalties or charges assessed for violations of this ordinance, either through a contract or by civil enforcement, may be collected through the lien procedures as set forth in Administrative Code Section(s) 10.230-10.237.

(f) Miscellaneous Requirements

(1) The landlord shall not establish or enforce any building policy that restricts or discourages building tenants, employees, or visitors from utilizing their bicycle storage spaces.

(2) In any building that contains more than the required number of bicycle parking spaces as set forth in Article 1.5, Section 155.1, the landlord shall not remove such additional bicycle parking spaces without petitioning the Director. Such a petition may not be filed until at least one year has elapsed following the effective date of the ordinance. That petition shall demonstrate that the spaces the landlord seeks authority to remove have not been necessary to meet the demand of employees and other building users.

(3) In order to enable landlord to bring buildings into compliance with this ordinance, the provisions of this ordinance shall not become operative until six months after the effective date. During the first full year following the operative date of this Ordinance, the landlord shall be responsible for providing 50% of the spaces mandated by this Ordinance. During the second year following the operative date of this Ordinance, the landlord shall be responsible for providing 75% of the spaces mandated by this Ordinance. Thereafter, the landlord shall be responsible for full compliance with this Ordinance. The Board of Supervisors does not intend to impose requirements of this ordinance on any landlord where such application would impair obligations of contract.

(4) Buildings with existing traditional-type racks which support only one wheel shall have two years from the effective date of this ordinance to replace them with conforming racks.

(5) In addition to imposing requirements pursuant to this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors declares it the official policy of the City and County of San Francisco that all property owners and landlords in control of buildings housing employees or members of the public who use bicycles shall provide bicycle parking spaces and shall encourage and facilitate bicycle usage.

(g) In adopting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors intends that General Fund Revenues not be used to implement the requirements of this ordinance, that private building owners not be required to use their own funds to implement the requirements of this ordinance, and that the implementation of this ordinance be funded primarily through the use of public and private donations, grants and other available programmatic funding.

Approved as to form:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

Deputy City Attorney

DOCUMENTS DEPT
JAN 25 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

cc

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
January 2, 1996	January 8, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hallinan Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley Teng	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley
Absent: Supervisors Hsieh Kennedy	Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Teng

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
115-95-8

1-11-96
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 115-95-14 ORDINANCE NO. 32-96

(Text Amendments for Westwood Park Residential Character District)

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER II OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (CITY PLANNING CODE) BY ADDING SECTION 244 TO ADD RESIDENTIAL CHARACTER DISTRICTS AND SECTION 244.1 TO CREATE THE WESTWOOD PARK RESIDENTIAL CHARACTER DISTRICT, AND AMENDING SECTIONS 134 AND 252.1 TO RECOGNIZE SPECIAL REAR YARD REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL HEIGHT LIMITS FOR RESIDENTIAL CHARACTER DISTRICTS.

/

NOTES: Additions are underlined; deletions are in ((double parentheses)).

/

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

/

Section 1. Part II, Chapter 2, Article 2 of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding Sections 244 and 244.1 to read as follows:

SEC. 244. RESIDENTIAL CHARACTER DISTRICTS. In order to provide for certain areas with special building forms and natural characteristics, there shall be Residential Character Districts as designated on Special Use Districts Sectional Maps of the Zoning Map. In these Residential Character Districts, all provisions of the City Planning Code applicable to the

underlying R (Residential) District shall continue to apply to Residential Character Districts except as otherwise provided in the sections for the specific districts which follow or as shown on the Zoning Map. A Residential Character District may include Residential Design Guidelines for that district, to supplement the "1989 Residential Design Guidelines" published by the Department of City Planning, as amended from time to time.

/

SEC. 244.1. WESTWOOD PARK RESIDENTIAL CHARACTER DISTRICT. The following provisions shall apply within the Westwood Park Residential Character District:

(a) Residential Design Guidelines. The construction of new residential buildings and alteration of existing residential buildings in the Westwood Park Neighborhood Character District shall be consistent with the design policies and guidelines of the Master Plan and with the previously adopted "Residential Design Guidelines" as amended by portions of "The Westwood Park Association Residential Design Guidelines", adopted by City Planning Commission Resolution Number 13992. The Zoning Administrator may require modifications to the exterior of a proposed new residential building or proposed alteration of an existing residential building in order to bring it into conformity with the Master Plan and with the "Residential Design Guidelines" as amended.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JAN 25 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

These modifications may include, but are not limited to, changes in siting, building envelope, scale, texture and detailing, openings, and landscaping.

/

Section 2. Part II, Chapter 2, Article 1 of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by amending the introductory paragraph of Section 134 to read as shown below. There are no changes to subsections (a) through (f).

SEC. 134. REAR YARDS, R, NC, C, SPD, M, RSD, SLR, SLI AND SSO DISTRICTS. The rear yards requirements established by this Section 134 shall apply to every building in an R, NC-1, NC-2 District or Individual Neighborhood Commercial District as noted in Subsection (a) except those buildings which contain only single room occupancy (SRO) or live/work units and except in Bernal Heights Special Use District and Residential Character Districts to the extent these provisions are inconsistent with the requirements set forth in Section 242 of this Code. With the exception of dwellings in the south of Market base area, containing only SRO units the rear yard requirement of this Section 134 shall also apply to every dwelling in a(n) SPD, RSD, SLR, SLI, SSO, NC-2, NC-3, Individual Neighborhood Commercial District as noted in Subsection (a), C or M District. Rear yards shall not be required in NC-S Districts. These

requirements are intended to assure the protection and continuation of established midblock, landscaped open spaces, and maintenance of a scale of development appropriate to each district, consistent with the location of adjacent buildings.

/

Section 3. Part II, Chapter 2, Article 2 of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by amending Section 252.1 to read as follows:

SEC. 252.1. SPECIAL HEIGHT LIMITS. Special Height Limits are provided for the Dolores Heights Special Use District, set forth in Section 241, and the Bernal Heights Special Use District, set forth in Section 242 of this Code. Special Height Limits may be provided for Residential Character Districts as set forth in Section 244 of this Code and shown on the Zoning Map.

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

/

Section 4. Pursuant to Section 101.1 of the City Planning Code, this Board of Supervisors adopts City Planning Commission Resolution No. 13992. approving the findings related to the Eight Priority Policies of Section 101.1 of the City Planning Code.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

By: _____
Deputy City Attorney

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
January 2, 1996	January 8, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hallinan Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley Teng	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley
Absent: Supervisors Hsieh Kennedy	Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Teng

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John Taylor
Clerk

File No.
115-95-14

1-11-96
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JAN 25 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 97-95-69 ORDINANCE NO. 33-96

[SHERIFF/INMATE WELFARE - CASH REVOLVING FUND]

AMENDING CHAPTER 10 OF THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY ADDING 10.164-1 THERETO, CREATING THE SHERIFF'S INMATE WELFARE CASH REVOLVING FUND.

Note: This section is entirely new.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Chapter 10 of the San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by adding Section 10.164-1 thereto reading as follows:

SEC. 10.164-1. CASH REVOLVING FUNDS -- SHERIFF; INMATE WELFARE FUND; $10,000.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

Deputy City Attorney

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

CC

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
January 8, 1996	January 16, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley Teng	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng
Absent: Supervisor Kennedy	Absent: Supervisor Kaufman

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 97-95-69

1/18/96
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT
JAN 31 1996
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 97-95-70 ORDINANCE NO. 34-96

[SHERIFF CASH - REVOLVING FUND]

AMENDING CHAPTER 10 OF THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY AMENDING SECTION 10.164 THEREOF, INCREASING THE SHERIFF'S CASH REVOLVING FUND.

Note: Additions are underlined; deletions are in ((double parentheses)).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Chapter 10 of the San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by amending Section 10.164 thereof, to read as follows:

SEC. 10.164 CASH REVOLVING FUNDS -- SHERIFF; (($6,000)) $8,000.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

Deputy City Attorney

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

CC

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
January 8, 1996	January 16, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley Teng	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng
Absent: Supervisor Kennedy	Absent: Supervisor Kaufman

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 97-95-70

1/18/96
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT
JAN 31 1996
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

CITY LIBRARIAN
PUBLIC LIBRARY
DOCUMENTS DEPARTMENT
CIVIC CENTER LIBRARY
(2 copies of all Leg.)

CC

FILE NO. 46-96-2 ORDINANCE NO. 35-96

[SETTLEMENT OF REGULATORY PROCEEDING]

RO #95125

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF REGULATORY PROCEEDING BEFORE THE FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION INVOLVING INCREASED FLOWS AND FISHERY RESOURCE PROTECTION COSTS FOR THE LOWER TUOLUMNE RIVER WITH COSTS NOT TO EXCEED $2,420,000 AND PAYMENT TO THE MODESTO AND TURLOCK IRRIGATION DISTRICTS OF $3.5 MILLION PER YEAR, WITH AN ESCALATION RATE EFFECTIVE YEAR 6.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco;

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "New Don Pedro Project, FERC Project No. 2299-024," by payments as follows:

1. Monitoring, study riparian and related costs not to exceed $2,420,000 over 20 years.

2. Annual payments of $3.5 million to the Modesto and Turlock Irrigation Districts, with escalation clause starting year 6.

APPROVED AS TO FORM
AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

Thomas M. Berliner
THOMAS M. BERLINER
Utilities General Counsel

RECOMMENDED:
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

L. T. Klein /for
ANSON B. MORAN
General Manager

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

EDWARD M. HARRINGTON
Controller

Supervisors Shelley, Bierman
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
January 16, 1996	§	January 22, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kennedy Leal Migden Teng	§	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden
Excused: Supervisor Shelley	§	Excused: Supervisor Shelley
Absent: Supervisor Kaufman	§	Absent: Supervisor Teng

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John Taylor
Clerk

File No.
46-96-2

1/23/96
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
FEB 02 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 27-95-21 ORDINANCE NO. 36-96

CC

(Airport - Lease Modification)

APPROVING MODIFICATION NO. 002 OF FAA LEASE NO. DOT-FA76WE-3702A BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (FAA) AND CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, ACTING BY AND THROUGH ITS AIRPORTS COMMISSION.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

SECTION 1. Pursuant to Section 7.405 of the Charter, the Board of Supervisors hereby approves Modification No. 002 of FAA Lease No. DOT-FA76WE-3702A between the United States of American (FAA) and the City and County of San Francisco, acting by and through its Airports Commission, copies of which Modification are contained in Board Supervisors' file number 27-95-21.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

By: Yvonne E. Lossler
Deputy City Attorney

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
January 16, 1996	January 22, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley
Absent: Supervisor Kaufman	Absent: Supervisor Teng

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No. 27-95-21

FEB 2 1996
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

FEB 16 1996

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 90-95-1 ORDINANCE NO. 37-96

(Landmarks)

DESIGNATING THE BROWN'S OPERA HOUSE (VICTORIA THEATER) AS LANDMARK NO. 215 PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 10 OF THE CITY PLANNING CODE.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors hereby finds that Brown's Opera House (Victoria Theater) located at 2961 16th Street, Lot 31A in Assessor's Block 3570 has a special character and special historical, architectural and aesthetic interest and value, and that its designation as a Landmark will further the purposes of, and conform to the standards set forth in Article 10 of the City Planning Code.

(a) Designation: Pursuant to Section 1004 of the City Planning Code, Chapter II, Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, Brown's Opera House (Victoria Theater) is hereby designated as Landmark No. 215. This designation having been fully approved by Resolution No. 13979 of the City Planning Commission, which Resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors under File No. 90-95-1 and is incorporated herein and made a part of hereof as though fully set forth.

//

//

SUPERVISORS BIERMAN, MIGDEN, TENG, LEAL

(b) Required Data:

(1) The description of the location and boundaries of the Landmark site is Brown's Opera House (Victoria Theater) located at 2961 16th Street, being Lot 31A within Assessor's Block 3570.

(2) The characteristics of the Landmark which justify its designation are described and shown in the photographs and other materials on file in the Planning Department Docket No. 95.290L and the Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board's Case Report contained in Docket No. 95.290L.

(3) The particular features that should be preserved are those shown in the photographs on file in Planning Department Docket No. 95.290L and described in the Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board's Case Report, in Section A, entitled "Architecture," Subsection No. 4, "Design" and in Section D, "Integrity," Subsection No. 13, "Alterations," said photographs and Case Report are incorporated in this designating ordinance as though fully set forth.

//

//

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
FEB 16 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE

CITY ATTORNEY

By _____

Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

By _____

Lu Blazej

Director of Planning

cc

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
January 16, 1996	January 22, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley
Absent: Supervisor Kaufman	Absent: Supervisor Teng

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

_____
Clerk

File No.
90-95-1

FEB 2 1996
Date Approved

_____
Mayor

FILE NO. 90-95-2 ORDINANCE NO. 38-96

(Landmarks)

DESIGNATING EL CAPITAN THEATER AND HOTEL AS LANDMARK NO. 214 PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 10 OF THE CITY PLANNING CODE.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors hereby finds that El Capitan Theater and Hotel located at 2353 Mission Street, Lot 24 in Assessor's Block 3595, has a special character and special historical, architectural and aesthetic interest and value, and that its designation as Landmark No. 214 will further the purposes of, and conform to the standards set forth in Article 10 of the City Planning Code.

(a) Designation: Pursuant to Section 1004 of the City Planning Code, Chapter II, Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, El Capitan Theater and Hotel is hereby designated as Landmark No. 214. This designation having been fully approved by Resolution No. 13988 of the City Planning Commission, which Resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors under File No. 90-95-2 and is incorporated herein and made a part of hereof as though fully set forth.

//

//

SUPERVISORS BIERMAN, MIGDEN, TENG, LEAL

(b) Required Data:

(1) The description of the location and boundaries of the Landmark site is El Capitan Theater and Hotel located at 2353 Mission Street, being a portion of Lot 24 within Assessor's Block 3595.

(2) The designation of El Capitan Theater and Hotel shall consist of the footprint (envelope) of the Landmark building only. The dimensions of the subject property are approximately 165 feet in length, 95 feet in width and 35 feet in height. Any future subdivision of the subject property and its resultant new construction shall not require a Certificate of Appropriateness as defined in Planning Code Section 1006 unless said new construction alters the landmark building itself.

(3) The characteristics of the Landmark which justify its designation are described and shown in the photographs and other materials on file in the Planning Department Docket No. 95.289L and the Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board's, hereafter (Landmarks Board), Final Case Report contained in Docket No. 95.289L.

//

//

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
FEB 16 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

(4) The particular features that should be preserved are those shown in the photographs on file in Planning Department Docket No. 95.289L and described in the Landmarks Board's Final Case Report, in Section A, entitled "Architecture," Subsection No. 4, "Design" and in Section D, "Integrity," Subsection No. 13, "Alterations," said photographs and Case Report are incorporated in this designating ordinance as though fully set forth.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE
CITY ATTORNEY

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:
CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

By _____
Lu Blazej
Director of Planning

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
January 16, 1996	January 22, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley
Absent: Supervisor Kaufman	Absent: Supervisor Teng

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

_____
Clerk

File No.
90-95-2

FEB 2 1996
Date Approved

_____
Mayor

FILE NO. 90-95-3 ORDINANCE NO. 39-96

(Landmarks)

DESIGNATING ALHAMBRA THEATER AS LANDMARK NO. 217 PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 10 OF THE CITY PLANNING CODE.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors hereby finds that the Alhambra Theater located at 2320 - 2336 Polk Street, Lot 22 in Assessor's Block 548, has a special character and special historical, architectural and aesthetic interest and value, and that its designation as a Landmark will further the purposes of, and conform to the standards set forth in Article 10 of the City Panning Code.

(a) Designation: Pursuant to Section 1004 of the City Planning Code, Chapter II, Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, the Alhambra Theater is hereby designated as Landmark No. 217. This designation having been fully approved by Resolution No. 14005 of the City Planning Commission, which Resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors under File No. 90-95-3 and is incorporated herein and made a part of hereof as though fully set forth.

//

//

SUPERVISORS BIERMAN, HIGDEN, TENG, LEAL

(b) Required Data:

(1) The description of the location and boundaries of the Landmark site is the Alhambra Theater at 2320 - 2336 Polk Street, being Lot 22 located within Assessor's Block 548.

(2) The characteristics of the Landmark which justify its designation are described and shown in the photographs and other materials on file in the Planning Department Docket No. 95.410L and the Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board's Case Report contained in Docket No. 95.410L.

(3) The particular features that should be preserved are those shown in the photographs on file in Department of City Planning Docket No. 95.410L and described in the Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board's Case Report in Section A, entitled "Architecture," Subsection No. 4, "Design" and in Section D, "Integrity," Subsection No. 13, "Alterations" said photographs and Case Report are incorporated in this designation ordinance as though fully set forth.

//

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
FEB 16 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE

CITY ATTORNEY

BY

Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

BY

Lu Blazej

Director of Planning

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
January 16, 1996	January 22, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Leal Migden Shelley Teng	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley
Noes: Supervisor Kennedy	Noes: Supervisor Kennedy
Absent: Supervisor Kaufman	Absent: Supervisor Teng

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No.
90-95-3

FEB 2 1996

Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 90-95-5 ORDINANCE NO. 40-96

(Landmarks)

DESIGNATING THE ODD FELLOWS COLUMBARIUM AS LANDMARK NO. 209 PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 10 OF THE CITY PLANNING CODE.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors hereby finds that the Odd Fellows Columbarium, One Loraine Court, Lot 1 in Assessor's Block 1132, and Lot 2 in Assessor's Block 1084, has a special character and special historical, architectural and aesthetic interest and value, and that its designation as a Landmark will further the purposes of, and conform to the standards set forth in Article 10 of the City Planning Code.

(a) Designation: Pursuant to Section 1004 of the City Planning Code, Chapter II, Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, the Odd Fellows Columbarium is hereby designated as Landmark No. 209. This designation having been fully approved by Resolution No. 13999 of the City Planning Commission, which Resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors under File No. 90-95-5 and is incorporated herein and made a part of hereof as though fully set forth.

(b) Required Data:

(1) The description of the location and boundaries of the Landmark site is the Odd Fellows Columbarium at One Loraine Court, Lot 1 within Assessor's Block 1132 and Lot 2 within Assessor's Block 1084.

(2) The characteristics of the Landmark which justify its designation are described and shown in the photographs and other materials on file in the Department of City Planning Docket No. 94.532L and the Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board's Case Report contained in Docket No. 94.532L.

(3) The particular features that should be preserved are those shown in the photographs on file in Department of City Planning Docket No. 94.532L and described in the Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board's Case Report, in Section A, entitled "Architecture," Subsection No. 4, "Design" and in Section D, "Integrity," Subsection No. 13, "Alterations," said photographs and Case Report are incorporated in this designating ordinance as though fully set forth.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE
CITY ATTORNEY
By _____
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:
CITY PLANNING COMMISSION
By _____
Lu Blazej
Director of Planning

SUPERVISORS BIERMAN, TENG

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
FEB 16 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

cc

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
	§	
January 22, 1996	§	January 29, 1996
	§	
	§	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng	§	Ayes: Supervisors Ammiano Bierman Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng
	§	
	§	Absent: Supervisors Alioto Hsieh

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No.
90-95-5

FEB 2 1996

Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 101-95-35 AS AMENDED IN COMMITTEE 1/10/96 ORDINANCE NO. 41-96

(Government Funding) RO#95095 SA#30

APPROPRIATING $1,603,432 OF 1989 EARTHQUAKE SAFETY BOND INTEREST EARNINGS FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS AT VARIOUS FIRE STATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 1995-96. PLACING $492,397 ON RESERVE AND PROVIDING FOR RATIFICATION OF ACTION PREVIOUSLY TAKEN.

1/10/96 grh

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for FY 1995-1996 as follows:

Department and Number	Source of Funds and Purpose of Appropriation	Amount Debit	Amount Credit
3C-EQS-89A	**1989 Earthquake Safety Bond**		
DPW-AT-AT	Public Works - Architecture		
Proj. C-AT-ES1-BP 099-09999 (DPW530261)	Interest Earned	$1,603,432	
FC-FIR	Fire Department		
Proj.CATES1FD01	Various Fire Station Imprvt.		
060-06700 (DPW530261)	Capital Improvement Project		$1,603,432
	Total	$1,603,432	$1,603,432

1/10/96 grh

Section 2. Funds in the amount of $492,397 for Fire Station No. 7 are hereby placed on reserve pending the selection of construction contractors, MBE/WBE status of the contractors and finalized cost details; to be released by the Budget Committee.

APPROVED AS TO FORM: LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE: EDWARD M. HARRINGTON CONTROLLER

1/10/96 grh

Section 3. Although the City has incurred no legal obligation under the Charter, the Board of Supervisors does hereby appropriate the funds necessary for the obligation and does hereby ratify the action previously taken.

BY: DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

BY:

n \wxw\budget\90sa#30

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
January 16, 1996	January 22, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley
Absent: Supervisor Kaufman	Absent: Supervisor Teng

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 101-95-35

FEB 2 1996

Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

FEB 16 1996

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 101-95-36

AS AMENDED IN COMMITTEE
1/10/96

ORDINANCE NO. 42-96

1/10/96
grh

(Government Funding)

RO# 95103
SA#22

APPROPRIATING $266,000 FROM THE GENERAL FUND RESERVE TO A CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECT AT THE DEPARTMENT OF PARKING AND TRAFFIC FOR SOIL REMEDIATION AT ST. MARY'S SQUARE GARAGE FOR FISCAL YEAR 1995-96; PLACING A RESERVE OF $190,000.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for FY 1995-1996 as follows:

Department and Number	Source of Funds and Purpose of Appropriation	Amount Debit	Amount Credit
1G-AGF-AAA	General Fund		
UN-UNA	General Fund Unallocated		
097-09799 (CON000174)	General Fund Reserve	$266,000	
091-0912P (xxxxxxxxxxxxx)	RTO to 2S-OPF-NPR; Parking Revenue Fund; PK-PTC; Parking and Traffic		$266,000
	Total	$266,000	$266,000
2S-OPF-NPR	Parking Revenue Fund		
PK-PTC	Parking and Traffic Commission		
910-9101G (xxxxxxxxxxxxx)	RTI from 1G-AGF-AAA; General Fund; UN-UNA; General Unallocated	$266,000	
CPK-502-02	St. Mary's Square Garage - Soil Remediation		
060-06700 (360161)	Capital Improvement Project		$266,000
	Total	$266,000	$266,000

Section 2. The Department of Parking and Traffic is requested to seek reimbursement from the State of California, or any legally available source, for cost associated with St. Mary's Square Garage soil remediation. Any funds recovered shall replenish the General Fund Reserve for the appropriations herein.

1/10/96
grh

Section 3. Funds in the amount of $190,000 are hereby placed on reserve pending selection of a contractor and submission of budget details and the MBE/WBE status of the contractor; to be released by the Budget Committee.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: _____
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

n:\excel\spproj\36sa22

FUNDS AVAILABLE
EDWARD M. HARRINGTON
CONTROLLER

BY: _____

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
FEB 16 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

cc

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	$	Finally Passed
	$	
January 16, 1996	$	January 22, 1996
	$	
	$	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng	$	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley
	$	
Absent: Supervisor Kaufman	$	Absent: Supervisor Teng

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No.
101-95-36

FEB 2 1996

Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 101-95-37 AS AMENDED IN COMMITTEE 1/10/96 ORDINANCE NO. 43-96

(Government Funding) RO#95110 SA#39

1/10/96 grh

APPROPRIATING ~~$646,424~~ $609,913 OF FEDERAL RECURRING GRANT REVENUE TO SALARIES, FRINGE BENEFITS, INDIRECT COSTS, TRAVEL, TRAINING, NON-PERSONAL SERVICES, MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES, EQUIPMENT AND SERVICES OF OTHER DEPARTMENTS FOR THE CREATION OF THREE (3) POSITIONS TO ALLOW THE ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT TO IMPLEMENT THE SAN FRANCISCO CRIMINAL JUSTICE TREATMENT NETWORK FOR WOMEN FOR FISCAL YEAR 1995-96. PROVIDING FOR RATIFICATION OF ACTION PREVIOUSLY TAKEN. PLACING $18,654 ON RESERVE.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for FY 1995-1996 as follows:

Department and Number	Source of Funds and Purpose of Appropriation	Amount Debit	Amount Credit
2S-PPF-FRC	Public Protection Fed. Recurring Grant		
AP-ADP	Adult Probation		
APNETW96AA	NETW-Revenue		
400-44939 (136010)	Federal Grant Revenue	~~$646,424~~ $609,913	
APNETW9601	NETW-Expense Personnel		
001-00101 (136010)	Permanent Salaries-Misc.		~~$84,007~~ $75,777
001-00501 (136010)	Temporary Salaries - Misc.		~~$8,046~~ $7,790
APNETW9602	NETW-Fringe Benefits		
013-01300 (136010)	Mandatory Fringe Benefits		$13,785
APNETW9609	NETW-Indirect Cost		
020-02001 (136010)	Indirect Costs		$22,917
APNETW9606	NETW-Travel		
021-02101 (136010)	Travel		$10,854
021-02301 (136010)	Auto Mileage		$1,232
021-02201 (136010)	Training		$18,654
APNETW9603	NETW-Consultants		
021-02761 (136010)	System Consulting		$24,800
021-02789 (136010)	Other Services		$1,604
021-02799 (136010)	Other Professional Services		$262,708
APNETW9610	NETW-Other Expenses		
021-03561 (136010)	Postage		~~$6,000~~ $3,000
021-03599 (136010)	Other Current Expenses		$917
APNETW9605	NETW-Supplies		
040-04921 (136010)	Data Processing		$5,000
040-04951 (136010)	Office Supplies		$8,848

1/10/96 grh

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
FEB 16 1996
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Department and Number	Source of Funds and Purpose of Appropriation	Amount Debit	Amount Credit
APNETW9604	NETW-Equipment		
060-06098 (136010)	Office Equipment		$52,160
APNETW9608	NETW-Third Party Contracts		
081-081ET (136010)	Telecommunications		$14,892
081-081DA (136010)	GF-District Attorney		$23,312 ~~-$30,000~~
081-081SS (136010)	GF-Social Services		$38,351 ~~-$50,000~~
081-081PS (136010)	GF-Public Defender ~~-G6-Police Security~~		$23,312 ~~-$30,000~~
	Total	$609,013 ~~-$646,424~~	$609,013 ~~-$646,424~~

1/10/96 grh

Section 2. Funds are hereby appropriated for the creation of three (3) positions at the Adult Probation Department by amendment to the Annual Salary Ordinance (Board of Supervisors File No. 102-95-9) as follows:

Class	Title	Rate	No. of Positions
Positions Created - Index Code 136010; Sub-Object 001-00100; Project APNETW9601			
8434 ~~N~~ G	Project Director	1773B2156	1
8442 ~~N~~ G	Senior Probation Officer	1611B1955	1
1424 ~~N~~ G	Clerk Typist	1022B1237	1
	Total Positions Created		3

1/10/96 grh

Section 3. Although the City has incurred no legal obligation under the Charter, the Board of Supervisors does hereby appropriate the funds necessary for the obligation and does hereby ratify the action previously taken.

1/10/96 grh

Section 4. Funds in the amount of $18,654 are hereby placed on reserve for training pending selection of a consultant, the submission of cost details, and the MBE/WBE status of the consultant; to be released by the Budget Committee.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: _____
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
EDWARD M. HARRINGTON
CONTROLLER

BY: _____

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES

BY: _____
DIRECTOR

n:\excel\spproj\13sa#39

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	$	Finally Passed
	$	
January 16, 1996	$	January 29, 1996
	$	
	$	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng	$	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng
	$	
Absent: Supervisor Kaufman	$	Absent: Supervisor Hsieh

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
101-95-37

FEB 2 1996
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

FEB 16 1996

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

AS AMENDED IN COMMITTEE

FILE NO. 101-95-40 ORDINANCE NO. 44-96

(Government Funding) RO#95121 SA#44

APPROPRIATING AND RESCINDING $466,000 OF CONVENTION FACILITIES FUNDS TO A CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECT FOR THE CONVENTION FACILITIES MANAGEMENT DEPARTMENT FOR FISCAL YEAR 1995-96. (PROVIDE INITIAL FUNDING FOR THE MOSCONE EXPANSION PROJECT AND FINAL FUNDING OF THE CONVENTION EXPANSION STUDY). PLACING $300,000 ON RESERVE, PROVIDING FOR RATIFICATION OF ACTION PREVIOUSLY TAKEN.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for FY 1995-96 as follows:

Department and Number	Source of Funds and Purpose of Appropriation	Amount Debit	Amount Credit
2S-CFF-APR	Convention Facilities Fund - Annual Proj.		
CF-CFM	Convention Facilities Management		
Proj. CCF106	Unallocated		
060-06700 (700073)	Capital Improvement Project	$466,000	
Proj. xxxxxxxxxxxx	Moscone Expansion Project		
060-06700 (700073)	Capital Improvement Project		$466,000
	Total	$466,000	$466,000

Section 2. Funds in the amount of $300,000 are placed on reserve pending release by the Budget Committee.

Section 3. Although the City has incurred no legal obligation under the Charter, the Board of Supervisors does hereby appropriate the funds necessary for the obligation and does hereby ratify the action previously taken.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: Theodore R. Lakey
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
EDWARD M. HARRINGTON
CONTROLLER

BY: John Wheeler

n:\ordinance\appropr\95\ord44

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
FEB 16 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

cc

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	$	Finally Passed
	$	
January 22, 1996	$	January 29, 1996
	$	
	$	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley	$	Ayes: Supervisors Ammiano Bierman Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng
	$	
Absent: Supervisor Teng	$	Absent: Supervisors Alioto Hsieh

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No.
101-95-40

FEB 2 1996
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 102-95-9 AS AMENDED IN COMMITTEE 1/10/96 ORDINANCE NO. 45-96

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT SAL.ORD.AMEND. NO. 7

AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 256-95 (ANNUAL SALARY ORDINANCE, 1995/96) REFLECTING THE CREATION OF THREE POSITIONS IN THE ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The hereinafter designated section and items of Ordinance No.256-95 (Annual Salary Ordinance, 1995/96) are hereby amended so that the same shall read as follows:

(Amendment)	No. Pos.	Class and Item No.	Class Title	Compensation Schedule
		Department:	13 Adult Probation	
		Division:	01 General	
		Section:	00 General	
		Program:	0000 No Program Defined	
		Fund:	01013 Grant Fund	
		Index:	136010	
		Proj/Phase:		
		Object:	001 Permanent Salaries-Misc.	
1/10/96 grh 1 Added	1	8434 ~~N~~ G	Supv. Adult Probation Officer	SC $1773B2156
1 Added	1	8442 ~~N~~ G	Senior Probation Officer	SC $1611B1955
1 Added	1	1424 ~~N~~ G	Clerk Typist	SC $1022B1237

APPROVED AS TO CLASSIFICATION:
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES

Wendell Brown
HUMAN RESOURCES DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

By: BEW
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

NO. 7

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading
January 16, 1996

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng

Absent: Supervisor Kaufman

Finally Passed
January 29, 1996

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng

Absent: Supervisor Hsieh

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No. 102-95-9

FEB 2 1996
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT
FEB 16 1996
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 115-95-11 ORDINANCE NO. 46-96

95.052T

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER II OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (CITY PLANNING CODE) BY ADDING NEW SECTION 311 TO ESTABLISH NEW PROVISIONS THAT APPLY TO CONSTRUCTION IN THE RH AND RM ZONING DISTRICTS FOR PERMIT APPLICATION REVIEW, NOTIFICATION AND APPEALS PROCEDURES AND ADHERENCE TO APPROVED DESIGN GUIDELINES AS WELL AS TO THE PLANNING CODE; AND NEW PROVISIONS THAT APPLY TO REVIEW OF PROPOSED DEMOLITIONS IN ALL RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS.

/

NOTE: The entire Section is new.

/

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

/

Section 1. Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding Section 311 to read as follows

/

SEC. 311. RESIDENTIAL PERMIT REVIEW PROCEDURES FOR RH AND RM DISTRICTS. (a) Purpose. The purpose of this section is to establish procedures for reviewing building permit applications for lots in "R" districts in order to determine compatibility of the proposal with the neighborhood and for providing notice to property owners and residents neighboring the site of the proposed project and to interested neighborhood organizations, so that concerns about a project may be identified and resolved

during the review of the permit.

(b) Applicability. Except as indicated herein, all building permit applications for new construction and alteration of residential buildings in RH and RM districts shall be subject to the notification and review procedures required by this section. Subsection 311(e) regarding demolition permits and approval of replacement structures shall apply to all "R" districts. For the purposes of this section, an alteration shall be defined as any change in use of a residential building or an increase to the exterior dimensions of a residential building except those features listed in Section 136(c)(1) through 136(c)(24) and 136(c)(26).

(c) Building Permit Application Review for Compliance and Notification. Upon acceptance of any application subject to this section, the Department of City Planning shall review the proposed project for compliance with the City Planning Code and any applicable design guidelines approved by the City Planning Commission. Applications determined not to be in compliance with the standards of Articles 1.2, 1.5, 2 and 2.5 of the City Planning Code, Residential Design Guidelines, including design guidelines for specific areas adopted by the Planning Commission, or with any applicable conditions of previous approvals regarding the project, shall be held until either the application is determined to be in compliance, is disapproved or a recommendation for cancellation is sent to the Department of

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
FEB 16 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Building Inspection.

(1) Residential Design Guidelines. The construction of new residential buildings and alteration of existing residential buildings in R Districts shall be consistent with the design policies and guidelines of the Master Plan and with the "Residential Design Guidelines" as adopted and periodically amended for specific areas or conditions by the City Planning Commission. The Zoning Administrator may require modifications to the exterior of a proposed new residential building or proposed alteration of an existing residential building in order to bring it into conformity with the "Residential Design Guidelines" and with the Master Plan. These modifications may include, but are not limited to, changes in siting, building envelope, scale texture and detailing, openings, and landscaping.

(2) Notification. Upon determination that an application is in compliance with the development standards of the City Planning Code, the Department of City Planning shall cause a notice to be posted on the site pursuant to rules established by the Zoning Administrator and shall cause a written notice describing the proposed project to be sent in the manner described below. This notice shall be in addition to any notices required by the Building Code and shall have a format and content determined by the Zoning Administrator. It shall include a description of the proposal compared to any existing

improvements on the site with dimensions of the basic features, elevations and site plan of the proposed project including the position of any adjacent buildings, exterior dimensions and finishes, and a graphic reference scale. The notice shall describe the project review process and shall set forth the mailing date of the notice and the expiration date of the notification period.

Written notice shall be mailed to the notification group which shall include the project sponsor, relevant neighborhood organizations as described in Subparagraph 311(c)(2)(C) below, all individuals having made a written request for notification for a specific parcel or parcels pursuant to City Planning Code Section 351 and all owners and, to the extent practical, occupants, of properties in the notification area.

(A) The notification area shall be all properties within 150 feet of the subject lot in the same Assessor's Block and on the block face across from the subject lot. When the subject lot is a corner lot, the notification area shall further include all property on both block faces across from the subject lot, and the corner property diagonally across the street.

(B) The latest city-wide Assessor's roll for names and addresses of owners shall be used for said notice.

(C) The Department shall maintain a list, available for public review, of neighborhood organizations which have indicated an interest in specific properties or areas. The

organizations having indicated an interest in the subject lot or its area shall be included in the notification group for the proposed project.

(3) Notification Period. All building permit applications shall be held for a period of 30 calendar days from the date of the mailed notice to allow review by residents and owners of neighboring properties and by neighborhood groups.

(4) Elimination of Duplicate Notice. The notice provisions of this Section may be waived by the Zoning Administrator for building permit applications for projects that have been, or before approval will be, the subject of a duly noticed public hearing before the City Planning Commission or Zoning Administrator, provided that the nature of work for which the building permit application is required is both substantially included in the hearing notice and is the subject of the hearing.

(d) Requests for City Planning Commission Review. A request for the City Planning Commission to exercise its discretionary review powers over a specific building permit application shall be considered by the City Planning Commission if received by the Department of City Planning no later than 5 p.m. of the last day of the notification period as described under Subsection (c)(3) above, subject to guidelines adopted by the City Planning Commission.

The project sponsor of a building permit application may

request discretionary review by the City Planning Commission to resolve conflicts between the Zoning Administrator and the project sponsor concerning requested modifications to comply with the Residential Design Guidelines.

(1) Scheduling of Hearing. The Zoning Administrator shall set a time for hearing requests for discretionary review by the City Planning Commission within a reasonable period, and not more than 30 days after the close of the notification period described in 311(c)(3) above.

(2) Notice. Mailed notice of the discretionary review hearing by the City Planning Commission shall be given not less than 10 days prior to the date of the hearing to the notification group as described in Paragraph 311(c)(2) above. Posted notice of the hearing shall be made as provided under City Planning Code Section 306.8.

(e) Demolition of Dwellings, Approval of Replacement Structure Required. Unless the building is determined to pose a serious and imminent hazard as defined in the Building Code an application authorizing demolition in any "R" District of an historic or architecturally important building or of a dwelling shall not be approved and issued until the City has granted final approval of a building permit for construction of the replacement building. A building permit is finally approved if the Board of Permit Appeals has taken final action for approval on an appeal of the issuance or denial of the permit or if the

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
FEB 16 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

permit has been issued and the time for filing an appeal with the Board has lapsed with no appeal filed.

(1) The demolition of any building whether or not historical and architecturally important may be approved administratively where the Director of the Department of Building Inspection or the Chief of the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety determines, after consultation with the Zoning Administrator, that an imminent safety hazard existing, and the Director of the Department of Building Inspection determines that demolition or extensive alteration of the structure is the only feasible means to secure the public safety.

APPROVED AS TO FORM

LOUISE H. RENNE
CITY ATTORNEY

Judith A. Boggior
Deputy City Attorney

N:\SHARE\RCA\3RESAMEN.PLR

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
November 13, 1995	January 29, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Ammiano Bierman Hallinan Kaufman Kennedy Migden Shelley Teng	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng
Absent: Supervisors Alioto Hsieh Leal	Absent: Supervisor Hsieh

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 115-95-11

FEB 2 1996
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 115-95-13 ORDINANCE NO. 47-96

(Historical Landmark Building)

AMENDING APPENDIX A TO ARTICLE 11, SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING CODE (CATEGORY I BUILDINGS) BY ADDING THE 155 SANSOME STREET PORTION OF THE PACIFIC STOCK EXCHANGE BUILDING TO THE LIST OF CATEGORY I BUILDINGS

Note: Inserts are indicated by underlining; there are no deletions being made by this amendment.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 1. Findings.

1. Appendix A to Article 11 of the San Francisco Planning Code lists the buildings in the C-3 District that, because of their special architectural, historical and aesthetic value, have been designated as Significant Buildings - Category I; and

2. The Pacific Stock Exchange Building was evaluated and determined by the San Francisco Department of City Planning as being appropriately designated a Significant Building - Category I; and

3. The Pacific Stock Exchange Building is composed of two buildings: 301 Pine Street and 155 Sansome Street, but through clerical oversight only the 301 Pine Street address was listed on Appendix A to Article 11; and

4. A Notice of Amended Preservation Designation, executed by the Zoning Administrator, Robert W. Passmore, was recorded on July 30, 1990, to add the 155 Sansome Street address to the Article 11 designation;

Section 2. Appendix A to Article 11 of the San Francisco Planning Code (Category I Buildings) is hereby amended by adding, in alphabetical order by street address, to the listing the following building:

Address of Building Name of Building	Block	Lot(s)
155 Sansome Stock Exchange Tower	268	1A

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

3197j

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
FEB 16 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

cc

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	$	Finally Passed
	$	
January 16, 1996	$	January 22, 1996
	$	
	$	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng	$	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley
	$	
Absent: Supervisor Kaufman	$	Absent: Supervisor Teng

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
115-95-13

FEB 2 1996
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 115-95-17.1 ORDINANCE NO. 48-96

(Columbarium and mortuary uses conditionally permitted in residential districts)

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER II OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (CITY PLANNING CODE) BY ADDING A NEW SECTION 209.9(j) TO SECTION 209.9 TO PERMIT COLUMBARIUM AND MORTUARY USES ON LANDMARK SITES IN RESIDENTIAL ZONING DISTRICTS WHICH ARE WITHIN HEIGHT AND BULK DISTRICTS OF 40 FEET OR LESS AND SUBJECT TO AUTHORIZATION OF A CONDITIONAL USE.

Note: This entire subsection is new.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) shall be amended by adding new subsection 209.9(j) to section 209.9, to read as follows:

RH-1(D)	RH-1	RH-1(S)	RH-2	RH-3	RM-1	RM-2	RM-3	RM-4	RC-1	RC-2	RC-3	RC-4
c	c	c	c	c	c	c	c	c	c	c	c	c

(j) Mortuary and columbarium uses located on a landmark site, and where the site is within a Height and Bulk District of 40 feet or less, and where a columbarium use has lawfully and continuously operated since the time of designation. Columbarium use shall be defined as a use which provides for the storage of cremated remains in niches.

Section 2. This amendment to the Planning Code is consistent with the Eight Priority Policies of Planning Code Section 101.1, as set forth in Planning Commission Resolution No. 14000, incorporated herein as though fully set forth.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

Deputy City Attorney

Lucian Blazej
Director of Planning

SUPERVISORS BIERMAN, TENG

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
FEB 16 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	$	Finally Passed
	$	
January 22, 1996	$	January 29, 1996
	$	
	$	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng	$	Ayes: Supervisors Ammiano Bierman Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng
	$	
	$	Absent: Supervisors Alioto Hsieh

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No.
115-95-17.1

FEB 2 1996
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 122-95-1 ORDINANCE NO. 49-96

(Mechanical Code)

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER XIV OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (MECHANICAL CODE) BY ADOPTING BY REFERENCE THE 1994 UNIFORM MECHANICAL CODE AS AMENDED BY THE 1995 CALIFORNIA MECHANICAL CODE; RETAINING AND MAKING APPLICABLE TO THE STATE CODE ALL PREVIOUSLY ADOPTED SAN FRANCISCO AMENDMENTS TO THE STATE CODE AND FINDINGS OF LOCAL CONDITIONS REQUIRED BY STATE LAW; REPUBLISHING THE RETAINED SAN FRANCISCO AMENDMENTS IN A SEPARATE VOLUME WITH CORRECTED SECTION REFERENCES AND REFORMATTING TO CORRESPOND TO THE NEW STATE CODE REFERENCES AND FORMAT; AND MAKING NONSUBSTANTIVE EDITORIAL CHANGES TO CONFORM THE CODE TO CHARTER SECTION 3.750; EFFECTIVE DATE OF FEBRUARY 19, 1996.

NOTE: This material is entirely new.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Findings.

(a) On October 30, 1989, the Board of Supervisors adopted Ordinance No. 398-89, which repealed Part II, Chapter XIV of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Mechanical Code) in its entirety and adopted a new San Francisco Mechanical Code. The 1989 San Francisco Mechanical Code consisted of the 1988 Edition of the Uniform Mechanical Code as amended bythe 1989 California Mechanical Code, with deviations from the California Mechanical Code based upon local conditions (commonly known as "the San Francisco amendments").

(b) By Resolution No. 795-89, the Board of Supervisors endorsed the San Francisco amendments and provided reasons for modification of the California Mechanical Code and findings as to local conditions, as required by California Health and Safety Code Section 17958.5.

(c) On November 16, 1989, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors forwarded copies of the 1989 San Francisco Mechanical Code, Ordinance No. 398-89, and Resolution No. 795-89 to the California Building Standards Commission pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section 17958.5.

(d) On June 4, 1992, the Board of Supervisors enacted Ordinance No. 159-92, which adopted by reference the 1991 Uniform Mechanical Code, as amended from time to time by the 1992 California Mechanical Code. Ordinance No. 159-92 retained and made applicable to the new State Code all previously adopted San Francisco amendments to the State Code contained in the 1989 San Francisco Mechanical Code (with any required section renumbering) together with all previously adopted findings of local conditions, except for those San Francisco amendments which were specifically repealed.

(e) The current edition of the San Francisco Mechanical Code consists of the 1991 Uniform Mechanical Code as amended by the 1992 California Mechanical Code, the San Francisco amendments retained and carried forward from the 1989 San Francisco Mechanical Code, and amendments made from time to time subsequent to the enactment of the 1992 Codes.

//

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
FEB 16 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Section 2. Existing San Francisco Amendments and Findings Made Applicable to the 1995 California Mechanical Code.

The Board of Supervisors hereby adopts by reference the 1994 Uniform Mechanical Code, as amended from time to time by the 1995 California Mechanical Code, and makes applicable to it all previously adopted San Francisco amendments to the California Mechanical Code together with the previously adopted findings of local conditions required by California Health and Safety Code Section 17958.5

The 1995 San Francisco Mechanical Code shall consist of the 1994 Edition of the Uniform Mechanical Code, as amended by the 1995 California Mechanical Code, and the San Francisco amendments retained and carried forward from the 1992 San Francisco Mechanical Code. The San Francisco amendments shall be republished in a separate volume entitled "1995 San Francisco Mechanical Code," with corrected section references and reformatting to correspond to the new section references and formatting of the 1995 California Mechanical Code and with nonsubstantive editorial changes to conform the San Francisco Mechanical Code with Section 3.750 et seq. of the San Francisco Charter.

Section 3. Effective Date. The 1995 San Francisco Mechanical Code will become effective on February 19, 1996.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. Renne
City Attorney

By Judith A. Bajajian
Deputy City Attorney

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
January 16, 1996	January 22, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley
Absent: Supervisor Kaufman	Absent: Supervisor Teng

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John Taylor
Clerk

File No. 122-95-1

FEB 2 1996
Date Approved

Willie L. Brown Jr.
Mayor

AS AMENDED IN COMMITTEE - 1/9/96

cc

FILE NO. 27-96-1

ORDINANCE NO. 50-96

(Airport-Lease Modifications)

APPROVING, RETROACTIVELY, LEASE NUMBER DTFA08-95-L-14707, CAT III ILS Localizer Runway 28R BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION (FAA) AND THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, ACTING BY AND THROUGH ITS AIRPORTS COMMISSION.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 7.405 of the Charter, the Board of Supervisors hereby approves, retroactively, Lease Number DTFA08-95-L-14707, CAT III ILS Localizer Runway 28R between the United States of America, Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) and the City and County of San Francisco, acting by and through its Airports Commission, copies of which Leases are contained in Board of Supervisors' file number 27-96-1.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
January 16, 1996	January 22, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley
Absent: Supervisor Kaufman	Absent: Supervisor Teng

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

_____
Clerk

File No. 27-96-1

FEB 2 1996
Date Approved

_____
Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

FEB 16 1996

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 45-96-1

ORDINANCE NO. 51-96

RO #95112

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF NOEMY RINCON AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO for $85,000.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section I. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "Noemy Rincon v. City and County of San Francisco, et al.,"Superior Court No. 957-725 by the payment of $85,000.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM
AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

PATRICK J. MAHONEY
Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:

ANTHONY RIBERA, Chief, Police

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

EDWARD M. HARRINGTON
Controller

1G AGF AAA 97 09 38
975025 05311 $85,000.00
12-19-95

APPROVED:

POLICE COMMISSION

SECRETARY

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
January 16, 1996	January 22, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley
Absent: Supervisor Kaufman	Absent: Supervisor Teng

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No.
45-96-1

FEB 2 1996
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
FEB 16 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 45-96-2

ORDINANCE NO. 52-96

RO #95114

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF GERARDO DEJELO AND ANTONIA DEJELO AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO AND ROBERT JOHNSTON FOR $200,000.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section I. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled GERARDO DEJELO AND ANTONIA DEJELO v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO AND ROBERT JOHNSTON, San Francisco Superior Court Case No. 951-919.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

PATRICK MAHONEY
Chief Trial Deputy

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

CONTROLLER 1G AGF AAA 97 09 38
975025 05311 $200,000.00

RECOMMENDED:

POLICE DEPARTMENT

ANTHONY RIBERA
Chief

APPROVED:

POLICE COMMISSION

SECRETARY

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

CC

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
January 16, 1996	January 22, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley
Absent: Supervisor Kaufman	Absent: Supervisor Teng

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 45-96-2

FEB 2 1996
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

FEB 16 1996

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 45-96-3 ORDINANCE NO. 53-96

RO # 95118

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF EMILYA YUDSON AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $10,000.00

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section I. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "Emilya Yudson vs. City and County of San Francisco", San Francisco Superior Court No. 965-454, by the payment of $10,000.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

PATRICK J. MAHONEY
Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

For
JOHN E. CRIBBS
Director

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

1G AGF AAA 97 09 90
975016 05311 $10,000.00
EDWARD HARRINGTON, CONTROLLER

APPROVED:

for WILLIAM L. LEE
Chief Administrative Officer

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

CC

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
January 16, 1996	January 22, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley
Absent: Supervisor Kaufman	Absent: Supervisor Teng

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 45-96-3

FEB 2 1996
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT
FEB 16 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 46-96-1 ORDINANCE NO. 54-96

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF THE CITY'S CROSS-COMPLAINT IN MICHAEL LAVELLA, et al. v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al., Superior Court No. 955-038.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the City's cross-complaint of the action entitled "Lavella v. City and County of San Francisco," San Francisco Superior Court No. 955-038, by the receipt of $42,500.86 from cross-defendant Michael Lavella.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

PATRICK J. MAHONEY
Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:

SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT

ANTHONY RIBERA
Chief

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

N/A

EDWARD M. HARRINGTON
Controller

APPROVED:

POLICE COMMISSION

LT. MANUEL BARRETTA
Secretary

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

cc

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
January 16, 1996	January 22, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley
Absent: Supervisor Kaufman	Absent: Supervisor Teng

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John Taylor
Clerk

File No. 46-96-1

FEB 2 1996
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
FEB 16 1996
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 65-96-1 ORDINANCE NO. 55-96

[Lease of Real Property]

AUTHORIZING AND APPROVING A THIRTY-FIVE YEAR LEASE WITH THE SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, OF CERTAIN SCHOOL DISTRICT PROPERTY, LOCATED AT MARINA MIDDLE SCHOOL; AND RATIFYING PREVIOUS ACTIONS.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The form of Lease presented to this Board of Supervisors (the "Lease") between the San Francisco Unified School District, as landlord, and the City, as tenant, for the use of approximately 289 square feet of property under the jurisdiction of the San Francisco Unified School District located at the Marina Middle School, for purposes of constructing and maintaining an operator restroom for the Municipal Railway, a copy of which Lease is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby approved. The Lease shall include an indemnity of the landlord, shall have a term of thirty-five years, and shall have an annual rent of $1.00 as set forth and on the terms and conditions contained in the Lease.

Section 2. The Mayor is hereby authorized to execute, and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby authorized to

attest and affix the seal of the City thereon, the Lease in the form presented to this Board.

Section 3. All actions authorized by this ordinance and heretofore taken by any City official in connection with the lease are hereby ratified, approved and confirmed by this Board of Supervisors.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

By: _____
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

By: _____
Director, Public Transportation Commission

By: _____
Director of Property

JEP

By: _____
Controller

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

FEB 16 1996

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

cc

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
	§	
January 16, 1996	§	January 22, 1996
	§	
	§	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng	§	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley
	§	
Absent: Supervisor Kaufman	§	Absent: Supervisor Teng

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No.
65-96-1

FEB 2 1996
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 118-96-1 ORDINANCE NO. 56-96

[Lead Poisoning Prevention Citizens Advisory Committee]

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER V, OF THE SAN FRANCISCO HEALTH CODE BY AMENDING SECTION 1607 TO REDUCE THE MEMBERSHIP OF THE LEAD POISONING PREVENTION CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE FROM 29 MEMBERS TO 25 MEMBERS.

Note: Additions are underlined; deletions are in ((double parentheses)).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Part II, Chapter V of the San Francisco Health Code is hereby amended by amending Section 1607, to read as follows:

Section 1607. Lead Poisoning Prevention Citizens Advisory Committee.

(a). There is hereby established a Lead Poisoning Prevention Citizens Advisory Committee.

(b). The committee shall consist of ((29)) 25 members appointed by the Board of Supervisors as follows:

(1) two representatives with expertise in childcare;

(2) two representatives from tenant organizations;

(3) ((six)) five representatives with one each from the Department of Social Services, ((the Department of Public Health,)) the Housing Authority, the Mayor's Offices of Housing and Child Care, the Recreation and Park Department, and the San Francisco Unified School District;

(4) ((nineteen)) sixteen representatives, to include one each with expertise in the following: ((financial matters,)) affordable housing, builders, ((construction workers,)) environmental matters, health educators, immigrant services, language specialist, low income advocate, medical provider, neighborhood concerns, non-profit clinics, ((nurses,)) parents, ((physicians,)) public housing tenants, public interest organizations, real estate, residential multi-dwellings, and State preschools.

If the Committee recommends that additional members be added to the Committee, the Board of Supervisors may appoint additional persons as members, consistent with such recommendation.

(c). The purposes of the committee are:

(1) to review the progress of the implementation of the provisions of this ordinance and to report annually on the matter to the Board of Supervisors;

(2) to recommend to the Board any changes to this Article it deems appropriate; ((and))

(3) to recommend to the Board of Supervisors the adoption of policies about screening of individuals suffering from elevated exposures to environmental lead and a primary prevention program;

(4) to provide advice and assistance to a City department with respect to lead poisoning prevention issues, if the department requests such assistance.

/ / /

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
FEB 16 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

(d). At the initial meeting of the committee, and yearly, thereafter, the committee members shall select such officers deemed necessary. The committee shall establish rules and regulations for its own organization and procedure and shall meet when necessary as determined by the committee. All meetings shall, except as provided by general law, be open to the public.

(e). Members of the committee shall serve without compensation or reimbursement for expenses. In the event a vacancy occurs, the Board of Supervisors shall appoint a successor from the same category.

(f). The Director shall provide clerical assistance to the Lead Poisoning Prevention Citizens Advisory Committee and to its subcommittees.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

By: Theodore R. Lakey
Theodore R. Lakey
Deputy City Attorney

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
January 22, 1996	January 29, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng	Ayes: Supervisors Ammiano Bierman Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng
	Absent: Supervisors Alioto Hsieh

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No. 118-96-1

FEB 2 1996
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

FEB 16 1996

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 97-95-68 ORDINANCE NO. 57-96
[IRS PENSION LIMITS]

AMENDING THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY ADDING SECTION 16.29-7.5 THERETO REGARDING INTERNAL REVENUE SECTION 401(a)(17) LIMITATIONS.

NOTE: THE ENTIRE SECTION IS NEW.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Chapter 16, Article IV, Section 16.29-7.5 of the San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby added to read as follows:

SEC. 16.29-7.5 ADOPTION OF INTERNAL REVENUE CODE SECTION 401(a)(17) LIMITATIONS. Notwithstanding any other provision of the Charter or Administrative Code, compensation for the purpose of computing benefits and contributions for any person who becomes a member of the retirement system on or after July 1, 1996 shall be subject to the limitations set forth in Section 401(a)(17) of the Internal Revenue Code as adjusted from time to time by the Secretary of the Treasury to reflect increases in the cost of living.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

By: _____
Deputy City Attorney
12/04/95

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

CC

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
January 29, 1996	February 5, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki
Absent: Supervisor Hsieh	Absent: Supervisor Kaufman

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

_____
Clerk

File No. 97-95-68

FEB 9 1996
Date Approved

_____
Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT
FEB 22 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 101-95-41

AS AMENDED IN COMMITTEE
01/24/96

ORDINANCE NO. 58-96

RO#95124
SA#57

APPROPRIATING $250,000 FROM THE GENERAL FUND RESERVE TO A CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECT TO ALLOW THE RECREATION AND PARK DEPARTMENT TO MATCH FUNDS FOR THE DESIGN OF THE RECONSTRUCTION OF THE CONSERVATORY OF FLOWERS FOR FISCAL YEAR 1995-96; PLACING $250,000 ON RESERVE.

01/24/96 grh

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for FY 1995-96 as follows:

Department and Number	Source of Funds and Purpose of Appropriation	Amount Debit	Amount Credit
IG-AGF-AAA	General Fund		
UN-UNA	General Fund Unallocated		
097-09799 (CON000174)	General Fund Reserve	$250,000	
RP-REC	Recreation and Park		
Proj. xxxxxxxxx	Conservatory of Flowers Design		
060-06700 (REC620914)	Capital Improvement Project		$250,000
	Total	$250,000	$250,000

01/24/96 grh

Section 2. Funds in the amount of $250,000 are hereby placed on reserve, to be released by the Budget Committee pending the selection of a contractor, the MBE/WBE status of the contractor, and the submission of a detailed project budget.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: _____
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
EDWARD M. HARRINGTON
CONTROLLER

BY: _____

n:\ascal\approp\f42ord57

SUPERVISOR SHELLEY

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

cc

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
January 29, 1996	February 5, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki
Absent: Supervisor Hsieh	Absent: Supervisor Kaufman

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

_____
Clerk

File No.
101-95-41

FEB 9 1996
Date Approved

_____
Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
FEB 22 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 101-95-42

AS AMENDED IN COMMITTEE 01/24/96

ORDINANCE NO. 59-96

RO#95123
SA#56

01/24/96 rh

$489,800

APPROPRIATING ~~$542,800~~ FROM THE GENERAL FUND RESERVE FOR OTHER CURRENT EXPENSES, MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES AND OVERTIME FOR STORM DAMAGE REPAIR AT THE RECREATION AND PARK DEPARTMENT FOR FISCAL YEAR 1995-96.

PLACING $236,000 ON RESERVE AND PROVIDING FOR RATIFICATION OF ACTION PREVIOUSLY TAKEN.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for FY 1995-96 as follows:

Department and Number	Source of Funds and Purpose of Appropriation	Amount Debit	Amount Credit
IG-AGF-AAA	General Fund		
UN-UNA	General Fund Unallocated		
097-09799 (CON000174)	General Fund Reserve	489,800 ~~542,800~~	
RP-REC	Recreation and Park		
001-01101 (RECPKSSQ)	Overtime		81,200 ~~65,600~~
021-03500 (RECPKSSQ)	Other Current Expenses		284,600
040-04999 RECPKSSQ)	Other Materials and Supplies		47,900
001-01101 (RECCANDLESTK)	Overtime		4,000
121-03500 (RECCANDLESTK)	Other Current Expenses		53,000 ~~94,600~~
040-04999 (RECCANDLESTK)	Other Materials and Supplies		46,200
	Total	489,800 ~~542,800~~	489,800 ~~542,800~~

Section 2. Funds in the amount of $236,000 are hereby placed on reserve, to be released by the Budget Committee pending the selection of contractors, the MBE/WBE status of the contractors, and the submission of contract cost details.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

01/24/96 grh

Section 3. Although the City has incurred no legal obligation under the Charter, the Board of Supervisors does hereby appropriate the funds necessary for the obligation and does hereby ratify the action previously taken.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: _____
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
EDWARD M. HARRINGTON
CONTROLLER

BY: _____

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DOCUMENTS DEPT
FEB 22 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

cc

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	$	Finally Passed
	$	
January 29, 1996	$	February 5, 1996
	$	
	$	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano	$	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano
Bierman Kaufman Kennedy	$	Bierman Hsieh Kennedy Leal Migden
Leal Migden Shelley Teng	$	Shelley Teng Yaki
	$	
Absent: Supervisor Hsieh	$	Absent: Supervisor Kaufman

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
101-95-42

FEB 9 1996
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 106-95-2.1 ORDINANCE NO. 60-96

(Unrepresented Employees)

AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 136-95 TO CORRECT A CLERICAL ERROR TO THE PROVISIONS SETTING THE CITY CONTRIBUTION TO HEALTH INSURANCE FOR UNREPRESENTED EMPLOYEES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1995-96.

Note: Additions are underlined, deletions are in((double parentheses.))

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 1. Pursuant to Charter Section 8.409-1, the Mayor hereby proposes and the Board of Supervisors approves the wages, hours and other terms and conditions of employment set forth herein to be applicable to all unrepresented classifications of City employment.

Section 2. Civil Service Rules, Administrative Code and Charter

Unless specifically addressed herein, those terms and conditions of employment which are currently set forth in the Charter, Administrative Code, Civil Service Rules policies and procedures, shall apply to employees covered by this ordinance.

Section 3. Pay, hours and benefits

The wage rates for the employees covered by this ordinance for Fiscal Year 1995-1996 shall be the same as the wage rates in effect on June 30, 1995. The Department of Human Resources shall

prepare a schedule of compensation including "Z" symbols (FLSA exempt) for all classifications covered by this ordinance.

EXCEPTION: Class 8135 Assistant Chief Victim/Witness Investigator shall be paid at salary schedule 61.2, $1809 - $2199 Biweekly.

Acting Assignment Pay

The Appointing Officer assigns duties to employees covered by this ordinance. Employees assigned by the Department Head or designee to perform the full range of essential functions of a position in a higher classification shall receive compensation at a higher salary if all of the following conditions are met:

(1) The assignment shall be in writing with copies to the Department of Human Resources and Controller.

(2) The assignment shall conform to all Civil Service Commission Rules, policies and procedures.

(3) The position to which the employee is assigned must be a budgeted position.

(4) The employee is assigned to perform the duties of a higher classification for longer than thirty (30) consecutive working days.

a. If each of the above criteria are met and upon written approval by the Department Head, an employee shall be

DOCUMENTS DEPT
FEB 22 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

paid a one full salary step adjustment (approximately 5%) but which does not exceed the maximum step of the salary schedule of the class to which temporarily assigned. Premiums based on percent of salary shall be paid at a rate which includes out of class pay.

b. Requests for classification or reclassification review shall not be governed by this provision.

Supervisory Differential Adjustment

The Appointing Officer may adjust the compensation of a supervisory employee whose schedule of compensation is set herein subject to the following conditions:

1. The supervisor, as part of the regular responsibilities of his/her class, supervises, directs, is accountable for and is in responsible charge of the work of a subordinate or subordinates.

2. The supervisor must actually supervise the technical content of subordinate work and possess education and/or experience appropriate to the technical assignment.

3. The organization is a permanent one approved by the appointing officer, chief administrative officer, Board or Commission, where applicable, and is a matter of record based upon review and investigation by the Department of Human

Resources.

4. The classifications of both the supervisor and the subordinate are appropriate to the organization and have a normal, logical relationship to each other in terms of their respective duties and levels of responsibility and accountability in the organization.

5. The compensation schedule of the supervisor is less than

6. The adjustment of the compensation schedule of the one full step (approximately 5%) over the compensation schedule, exclusive of extra pay, of the employee supervised. In determining the compensation schedule of a classification being paid a flat rate, the flat rate will be converted to a bi-weekly rate and the compensation schedule the top step of which is closest to the flat rate so converted shall be deemed to be the compensation schedule of the flat rate classification.supervisor shall not exceed 5% over the compensation exclusive of extra pay, of the employee supervised.

If the application of this section adjusts the compensation schedule of an employee in excess of his/her immediate supervisor, whose class is also covered by this ordinance the pay of such immediate supervisor shall be adjusted to an amount $1.00 bi-weekly in excess of the base rate of his/her highest paid

subordinate, provided that the other applicable conditions of this section are also met.

7. In no event will the Appointing Officer approve a supervisory salary adjustment in excess of two (2) full steps (approximately 10%) over the supervisor's current basic compensation. If in the following fiscal year a salary inequity continues to exist, the Appointing Officer may again review the circumstances and may grant an additional salary adjustment not to exceed two (2) full steps (approximately 10%).

8. The Human Resources Department shall review any changes in the conditions or circumstances that were and are relevant to the request for salary adjustment under this section.

Salary Step Plan and Salary Adjustments

Appointments to positions in the City and County service shall be at the entrance rate established for the position except as otherwise provided herein.

1. Promotive Appointment in a Higher Class

An employee or officer who is a permanent appointee following completion of the probationary period or six (6) months of permanent service, and who is appointed to a position in a higher classification, either permanent or temporary, deemed to be promotive shall have his/her salary adjusted to that step in the

promotive class as follows:

a. If the employee is receiving a salary in his/her present classification equal to or above the entrance step of the promotive class, the employee's salary in the promotive class shall be adjusted one step in the compensation schedule over the salary received in the lower class but not above the maximum of the salary range of the promotive classification.

b. If the employee is receiving a salary in his/her present classification which is less than the entrance step of the salary range of the promotive classification, the employee shall receive a salary step in the promotive class which is closest to an adjustment of 5% above the salary received in the class from which promoted. The proper step shall be determined in the bi-weekly compensation schedule and shall not be above the maximum of the salary range of the promotive class.

c. If the appointment deemed promotive is a temporary appointment, and the employee, following a period of continuous service at least equal to the prescribed probationary period is subsequently given another appointment, either permanent or temporary, deemed promotive from the prior temporary appointment class, the salary step in the subsequent promotive appointment shall be deemed promotive.

DOCUMENTS DEPT
FEB 22 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

For purpose of this Section, appointment of an employee as defined herein to a position in any class the salary schedule for which is higher than the salary schedule of the employee's permanent class shall be deemed promotive.

2. Non-promotive Appointment

When an employee accepts a non-promotive appointment in a classification having the same salary schedule, or a lower salary schedule, the appointee shall enter the new position at that salary step which is the same as that received in the prior appointment, or if the salary steps do not match, then the salary step which is immediately in excess of that received in the prior appointment, provided that such salary shall not exceed the maximum of the salary schedule.

3. Appointment Above Entrance Rate

Appointments may be made by an appointing officer at any step in the compensation schedule under the following conditions:

a. A former permanent City employee, following resignation with service satisfactory, is being reappointed to a permanent position in his/her former classification.

b. Loss of compensation would result if appointee accepts position at the normal step.

c. A severe, easily demonstrated and documented recruiting and retention problem exists, such that all City appointments in the particular class should be above the normal step.

Flat Rate Converted to Salary Range.

An employee serving in a class in the prior fiscal year at a flat rate which flat rate is changed to a compensation schedule number during the current fiscal year shall be paid on the effective date of such change the step in the current salary schedule closest to, but not below, the prior flat rate and shall retain the original anniversary date for future increments, when applicable.

Continuation of Salary Step Earned Under Temporary Appointment.

When an employee is promoted under temporary appointment to a higher classification during a prior fiscal year and is continued in the same classification without a break in service in the current fiscal year, or is appointed to a permanent position in the same classification, such appointment shall be in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance, provided that the salary shall not be less than the same step in the salary schedule the employee received in the immediately prior temporary appointment.

///

Salary Anniversary Date Adjustment.

Permanent employees working under provisional, exempt or temporary appointments in other classifications shall have their salary adjusted in such other classifications when such employees reach their salary anniversary date in their permanent class.

4. Compensation Upon Transfer or Reemployment

a. Transfer. An employee transferred from one department to another, but in the same classification, shall transfer at his/her current salary, and if s/he is not at the maximum salary for the class, further increments shall be allowed following the completion of the required service based upon the seniority increment anniversary date in the former department.

b. Reemployment in Same Classification Following Layoff. An employee who has acquired permanent status in a position and who is laid off because of lack of work or funds and is re-employed in the same class after such layoff shall be paid the salary step attained prior to layoff.

c. Reemployment in an Intermediate Classification. An employee who has completed the probationary period in a promotive appointment that is two or more steps higher in an occupational series than the permanent position from which promoted and who is subsequently laid off and returned to a position in an

intermediate ranking classification shall receive a salary based upon actual permanent service in the higher classification, unless such salary is less than the employee would have been entitled to if promoted directly to the intermediate classification.

Further increments shall be based upon the increment anniversary date that would have applied in the higher classification.

d. Reemployment in a Formerly Held Classification. An employee who has completed the probationary period in an entrance appointment who is laid off and is returned to a classification formerly held on a permanent basis shall receive a salary based upon the original appointment date in the classification to which the employee is returned. An employee who is returned to a classification not formerly held on a permanent basis shall receive a salary step in the salary schedule for the classification closest to, but not below, the prior salary amounts, provided that salary shall not exceed the maximum of the salary schedule.

Methods of Calculation

1. Monthly. An employee whose compensation is fixed on a monthly basis shall be paid monthly or bi-weekly in accordance

DOCUMENTS DEPT
FEB 22 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

with State Law or other applicable provision. There shall be no compensation for time not worked unless such time off is authorized time off with pay.

2. Bi-Weekly. An employee whose compensation is fixed on a bi-weekly basis shall be paid the bi-weekly salary for his/her position for work performed during the bi-weekly payroll period. There shall be no compensation for time not worked unless such time off is authorized time off with pay.

3. Per Diem or Hourly. An employee whose compensation is fixed on a per diem or hourly basis shall be paid the daily or hourly rate for work performed during the bi-weekly payroll period on a bi-weekly pay schedule. There shall be no compensation for time not worked unless such time off is authorized time off with pay.

4. Weekly. An employee whose compensation is fixed on a weekly basis shall be paid bi-weekly for work performed during the bi-weekly payroll period. There shall be no compensation for time not worked unless such time off is authorized time off with pay.

5. Conversion of Annual or Monthly Rates to Semimonthly or Bi-Weekly Rates. When rates of compensation provided on an annual or monthly basis are converted to bi-weekly rates for payroll purposes and the resulting amount involves a fraction of a cent,

the converted bi-weekly rate shall be adjusted to eliminate such fraction of a cent on the following basis:

a. A fraction of less than one-half (1/2) shall be dropped and the amount reduced to the next full cent.

b. A fraction of one-half (1/2) or more shall be increased to the next full cent.

6. Daily Rates for Monthly and Bi-Weekly Employees. A day's pay shall be determined by dividing the number of work days in a normal work schedule in a monthly payroll period (including specified holidays) into the monthly salary established for the position, or the amount of a day's pay shall be 1/10th of the compensation of a normal work schedule in a bi-weekly period (including specified holidays).

7. Conversion to Bi-Weekly Rates. Rates of compensation established on other than bi-weekly basis may be converted to bi-weekly rates by the Controller for payroll purposes.

Seniority Increments

1. Advancement Through Salary Steps.

a. Permanent employees may advance to the second step upon completion of six months service subject to approval of the appointing officer.

b. Employees who enter a classification at a rate of pay at

other than the first step may advance one step upon completion of the one year required service subject to the approval of the appointing officer. Further increments may accrue following completion of the required service at this step and at each successive step.

2. Date Increment Due. Increments may accrue and become due and payable on the next day following completion of required service as a permanent employee in the class and with approval of the appointing officer, unless otherwise provided herein.

3. Exceptions An employee shall not receive a salary adjustment based upon service as herein provided if he/she has been absent by reason of suspension or on any type of leave without pay (excluding a military leave) for more than one-sixth of the required service in the anniversary year, provided that such employee shall receive a salary increment when the aggregate time worked since his/her previous increment equals or exceeds the service required for the increment, and such increment date shall be his/her new anniversary date; provided that time spent on approved military leave or in an appointive or promotive position shall be counted as actual service when calculating salary increment due dates.

4. Increments may be withheld by the Appointing Officer as a

result of identified performance deficiencies.

Work Schedules

1. Regular Work Schedules

a. Regular Work Day. Unless otherwise provided in this Agreement, a regular workday is a tour of duty of eight (8), hours of work completed within not more than twenty-four (24) hours.

b. Regular Work Week. The Appointing Officer shall determine the work schedule for employees in his/her department. A regular workweek is a tour of duty of five (5) worked days within a seven day period. However, employees who are moving from one shift or one work schedule to another may be required to work in excess of five working days in conjunction with changes in their work shifts or schedules.

Employees shall receive no compensation when properly notified (2-hour notice) that work applicable to the classification is not available because of inclement weather conditions, shortage of supplies, traffic conditions, or other unusual circumstances. Employees who are not properly notified and report to work and are informed no work applicable to the classification is available shall be paid for a minimum of two hours. Employees who have been designated by their department as

DOCUMENTS DEPT
FEB 22 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

emergency personnel must report to work as scheduled unless otherwise notified by the Appointing Officer of designee. Employees who begin their shifts and are subsequently relieved of duty due to the above reasons shall be paid a minimum of two hours, and for hours actually worked beyond two hours, computed to the nearest one-quarter hour.

2. Night Duty

Employees, exclusive of employees in classes which are exempt from the Fair Labor Standards Act, who, as part of their regularly scheduled work shift, are required to work any hours between (six) 6:00 p.m. and (six) 6:00 a.m. shall receive a premium of 5% per hour in addition to their straight time hourly base rate of pay for any and all hours worked between (six) 6:00 p.m. and (six) 6:00 a.m. Excluded from this provision are those employees who participate in an authorized flex-time program where the work shift includes hours to be worked between the hours of (six) 6:00 p.m. and (six) 6:00 a.m. Day shift employees assigned to work during the night duty premium hours are not eligible for night duty premium. Payment of this premium shall be made for actual hours worked.

///

3. Alternate Work Schedule

The Appointing Officer may enter into cost equivalent alternate work schedules for some or all employees. Such alternate work schedules may include, but are not limited to, core hours flex-time; full-time work weeks of less than five (5) days; or a combination of features mutually agreeable to the parties. Such changes in the work schedule shall not alter the basis for, nor entitlement to, receiving the same rights and privileges as those provided to employees on five (5) day, forty (40) hour a week schedules.

4. Voluntary Reduced Work Week

Employees subject to the approval by the Appointing Officer may voluntarily elect to work a reduced work week for a specified period of time. Such reduced work week shall not be less than twenty (20) hours per week. Pay, vacation, holidays and sick pay shall be reduced in accordance with such reduced work week.

Overtime Compensation

The Appointing Officer may require employees to work longer than the regular work day or the regular work week. Any time worked under proper authorization suffered to be worked by an employee, exclusive of part-time employees, in excess of 40 hours of actual paid work in a week shall be designated as overtime and

shall be compensated at one-and-one-half times the base hourly rate which may include a night differential if applicable; provided however, that employees working in a flex-time program shall be entitled to overtime compensation as provided herein when required to work more than eighty hours per payroll period. Overtime shall be calculated and paid on the basis of the total number of straight-time hours actually worked in a week. Overtime compensation so earned shall be computed subject to all the provisions and conditions set forth herein.

Employees occupying Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA) exempt (executive, administrative, or professional) positions shall not be paid for overtime worked but may be granted compensatory time off at the discretion of the Appointing Officer.

Employees covered by the FLSA who are required to work overtime shall be paid at a rate of one and one-half times the regular base rate, except by mutual agreement an employee may accrue compensatory time in accordance with the paragraph above.

Fair Labor Standards Act

To the extent that this ordinance fails to afford employees the overtime or compensatory time off benefits to which they are entitled under the Fair Labor Standards Act, this ordinance authorizes and directs all City Departments to ensure that their

employees receive, at a minimum, such Fair Labor Standards Act Benefits.

Standby Pay

Employees who, as part of the duties of their positions are required by the Appointing Officer to standby when normally off duty to be instantly available on call for immediate emergency service for the performance of their regular duties, shall be paid the Federal Minimum Wage per hour for the period of such standby service. During the standby period employees are relieved from duty and such hours are not to be considered hours worked under the FLSA. The issuance of an electronic paging device does not in itself constitute eligibility for standby pay. When such employees are called on to perform their regular duties in emergencies during the period of such standby service, they shall be paid while engaged in such emergency service the usual rate of pay for such service as provided herein. Notwithstanding the general provisions of this section, standby pay shall not be allowed in classes which are FLSA exempt (executive, administrative or professional).

Call Back

Employees (except those at remote locations where City supplied housing has been offered, or who are otherwise being

DOCUMENTS DEPT
FEB 22 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

compensated) who are called back to their work locations following the completion of his/her work day and departure from his/her place of employment, shall be paid at the applicable rate for all hours actually worked. This section shall not apply to employees who are called back to duty when on stand-by status. Notwithstanding the general provisions of this section, call back pay shall not be allowed in classes which are FLSA exempt (executive, administrative or professional).

Pay Equity

In recognition of the desire of the City to phase out pay equity, pay equity adjustment amounts paid to covered employees in eligible classifications shall not exceed the 1993-94 levels. Employees in classes receiving an increases in wages and/or employer pick-up of the employee's share of retirement contribution as provided for in this Ordinance shall receive eighty percent (80%) of the increase(s), and the remaining twenty percent (20%) shall be considered as an offset against the pay equity adjustment:

Said offset shall not exceed the total pay equity adjustment.

Effective July 1, 1995, pay equity adjustments shall be discontinued for classes in which no positions have been authorized and/or for which there are no incumbents. Pay equity adjustments shall not be used as a basis for calculating salary, premium or benefit increases.

Holidays

Except when normal operations require, or in an emergency, employees shall not be required to work on the following days hereby declared to be holidays for such employees:

January 1 (New Year's Day)
the third Monday in January (Martin Luther King, Jr.'s Birthday)
the third Monday in February (President's Day)
the last Monday in May (Memorial Day)
July 4 (Independence Day)
the first Monday in September (Labor Day)
the second Monday in October (Columbus Day)
November 11 (Veteran's Day)
Thanksgiving Day
the day after Thanksgiving
December 25 (Christmas Day)

Provided further, if January 1, July 4, November 11 or December 25 falls on a Sunday, the Monday following is a holiday. The City shall accommodate religious belief or observance of employees as required by law.

Three additional floating days off to be taken on days selected by the employee subject to prior scheduling approval of

the appointing officer. Employees (both full-time and part-time) must complete six (6) months continuous service to establish initial eligibility for the floating days off. Employees hired on an as-needed, intermittent or seasonal basis shall not receive the additional floating days off. Floating days off may not be carried forward from one fiscal year to the next. No compensation of any kind shall be earned or granted for floating days off not taken.

Employees who have established initial eligibility for floating days off and subsequently separate from City employment, may at the sole discretion of the appointing authority, be granted those floating day(s) off to which the separating employee was eligible and had not yet taken off.

In addition, any day declared to be a holiday by proclamation of the Mayor after such day has heretofore been declared a holiday by the Governor of the State of California or the President of the United States.

For those employees assigned to a work week of Monday through Friday, and in the event a legal holiday falls on Saturday, the preceding Friday shall be observed as a holiday; provided, however, that except where the Governor declares that such preceding Friday shall be a legal holiday, each department

head shall make provision for the staffing of public offices under his/her jurisdiction on such preceding Friday so that said public offices may serve the public as provided in Section 7.702 of the Charter. Those employees who work on a Friday which is observed as a holiday in lieu of a holiday falling on Saturday shall be allowed a day off in lieu thereof as scheduled by the appointing officer in the current fiscal year. The City shall provide one week's advance notice to employees scheduled to work on the observed holiday, except in cases of unforeseen operational needs.

Holiday Compensation for Time Worked

Employees required by their respective Appointing Officers to work on any of the above-specified or to substitute holidays excepting Fridays observed as holidays in lieu of holidays falling on Saturday, shall be paid extra compensation of one (1) additional day's pay at time and one-half (1-1/2) the usual rate in the amount of twelve (12) hours' pay for eight (8) hours worked or a proportionate amount if less than eight (8) hours worked; provided, however, that at an employee's request and with the approval of the appointing officer, an employee may be granted compensatory time off in lieu of paid overtime.

DOCUMENTS DEPT
FEB 22 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Employees occupying positions which are exempt from the FLSA (Executive, administrative and professional) shall not receive extra compensation for holiday work but may be granted time off at the discretion of the Appointing Officer.

Holidays for Employees on Work Schedules Other Than Monday Through Friday

1. Employees assigned to seven (7) day-operation departments or employees working a five (5) day work week other than Monday through Friday shall be allowed another day off if a holiday falls on one of their regularly scheduled days off.

2. Employees whose holidays are changed because of shift rotations shall be allowed another day off if a legal holiday falls on one of their days off.

3. Employees required to work on a holiday which falls on a Saturday or Sunday shall receive holiday compensation for work on that day. Holiday compensation shall not then be additionally paid for work on the Friday preceding a Saturday holiday, nor on the Monday following a Sunday holiday.

4. Sections 2. and 3. above shall apply to part-time employees on a pro-rata basis.

If the provisions of this section deprive an employee of the same number of holidays that an employee receives who works

Monday through Friday, s/he shall be granted additional days off to equal such number of holidays. The designation of such days off shall be by mutual agreement of the employee and the appropriate employer representative. Such days off must be taken within the fiscal year. In no event shall the provisions of this section result in such employee receiving more or less holidays than an employee on a Monday through Friday work schedule.

Holiday Pay for Employees Laid Off

An employee who is laid off at the close of business the day before a holiday who has worked not less than five (5) previous consecutive workdays shall be paid for the holiday at their normal rate of compensation.

Employees Not Eligible for Holiday Compensation

Persons employed for holiday work only, or persons employed on a part-time work schedule which is less than twenty (20) hours in a bi-weekly pay period, or persons employed on an intermittent part-time work schedule (not regularly scheduled), or persons employed on as-needed, seasonal or project basis for less than six (6) months continuous service, or persons on leave without pay status both immediately preceding and immediately following the legal holiday shall not receive holiday pay.

Part-time Employees Eligible for Holidays

Part-time employees who regularly work a minimum of twenty (20) hours in a bi-weekly pay period shall be entitled to holiday pay on a proportionate basis.

Regular full-time employees are entitled to 8/80 or 1/10 time off when a holiday falls in a bi-weekly pay period, therefore, part-time employees, as defined in the immediately preceding paragraph, shall receive a holiday based upon the ratio of 1//10 of the total hours regularly worked in a bi-weekly pay period. Holiday time off shall be determined by calculating 1/10 of the hours worked by the part-time employee in the bi-weekly pay period immediately preceding the pay period in which the holiday falls. The computation of holiday time off shall be rounded to the nearest hour.

The proportionate amount of holiday time off shall be taken in the same fiscal year in which the holiday falls. Holiday time off shall be taken at a time mutually agreeable to the employee and the appropriate employer representative.

In-Lieu Holidays

(1) Requests for in-lieu holidays shall be made to the appropriate management representative within thirty (30) days

after the holiday is earned and must be taken within the fiscal year.

(2) In-lieu days will be assigned by the appointing officer or designee if not scheduled in accordance with the procedures described herein

(3) An in-lieu holiday can be carried over into the next fiscal year only with the written approval of the appointing officer.

Sick Leave

Requests for sick leave in excess of forty (40) consecutive hours shall be certified by a licensed medical doctor, doctor of dental surgery, doctor of podiatric medicine, licensed clinical psychologist, Christian Science practitioner, or licensed doctor of chiropractic. Verification of sick leave for less than forty (40) hours may be required at the sole discretion of the Appointing Officer or his/ her designee.

Health and Welfare and Dental Insurance

1. City Contribution

The City will maintain health and dental contributions at present levels for the life of this ordinance.

The City will contribute an additional $50.00 per month effective 10/01/95 for an employees dependent health care coverage; effective 1/1/96, an additional $75 per month.

DOCUMENTS DEPT
FEB 23 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

The City will cease payment of any and all contributions for employee health and dental benefits for those employees who remain on unpaid status, with the exception of approved sick leave, in excess of twelve (12) continuous weeks.

Retirement Pick-Up

The City shall pick-up the employee contribution to Retirement as follows:

As of October 1, 1995, the City will contribute to the appropriate pension plan:

- 2.0% of pension covered gross salary for old plan full rate SFERS members and new plan full rate members;
- 1.3% of pension covered gross salary for new plan reduced rate SFERS members;
- 2.0% of pension covered gross salary for PERS members
- 1.8% of pension covered gross salary for old plan reduced rate SFERS members

An additional 1.0% of pension covered gross salary as of 1/1/96 for old plan full rate members, PERS members and new plan full rate members

An additional 1.5% of pension covered gross salary as of 4/1/96 for old plan full rate members, PERS members and new plan full rate members.

The aforesaid contributions shall not be considered as a

part of an employee's compensation for the purpose of computing straight time earnings, compensation for overtime worked, premium pay, or retirement benefits; nor shall such contributions be taken into account in determining the level of any other benefit which is a function of or percentage of salary.

Health, Retirement and Wage Increases - Effective Dates

For health, retirement and wage increases all payments required by the City shall be effective as of the pay period following the effective date listed herein

Health Care Benefits

The level of the City's contribution to health benefits will be set in accordance with the requirements of Charter Sections 8.423 and 8.428.

Section 4. Training, Career Development and Incentives

Unrepresented employees shall be on paid status when assigned to attend required educational programs scheduled during normal working hours.

Savings Clause

Should any part hereof or any provision herein be declared invalid by any decree of court of competent jurisdiction, such invalidation of such part or portion of this ordinance shall not invalidate the remaining portions hereof and the remaining

portions hereof shall remain in full force and effect for the duration of this ordinance.

This Ordinance shall be effective July 1, 1995.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

APPENDIX I

Sick Leave With Pay Limitation

An employee who is absent because of disability leave and who is receiving disability indemnity payments may request that the amount of disability indemnity payment be supplemented with salary to be charged against the employee's sick leave with pay credits so as to equal the net amount the employee would have earned for a regular work schedule minus premium pay adjustments.

If the employee wishes to exercise this option, the employee must submit a signed statement to the employee's department no later than thirty (30) days following the employee's release from disability leave.

SDI payments to an employee who qualifies and who has accumulated and is eligible to use sick leave with pay credits shall be supplemented with sick leave with pay credits so that the total of SDI and sick leave with pay calculated in units of one (1) hour provides up to, but does not exceed, the regular net salary the employee would have received for the normal work schedule excluding overtime.

DOCUMENTS DEPT
FEB 22 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

cc

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	$	Finally Passed
	$	
January 29, 1996	$	February 5, 1996
	$	
	$	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano	$	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano
Bierman Kaufman Kennedy	$	Bierman Hsieh Kennedy Leal Migden
Leal Migden Shelley Teng	$	Shelley Teng Yaki
	$	
Absent: Supervisor Hsieh	$	Absent: Supervisor Kaufman

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
106-95-2.1

FEB 9 1996
Date Approved

Willie L. Brown Jr.
Mayor

Amendment of the Whole
January 18, 1996.

FILE NO. 127-95-12 ORDINANCE NO. 61-96

[Board of Permit Appeals]

AMENDING SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE, PART III, SECTION 8, TO PROVIDE THAT THE BOARD OF PERMIT APPEALS SHALL FIX THE TIME AND PLACE OF HEARING NOT LESS THAN TEN DAYS NOR MORE THAN FORTY-FIVE DAYS AFTER THE FILING OF SAID APPEAL AND SHALL ACT THEREON NOT LATER THAN SIXTY DAYS AFTER SUCH FILING OR A REASONABLE TIME THEREAFTER; EXCEPT THAT PLACE OF ENTERTAINMENT AND CABARET PERMITS SHALL BE SET NOT LESS THAN FIFTEEN DAYS AND ACTED UPON NOT LATER THAN THIRTY DAYS AFTER FILING AND THE BOARD SHALL NOT ENTERTAIN A MOTION FOR REHEARING.

NOTE: Deletions are in ((double parentheses)); additions are <u>underlined</u>.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Part III of the San Francisco Municipal Code is hereby amended by amending Section 8 to read as follows:

SEC. 8. METHOD OF APPEAL TO THE BOARD OF PERMIT APPEALS.

Except for variances and Zoning Administrator determination decisions, appeals to the Board of Permit Appeals shall be taken within 15 days from the making or entry of the order or decision from which the appeal is taken. Appeals of variance and Zoning Administrator determination decisions shall be taken within 10 days. Appeals shall be taken by filing a notice of appeal with the Board of Permit Appeals and paying to said Board at such time a filing fee as follows:

(a) Zoning Administrator, Department of City Planning, Director of Planning and City Planning Commission.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SUPERVISOR BIERMAN

(1) For each appeal from the Zoning Administrator's variance decision the fee shall be $400.

(2) For each appeal from any order, requirement, decision or other determination (other than a variance) made by the Zoning Administrator, the Department of City Planning or Commission or the Director of Planning, including an appeal from disapproval of a permit which results from such an action, the fee shall be $400.

(b) Department of <u>Building Inspection</u> ((Public Works)).

(1) For each appeal from a ((Bureau)) <u>Department</u> of Building Inspection denial, conditional approval or granting of a residential hotel or apartment conversion permit the fee shall be $350.

(2) For each appeal from the granting or denial of a building demolition, or other permit (other than residential hotel conversion) the fee shall be $100.

(3) For each appeal from the imposition of a penalty only the fee shall be $200.

(c) Police Department.

(1) For each appeal from the denial or granting of a Police permit or license issued to the owner or operator of a business the fee shall be $250; for each such permit or license issued to an individual employed by or working under contract to a business, the fee shall be $100.

(2) For each appeal from the revocation or suspension of a Police permit or license the fee shall be $250 for an entity or individual.

(d) For each appeal from any other order or decision the fee shall be $200.

(e) For requests for rehearing under Section 16 of this Article the fee shall be $100.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SUPERVISOR BIERMAN

DOCUMENTS DEPT
FEB 22 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

(f) For requests for jurisdiction the fee shall be $100.

(g) An exemption from paying the full fee specified in Subsections (a), (b), (c), (d), (e) and (f) herein may be granted upon filing under penalty of perjury of a declaration of indigency on the form provided and approved by the Board. All agencies of the City and County of San Francisco are exempted from these fees.

Notice of appeal shall be in such form as may be provided by the rules of the Board of Permit Appeals.

On the filing of any appeal, the Board of Permit Appeals shall notify in writing the department, board, commission, officer or other person from whose action the appeal is taken of such appeal. On the filing of any appeal concerning a structural addition to an existing building, the Board of Permit Appeals shall additionally notify in writing the property owners of buildings immediately adjacent to the subject building.

The Board of Permit Appeals shall fix the time and place of hearing, which shall be not less than ten ((five)) nor more than 45 ((15)) days after the filing of said appeal, and shall act thereon not later than 60 ((40)) days after such filing or a reasonable time thereafter. In the case of a Place of Entertainment permit or a Cabaret permit, the Board of Permit Appeals shall set the hearing not less than 15 days after the filing of said appeal, shall act thereon not later than 30 days after such filing, and shall not entertain a motion for rehearing. Pending decision by the Board of Permit Appeals, the action of such department, board, commission, officer or other person from which an appeal is taken, excluding actions of revocations or suspension of permit

//

by the Director of Public Health when determined by the Director to be an extreme public health hazard, shall be suspended.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

Judith A. Boggin
Deputy City Attorney

cc

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	$	Finally Passed
	$	
January 29, 1996	$	February 5, 1996
	$	
	$	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano	$	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano
Bierman Kaufman Kennedy	$	Bierman Hsieh Kennedy Leal Migden
Leal Migden Shelley Teng	$	Shelley Teng Yaki
	$	
Absent: Supervisor Hsieh	$	Absent: Supervisor Kaufman

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
127-95-12

FEB 9 1996
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT
FEB 22 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

AS AMENDED IN COMMITTEE - 1/23/96

FILE NO. 27-96-2

ORDINANCE NO. 62-96

(Airport-Lease Modifications)

APPROVING, RETROACTIVELY, MODIFICATION NO. 7 OF LEASE AND USE AGREEMENT BETWEEN AMERICAN AIRLINES, INC. AND CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, ACTING BY AND THROUGH ITS AIRPORTS COMMISSION.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 7.402-1 of the Charter, the Board of Supervisors hereby approves, retroactively, Modification No. 7 of the Lease and Use Agreement between American Airlines, Inc. and the City and County of San Francisco, acting by and through its Airports Commission, copies of which Modification are contained in Board of Supervisors' file number 27-96-2.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

CC

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
January 29, 1996	February 5, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki
Absent: Supervisor Hsieh	Absent: Supervisor Kaufman

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
27-96-2

FEB 9 1996
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT
FEB 22 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 46-96-3 ORIGINAL When Stamped in Red ORDINANCE NO. 63-96

cc

[Settlement Of Lawsuit]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF THE LITIGATION IN CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO AGAINST THORNTON ARCHITECTS, INC. ET AL FOR $175,000.00

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the its claim against Thornton Architects, Inc. in the action entitled "CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO v. THORNTON ARCHITECTS, INC., et al.", Superior Court No. 964-427, by receiving from Thornton $175,000.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

PATRICK MAHONEY
Chief Trial Deputy

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

not applicable collection

CONTROLLER

RECOMMENDED:

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

Michael P Hoan For

JOHN E. CRIBBS
Director Of Public Works

APPROVED:

WILLIAM LEE
Chief Administrative Officer

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
January 29, 1996	February 5, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Ammiano Bierman Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki
Absent: Supervisors Alioto Hsieh	Absent: Supervisor Kaufman

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No. 46-96-3

FEB 9 1996
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT
FEB 28 1996
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

cc

FILE NO. 97-96-1 ORDINANCE NO. 64-96

[Farmers' Market Hours of Operation]

AMENDING SECTION 9A.12 OF THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE TO ALLOW THE FARMERS' MARKET TO OPERATE ON SUNDAYS.

Note: Additions are underlined;
deletions are in ((double parentheses)).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Section 9A.12 of the San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended to read as follows:

SEC. 9A.12. **HOURS OF OPERATION.** The days and hours during which the Farmer's Market shall operate shall be fixed by the rules and regulations adopted and promulgated by the Chief Administrative Officer((; provided that the market shall not operate on Sunday)).

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

By: Leslie James
Deputy City Attorney

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
January 29, 1996	§	February 5, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng	§	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki
Absent: Supervisor Hsieh	§	Absent: Supervisor Kaufman

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No. 97-96-1

FEB 9 1996
Date Approved

Willie L. Brown Jr.
Mayor

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGRICULTURAL COMMISSIONER

M:\BPCLPROJ\LTRUTWEB\AGCOM\LBG18\SUNDAY.LBG 1/31/96

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
FEB 22 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 121-96-1 ORDINANCE NO. 65-96

(PAWNBROKING - MISSION AND INGLESIDE)

AMENDING ARTICLE 28 OF THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE CODE BY AMENDING SECTION 2825 TO EXTEND THE MORATORIUM ON THE ISSUANCE OF PERMITS BY THE CHIEF OF POLICE TO OPERATE ANY PAWNBROKING BUSINESS IN THE MISSION AND INGLESIDE DISTRICTS TO JANUARY 15, 2001.

NOTE: Deletions are indicated in ((double parenteses)); additions are underlined.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Article 28 of the San Francisco Police Code is hereby amended by amending Section 2825, to read as follows:

SEC. 2825. MORATORIUM ON THE GRANTING OF PERMITS IN THE MISSION AND INGLESIDE POLICE DISTRICTS.

Notwithstanding any provision of this Code, the Chief of Police shall not grant or issue to any person a permit to operate or to cause or permit to be operated any pawnbroking business in the Mission and Ingleside Police Districts.

1. **Mission Police District Defined.** Commencing at the point of intersection of the center line of Duboce Avenue and the center line of Steiner Street; thence easterly along the center line of Duboce Avenue to the center line of 13th Street; thence southeasterly along the center line of 13th Street to Division

SUPERVISOR HSIEH (1), LEAL
ALIOTO, SHELLEY, BIERMAN

Street; thence easterly along the center line of Division Street to the center line of Potrero Avenue; thence southerly along the center line of Potrero Avenue to the center line of 18th Street; thence easterly along the center line of 18th Street to the west line of the James Lick Memorial Freeway; thence southerly along the west line of the James Lick Memorial Freeway to the center line of Army Street; thence westerly along the center line of Army Street to the center line of Douglass Street; thence south along the center line of Douglass Street to the center line of 27th Street; thence along the center line of 27th Street, and its prolongation along Safira Lane to the center line of Diamond Heights Boulevard; thence westerly along the center line of Diamond Heights Boulevard to the center line of Portola Drive; thence southwesterly along the center line of Portola Drive to the center line of Twin Peaks Boulevard; thence northwesterly along the center line of Twin Peaks Boulevard to the center line of Clayton Street; thence northwest along the center line of Clayton Street to the center line of 17th Street; thence east along the center line of 17th Street to the center line of Roosevelt Way; thence northeast along the center line of Roosevelt Way to the center line of Loma Vista Terrace; thence northeast along the center line of Loma Vista Terrace to the center line of Upper Terrace; thence northeasterly along the center line of Upper

DOCUMENTS DEPT
FEB 22 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Terrace to the center line of Buena Vista East; thence northeast along the center line of Buena Vista East to the center line of Duboce Avenue; thence east along the center line of Duboce Avenue to the center line of Steiner Street to the point of commencement.

2. **Ingleside District Defined.** Commencing at a point where the center line of Alabama Street intersects the center line of Army Street; thence westerly along the center line of Army Street to the center line of Portola Drive; thence westerly along the center line of Portola Drive to the center line of Junipero Serra Boulevard; thence southerly along the center line of Junipero Serra Boulevard to the San Mateo County Line; thence easterly along the San Mateo County Line to the center line of Geneva Avenue; thence westerly along the center line of Geneva Avenue to the most easterly boundary of the Crocker-Amazon Playground; thence northerly along the Crocker-Amazon boundary to the center line of La Grande Avenue; thence northerly along the center line of La Grande Avenue to the center line of Persia Avenue; thence easterly along the center line of Persia Avenue to the intersection with the center line of Brazil Avenue; thence westerly along the center line of Brazil Avenue to the center line of La Grande Avenue; thence northerly along the center line of La Grande Avenue to the center line of Burrows Street; thence easterly along the center line of Burrows Street to the center

line of Harvard Street; thence southerly along the center line of Harvard Street to the center line of Bacon Street; thence easterly along the center line of Bacon Street to the center line of Oxford Street; thence southerly along the center line of Oxford Street to the center line of Wayland Street; thence easterly along the center line of Wayland Street to the center line of Cambridge Street; thence northerly along the center line of Cambridge Street to the center line of Silver Avenue; thence easterly along the center line of Silver Avenue to the center line of Bowdoin Street; thence northerly along the center line of Bowdoin Street to the center line of Alemany Boulevard; thence northeasterly along the center line of Alemany Boulevard to the west boundary of the James Lick Memorial Freeway; thence northeasterly along the west boundary of the Freeway to the center line of Army Street; thence westerly along the center line of Army Street to the center line of Alabama Street to the point of commencement.

3. **Sunset Provision.** The moratorium provided by this ordinance shall expire ((January 15, 1996)) January 15, 2001.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Deputy City Attorney

cc

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
January 29, 1996	February 5, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki
Absent: Supervisor Hsieh	Absent: Supervisor Kaufman

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
121-96-1

FEB 9 1996
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT
FEB 22 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

AS AMENDED IN COMMITTEE - 1/23/96

FILE NO. 97-95-67 ORDINANCE NO. 66-96

(Domestic Partnership Ceremony)

AMENDING CHAPTER 62 OF THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY ADDING SECTION 62.9 THERETO, AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY CLERK TO PROVIDE A CIVIL CEREMONY TO SOLEMNIZE THE EXISTENCE OF A DOMESTIC PARTNERSHIP; SETTING EFFECTIVE DATE.

Note: This section is new

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Chapter 62 of the San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by adding Section 62.9 thereto to read as follows:

Section 62.9 CIVIL CEREMONY

(a) The County Clerk is authorized to perform a civil ceremony solemnizing the formation of a Domestic Partnership established in accordance with this Chapter. Persons who either (1) present a signed Declaration of Domestic Partnership for filing to the County Clerk in accordance with section 62.3(1), or who (2) present a certificate issued by the County Clerk in accordance with section 62.3(1) showing that a signed Declaration of Domestic Partnership for these persons has been previously filed with the County Clerk, may request that the County Clerk perform a ceremony solemnizing the formation of their Domestic Partnership. Each request for a Domestic Partnership ceremony shall be made in writing on a form provided by the County Clerk, and shall be accompanied by payment of a fee of $30.

(b) Upon completion of the ceremony authorized by subsection (a), the County Clerk shall issue a certificate memorializing the performance of the

ceremony. The certificate shall be signed by the officiant. The County Clerk shall keep a record of all such ceremonies performed, filed with the Declaration of Domestic Partnership to which they apply. The County Clerk shall keep a record of applications for Domestic Partnership ceremonies for a period of two years from the date of the application.

(c) The County Clerk is authorized to deputize persons to solemnize Domestic Partnership ceremonies. Any person 18 years of age or older may apply to be deputized for this purpose. Approval of applicants and the terms of any such authorization shall be solely within the discretion of the County Clerk.

(d) The ceremony authorized by this section shall have no legal effect upon the status of a Domestic Partnership established pursuant to this chapter.

(e) This section shall become effective 45 days after it is enacted.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

Deputy City Attorney

DOCUMENTS DEPT
FEB 22 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
	§	
January 29, 1996	§	February 5, 1996
	§	
	§	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano	§	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano
Bierman Kaufman Kennedy	§	Bierman Hsieh Kennedy Leal Migden
Leal Migden Shelley Teng	§	Shelley Teng Yaki
	§	
Absent: Supervisor Hsieh	§	Absent: Supervisor Kaufman

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No.
97-95-67

FEB 9, 1996
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 101-95-38

ORDINANCE NO. 67-96

(Government Funding)

RO#95115
SA#41

APPROPRIATING $125,000 FROM THE GENERAL FUND RESERVE FOR PROFESSIONAL SERVICES TO ALLOW THE BUDGET ANALYST AT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS TO CONDUCT A COMPREHENSIVE MANAGEMENT AUDIT AT THE MUNICIPAL RAILWAY FOR FISCAL YEAR 1995-96. (IMPLEMENTATION OF PROPOSITION "J").

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for FY 1995-96 as follows:

Department and Number	Source of Funds and Purpose of Appropriation	Amount Debit	Amount Credit
IG-AGF-AAA	General Fund		
UN-UNA	General Fund Unallocated		
097-09799 (CON000174)	General Fund Reserve	$125,000	
BD-BOS	Board of Supervisors		
021-02700 (015006)	Professional Services		$125,000
	Total	$125,000	$125,000

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: [signature]
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

n:\excelapprov\01sa041

FUNDS AVAILABLE
EDWARD M. HARRINGTON

BY: [signature]
CONTROLLER

cc

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
January 29, 1996	February 5, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki
Absent: Supervisor Hsieh	Absent: Supervisor Kaufman

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

[signature]
Clerk

File No. 101-95-38

FEB 12 1996
Date Approved

[signature]
Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT
FEB 22 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 101-95-39 AS AMENDED IN COMMITTEE 01/24/96 **ORDINANCE NO. 68-96**

(Government Funding) RO# 95111 SA#51

APPROPRIATING $300,000 FROM A GENERAL FUND RESERVE TO ALLOW THE ~~CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION~~ DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES TO CONDUCT A CLASSIFICATION STUDY FOR FISCAL YEAR 1995-96; PLACING $150,000 ON RESERVE.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for FY 1995-1996 as follows:

Department and Number	Source of Funds and Purpose of Appropriation	Amount Debit	Amount Credit
1G-AGF-AAA	**General Fund**		
UN-UNA	General Unallocated		
097-097SS (CON000174)	Reserve for CSC Classification Study	$300,000	
CS-CSC	Department of Human Resources ~~Civil Service Commission~~		
Project xxx-xx	Citywide Classification Study		
021-03500 ( )	Other Current Expenses - Budget		$300,000
	Total	$300,000	$300,000

Section 2. Funds in the amount of $150,000 are hereby placed on reserve, to be released by the Budget Committee pending a report back to the Budget Committee on the results achieved from the first $150,000 expenditure.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: [signature]
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE
EDWARD M. HARRINGTON
CONTROLLER

BY: [signature]

n:\ascii\approp\30ba121

CC

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	$	Finally Passed
	$	
January 29, 1996	$	February 5, 1996
	$	
	$	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng	$	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki
	$	
Absent: Supervisor Hsieh	$	Absent: Supervisor Kaufman

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

[signature]
Clerk

File No. 101-95-39

FEB 12 1996
Date Approved

[signature]
Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT
FEB 2 0 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 45-96-4

ORDINANCE NO. 69-96

RO #95119

[Settlement of Lawsuit]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF JULIE WHITTON-AFSARI, MANDIJEH AFSARI, KENNETH HOLTGREWE AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $497,500.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "Julie Whitton-Afsari, Mandijeh Afsari, Kenneth Holtgrewe v. The City and County of San Francisco, et al.," Superior Court No. 958-653 (consolidated), by the payment of $497,500.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

PATRICK J. MAHONEY
Chief Trial Deputy

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

EDWARD M. HARRINGTON
Controller
1G AGF AAA 97 09 36
975032 05311 $497,500.00

RECOMMENDED:

DEPARTMENT OF PARKING AND TRAFFIC

JOHN NEWLIN
Executive Director

APPROVED:

PARKING & TRAFFIC COMMISSION

Secretary

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

CC

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
January 29, 1996	February 5, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Ammiano Bierman Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki
Absent: Supervisors Alioto Hsieh	Absent: Supervisor Kaufman

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 45-96-4

FEB 12 1996
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT
FEB 22 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

CITY LIBRARIAN
PUBLIC LIBRARY
DOCUMENTS DEPARTMENT
CIVIC CENTER LIBRARY
(2 copies of all Leg.)

FILE NO. 101-94-86
(Government Funding)

AS AMENDED IN COMMITTEE 4/12/95
AS FURTHER AMENDED IN COMMITTEE 2/7/96

ORDINANCE NO. 70-96
RO#94202
SA#73-1

APPROPRIATING $600,000 OF 1992 FIRE PROTECTION BOND PROCEEDS FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECT (DESIGN OF NEW 911 DISPATCH CENTER) FOR THE FIRE DEPARTMENT FOR FISCAL YEAR ~~1994-95~~ 1995-96. SUBJECT OF PREVIOUS BUDGETARY DENIAL.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for FY ~~1994-1995~~ 1995-1996 as follows:

Department and Number	Source of Funds and Purpose of Appropriation	Amount Debit	Amount Credit
05-623	**1992 Fire Station Improvement Bonds**		
31-00-00	Fire Department		
Project 100-01	Architectural and Design		
55-5500 (057968)	Proceeds of Sale of Bonds	$600,000	
Project 510-01	Design and Architectural		
20-2030 (530170)	Capital Improvement Project		$600,000
	Total	$600,000	$600,000

Section 2. This Ordinance is adopted by a two-thirds vote of all members of the Board of Supervisors pursuant to Section 6.306 of the Charter. These items were previously requested by Budget Estimate and denied by the Board.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: [signature]
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
EDWARD M. HARRINGTON
CONTROLLER

BY: [signature]

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading
February 12, 1996

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki

Finally Passed
February 20, 1996

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

[signature]
Clerk

File No. 101-94-86

FEB 23 1996
Date Approved

[signature]
Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT
MAR 05 1996
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 124-96-1 ORDINANCE NO. 71-96

(TRAFFIC REGULATIONS)

ADDING SECTION 28.5.46 TO THE SAN FRANCISCO TRAFFIC CODE, ADDING TO THE LIST OF STREETS UPON WHICH A COMMERCIAL VEHICLE WEIGHT RESTRICTION SHALL APPLY.

Note: This section is new.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The San Francisco Traffic Code is hereby amended by adding Section 28.5.46 thereto to read as follows:

SECTION 28.5.46. Scott Street, between California and Bush Streets.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

By: Jane M. Rom
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

John E. Newlin
John E. Newlin, Director
Department of Parking and Traffic

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
February 12, 1996	§	February 20, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki	§	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
124-96-1

FEB 23 1996
Date Approved

Willie L. Brown Jr.
Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
MAR 05 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

AS AMENDED IN COMMITTEE
2/7/96

cc

FILE NO. 101-95-44

ORDINANCE NO. 72-96

(Government Funding)

RO#95132
SA#47

APPROPRIATING $1,600,000 OF 911 PROJECT FUNDS FOR THE PURCHASE OF MOBILE COMPUTING TERMINALS (PROVIDE LOCAL MATCH FOR COPS MORE GRANT) FOR THE CAO'S OFFICE FOR FISCAL YEAR 1995-96; PLACING $1,600,000 ON RESERVE.

/2/7/96

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for FY 1995-1996 as follows:

Department and Number	Source of Funds and Purpose of Appropriation	Amount Debit	Amount Credit
2S-PPF-911	**911 Project Fund**		
099-9999 (705012)	Fund Balance	$1,600,000	
060-06061 (705012)	Computer Equipment		$1,600,000
	Total	$1,600,000	$1,600,000

/2/7

Section 2. Funds in the amount of $1,600,000 are hereby placed on reserve pending EIPSC approval, the selection of a vendor, the submission of cost details and the MBE/WBE status of the vendor and to be released by the Budget Committee.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY _____
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
EDWARD M. HARRINGTON
CONTROLLER

BY _____

n \excel\budget\70sa847

Supervisors Shelley, Teng, Kennedy, Yaki, Ammiano

Supervisor Shelley
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
February 12, 1996	§	February 20, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki	§	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
101-95-44

FEB 23 1996
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

MAR 05 1996

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 101-95-46 ORDINANCE NO. 73-96

ERATTA

To correct on Line 10, by replacing $81,945 with $$80,945, which was a typographical error.

John L. Taylor
Clerk of the Board
March 4, 1996

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

2/7/96
grh

03/04/96

2/7/96
grh

FILE NO. 101-95-46 (Government Funding)

AS AMENDED IN COMMITTEE 2/07/96

ORDINANCE NO. 73-96

RO#95133
SA#28

APPROPRIATING ~~$109,745~~ $101,874 OF CITY PLANNING FEE REVENUE TO SALARIES AND MANDATORY FRINGE BENEFITS TO ALLOW THE DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING TO REDUCE SALARY SAVINGS FOR FISCAL YEAR 1995-96.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for FY 1995-96 as follows:

Department and Number	Source of Funds and Purpose of Appropriation	Amount Debit	Amount Credit
1G-AGF-AAA	General Fund		
CP-CPC	City Planning	80,945 ~~81,945~~	
600-60181 (290225)	City Planning Commission Fees	~~88,202~~	
600-60181 (295013)	City Planning Commission Fees	20,929 ~~21,543~~	
001-00101 (295011)	Salaries - Misc.		63,856 ~~70,113~~
013-01901 (295011)	Mandatory Fringes		16,475 ~~18,089~~
001-00101 (295013)	Salaries - Miscellaneous		17,124
013-01901 (295013)	Mandatory Fringes		4,419
	Total	101,874 ~~109,745~~	101,874 ~~109,745~~

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: [signature]
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE
EDWARD M. HARRINGTON
CONTROLLER

BY: [signature]

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
MAR 20 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
	§	
February 12, 1996	§	February 20, 1996
	§	
	§	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano	§	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano
Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Kennedy	§	Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Kennedy
Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki	§	Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No.
101-95-46

FEB 23 1996
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 101-95-46
(Government Funding)

AS AMENDED IN COMMITTEE 2/07/96

ORDINANCE NO. 73-96

RO#95133
SA#28

/7/96 rh

APPROPRIATING ~~$109,745~~ $101,874 OF CITY PLANNING FEE REVENUE TO SALARIES AND MANDATORY FRINGE BENEFITS TO ALLOW THE DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING TO REDUCE SALARY SAVINGS FOR FISCAL YEAR 1995-96.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for FY 1995-96 as follows:

Department and Number	Source of Funds and Purpose of Appropriation	Amount Debit	Amount Credit
1G-AGF-AAA	General Fund		
CP-CPC	City Planning		
600-60181 (290225)	City Planning Commission Fees	81,945 ~~88,202~~	
600-60181 (295013)	City Planning Commission Fees	20,929 ~~21,543~~	
001-00101 (295011)	Salaries - Misc.		63,856 ~~70,443~~
013-01901 (295011)	Mandatory Fringes		16,475 ~~18,080~~
001-00101 (295013)	Salaries - Miscellaneous		17,124
013-01901 (295013)	Mandatory Fringes		4,419
	Total	101,874 ~~109,745~~	101,874 ~~109,745~~

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: [signature]
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE
EDWARD M. HARRINGTON
CONTROLLER

BY: [signature]

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

cc

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
February 12, 1996	§	February 20, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki	§	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

[signature]
Clerk

File No.
101-95-46

FEB 23 1996
Date Approved

[signature]
Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT

MAR 05 1996

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 101-95-47 AS AMENDED IN COMMITTEE 02/07/96 ORDINANCE NO. 74-96

(Government Funding) RO#95134 SA#42

APPROPRIATING $50,000 FROM THE GENERAL FUND RESERVE TO PROFESSIONAL SERVICES TO PROVIDE THE CAO'S OFFICE WITH FUNDING FOR NEGOTIATIONS WITH VIACOM/TCI FOR FISCAL YEAR 1995-96. PLACING ~~$20,500~~ $10,500 ON RESERVE.

2/07/96 grh PROVIDING FOR RATIFICATION OF ACTION PREVIOUSLY TAKEN.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for FY 1995-96 as follows:

Department and Number	Source of Funds and Purpose of Appropriation	Amount Debit	Amount Credit
IG-AGF-AAA	General Fund		
UN-UNA	General Fund Unallocated		
097-09799 (CON000174)	General Fund Reserve	$50,000	
021-02799 (750008)	Professional Services		50,000
	Total	$50,000	$50,000

/07/96 grh **Section 2.** Funds in the amount of ~~$20,500~~ $10,500 are hereby placed on reserve pending determination of actual cost details. To be released by the ~~Controller.~~ Budget Committee.

Section 3. Although the City has incurred no legal obligation under the Charter, the Board of Supervisors does hereby appropriate the funds necessary for the obligation and does hereby ratify the action previously taken.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: _____
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE
EDWARD M. HARRINGTON

BY: _____
CONTROLLER

n:\ordinance\approp\70sup#42

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

cc

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
February 12, 1996	§	February 20, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki	§	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

_____
Clerk

File No. 101-95-47

FEB 23 1996
Date Approved

_____
Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
MAR 05 1996
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE 101-95-48 ORDINANCE NO. 75-96

ERATTA

To correct on Line 3 and Line 18, by replacing $197,488 with the amount of $197,448, which was a typographical error.

John L. Taylor
Clerk of the Board
March 4, 1996

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

FILE NO. 101-95-48 AS AMENDED IN COMMITTEE 02/07/96 ORDINANCE NO. 75-96

(Government Funding) RO#95138 SA#52

02/07/96 grh 03/04/96

APPROPRIATING $201,348, $200,000 FROM THE RESERVE FOR CULTURAL CENTERS AND $1,348 FROM THE GENERAL FUND RESERVE, FOR VARIOUS FACILITIES MAINTENANCE IMPROVEMENTS AT THE ART COMMISSION FOR FISCAL YEAR 1995-96; PLACING ~~$197,488~~ ON RESERVE.
$197,448

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for FY 1995-96 as follows:

Department and Number	Source of Funds and Purpose of Appropriation	Amount Debit	Amount Credit
IG-AGF-AAA	General Fund		
UN-UNA	General Fund Unallocated		
097-097D4 (CON000174)	Reserve for Art Comm.-Cultural Centers	$200,000	
097-09799 (CON000174)	General Fund Reserve	$1,348	
AR-ART	Art Commission		
069-06931 (289803)	Facilities Maintenance-Budget		$201,348
	Total	$201,348	$201,348

03/04/96 02/07/96 grh

Section 2. Funds in the amount of ~~$197,488~~ $197,448 are hereby placed on reserved; $50,521 for the Center for African American Art and Culture; $49,815 for the Mission Cultural Center; $50,485 for the Bayview Opera House and $46,627 for the South of Market Cultural Center pending the selection of contractors, the MBE/WBE status (if outside contractors are used) and the submission of contract cost details and to be released by the Budget Committee.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE
EDWARD M. HARRINGTON
CONTROLLER

BY

n:\auca\approp\286aa#52

Supervisors Kaufman, Bierman

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
MAR 20 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
	§	
February 12, 1996	§	February 20, 1996
	§	
	§	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano	§	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano
Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Kennedy	§	Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Kennedy
Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki	§	Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
101-95-48

FEB 23 1996
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 101-95-48 (Government Funding)

AS AMENDED IN COMMITTEE 02/07/96

ORDINANCE NO. 75-96

RO#95138
SA#52

APPROPRIATING $201,348, $200,000 FROM THE RESERVE FOR CULTURAL CENTERS AND $1,348 FROM THE GENERAL FUND RESERVE, FOR VARIOUS FACILITIES MAINTENANCE IMPROVEMENTS AT THE ART COMMISSION FOR FISCAL YEAR 1995-96; PLACING $197,488 ON RESERVE.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for FY 1995-96 as follows:

Department and Number	Source of Funds and Purpose of Appropriation	Amount Debit	Amount Credit
IG-AGF-AAA	General Fund		
UN-UNA	General Fund Unallocated		
097-097D4 (CON000174)	Reserve for Art Comm.-Cultural Centers	$200,000	
097-09799 (CON000174)	General Fund Reserve	$1,348	
AR-ART	Art Commission		
069-06931 (289803)	Facilities Maintenance-Budget		$201,348
	Total	$201,348	$201,348

Section 2. Funds in the amount of $197,488 are hereby placed on reserved; $50,521 for the Center for African American Art and Culture; $49,815 for the Mission Cultural Center; $50,485 for the Bayview Opera House and $46,627 for the South of Market Cultural Center pending the selection of contractors, the MBE/WBE status (if outside contractors are used) and the submission of contract cost details and to be released by the Budget Committee.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE
EDWARD M. HARRINGTON
CONTROLLER

BY:

n:\excel\approp\28aa#52

Supervisors Kaufman, Bierman

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
February 12, 1996	February 20, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 101-95-48

FEB 23 1996
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
MAR 05 1996
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 101-95-49 ORDINANCE NO. 76-96

(Government Funding)

RO# 95140
SA#59

APPROPRIATING AND RESCINDING $141,990 FROM SALARIES AND FRINGE BENEFITS FOR THE CREATION AND DELETION OF NINE (9) POSITIONS AT THE DEPARTMENT OF PARKING AND TRAFFIC FOR FISCAL YEAR 1995-96.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for FY 1995-96 as follows:

Department and Number	Source of Funds and Purpose of Appropriation	Amount Debit	Amount Credit
1G-AGF-AAA	General Fund - Non Project		
PK-PTC	Parking and Traffic Commission		
001-00100 (365033)	Permanent Salaries	114,415	114,415
013-01300 (365033)	Mandatory Fringe Benefits	27,575	27,575
	Total:	141,990	141,990

Section 2. Funds are hereby appropriated for Nine (9) positions created and Nine (9) positions del by amendment to the Annual Salary Ordinance (Board of Supervisors File No. 102-95-10) as follows:

Classification	Title	Rate	Created
Positions Created - Index Code 365033; Sub-Object 001-00100;			
AB05 S	Deputy Clerk, DPT	1299B1461	5
AB06 S	Deputy Clerk I, DPT	1547B1710	1
AB07 S	Information Clerk, DPT	1168B1569	2
AB08 S	Assistant Div. Chief, DPT	2036B2267	1
	Total Positions Created		9

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Classification	Title	Rate	Deleted
Positions Deleted - Index Code 365033; Sub-Object 001-00100;			
0320 A	Deputy Clerk	2842M3196	5
0290 A	Deputy Clerk	3386M3741	1
0340 A	Information Clerk	2601M3434	2
0270 A	Asst. Division Chief	4457M4962	1
	Total Position Deleted		9

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: _____
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

EDWARD M. HARRINGTON
CONTROLLER

BY: _____

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES

BY: _____
DIRECTOR

n:\excel\approp\36ao50

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
MAR 05 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

cc

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	$	Finally Passed
	$	
February 12, 1996	$	February 20, 1996
	$	
	$	
Ayes: Supervisors Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki	$	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki
	$	
Absent: Supervisor Alioto	$	

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
101-95-49

FEB 23 1996
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 101-95-50 ORDINANCE NO. 77-96

(Government Funding)

RO#95137
SA#53

APPROPRIATING $150,000 OF SHERIFF-STATE AUTHORIZED SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS TO ALLOW THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT TO REPLACE VEHICLES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1995-96.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for FY 1995-96 as follows:

Department and Number	Source of Funds and Purpose of Appropriation	Amount Debit	Amount Credit
2S-PPF-SHA	**Sheriff-State Authorized Revenue Fund**		
SH-SHF	Sheriff Department		
099-9999 (060012)	Fund Balance	$150,000	
060-06029 (060114)	Automotive and Other Vehicles		$150,000
	Total	$150,000	$150,000

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: [signature]
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

n:\lease\approp\06sa#53

FUNDS AVAILABLE
EDWARD M. HARRINGTON
CONTROLLER

BY: [signature]

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading
February 12, 1996

Ayes: Supervisors Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki

Absent: Supervisor Alioto

Finally Passed
February 20, 1996

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

[signature]
Clerk

File No. 101-95-50

FEB 23 1996
Date Approved

[signature]
Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
MAR 05 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 102-95-10 ORDINANCE NO. 78-96

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT SAL.ORD.AMEND. NO. 8

AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 256-95 (ANNUAL SALARY ORDINANCE, 1995/96) REFLECTING THE CREATION AND DELETION OF NINE (9) POSITIONS IN THE DEPARTMENT OF PARKING AND TRAFFIC.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The hereinafter designated section and items of Ordinance No.256-95 (Annual Salary Ordinance, 1995/96) are hereby amended so that the same shall read as follows:

(Amendment)	No. Pos.	Class and Item No.	Class Title	Compensation Schedule
		Department:	36 Parking & Traffic Commission	
		Division:	06 Parking Citation Division	
		Section:	00 Traffic Engr & Oper-Exp	
		Program:	2634 Parking Citation Division	
		Fund:	01001 General Fund	
		Index:	353888 Prkg Citation Div-Expenditure	
		Proj/Phase:	000/00 Unassigned Title	
		Object:	001 Permanent Salaries-Misc.	
5 Added	5	AB05 S	Deputy Clerk, DPT	SC $1299B1461
1 Added	1	AB06 S	Deputy Clerk I, DPT	SC $1547B1710
2 Added	2	AB07 S	Information Clerk, DPT	SC $1168B1569
1 Added	1	AB08 S	Assistant Division Chief Clerk, DPT	SC $2036B2267
5 Abolished	0	0320 A	Deputy Clerk, Municipal Court	$2842M3196
1 Abolished	0	0290 A	Deputy Clerk, Municipal Court	$3386M3741
2 Abolished	0	0340 A	Information Clerk, Municipal Court	$2601M3434
1 Abolished	0	0270 A	Asst. Div. Chief Clerk, Municipal Court	$4457M4962

APPROVED AS TO CLASSIFICATION:
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES

Wendell Brown
HUMAN RESOURCES DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

By: Theodore R. Lakey
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

NO. 8

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading
February 12, 1996

Ayes: Supervisors Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki

Absent: Supervisor Alioto

Finally Passed
February 20, 1996

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No. 102-95-10

FEB 23 1996
Date Approved

Willie L. Brown Jr.
Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

MAR 05 1996

AS AMENDED IN COMMITTEE 2/7/96

FILE NO. 97-96-2

ORDINANCE NO. 79-96

[911 Emergency Response Fund Interest]

AMENDING CHAPTER 10 OF THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY AMENDING SECTION 10.204 TO REQUIRE, ~~REFUNDABLE AS OF SEPTEMBER~~ JANUARY 1, 1996 ~~1994~~, THAT INTEREST EARNED FROM THE FUND BE RETAINED IN THE FUND TO BE EXPENDED ONLY FOR THE PURPOSES FOR WHICH THE FUND WAS ESTABLISHED.

Note: Additions are <u>underlined</u>; deletions are in ((double parentheses)).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Chapter 10 of the San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by amending Section 10.204 thereof to read as follows:

SEC. 10.204. 911 EMERGENCY RESPONSE FUND. (a) **Establishment of Fund.** There is hereby established a 911 Emergency Response Fund for the purpose of receiving all monies collected pursuant to Article 10A of Part III of the San Francisco Municipal Code, those monies which are specifically set aside for deposit into the Fund pursuant to the provisions of Section 720 of Article 10 of Part III of the San Francisco Municipal Code, and any other monies transferred into the Fund.

(b) **Use of Monies in the Fund.** Monies in the Fund shall be appropriated solely for the following purposes:

(1) For the payment of costs of acquiring land on which to locate a 911 communication system facility, acquiring and installing computerized call delivery processing and dispatch equipment and software, and/or any other acquisition or construction necessary to combine 911 communication staff under a single uniform command structure and to house 911 communication system equipment and staff in a seismically safe and fireproof facility contiguous or proximate to the Central Fire Alarm Station on Turk Street, including any debt service payments related thereto;

(2) For the payment of "Conversion Costs," as that term is defined in Section 751(d) of Part III of the San Francisco Municipal Code, to telephone service suppliers;

(3) For the payment of extensions, replacements and improvements to a 911 communication system;

(4) For the payment of operating, repair and maintenance expenses for a 911 communication system;

(5) For the payment of personnel costs related to the operation and maintenance of a 911 communication system.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
MAR 05 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

No expenditures for the purposes set forth in Subparagraphs (3), (4) and (5) shall be made from the Fund until the costs set forth in Subparagraphs (1) and (2) have been paid.

The term "911 communication system" shall have the meaning set forth in Section 751(a) of Part III of the San Francisco Municipal Code.

(c) **Interest.** Interest earned from the 911 Emergency Response Fund shall be part of the principal thereof and shall not be expended for any purpose other than that for which said fund is established.

(d) **Administration and Expenditures from Fund.** Expenditures for the purposes set forth in Subparagraphs (1) and (2) of Subsection (b) above shall be made upon the recommendation of the Chief Administrative Officer. Expenditures for the purposes set forth in Subparagraphs (3), (4) and (5) of Subsection (b) above shall be made upon the recommendation of the Director of Emergency Services. Expenditures and encumbrances from this Fund shall be subject to the budget and fiscal provisions of the Charter.

Any unexpended balance remaining in this Fund at the close of any fiscal year shall be deemed to have been provided for a specific purpose within the meaning of Section 6.306 of the Charter, and

shall be carried forward and accumulated in said Fund for the purposes recited herein.

r1/2/7/96 Section 2. This ordinance shall be ~~given retroactive effect~~ effective January 1, 1996 r1/2/7/96 and shall govern interest on all deposits after ~~September 1, 1994~~.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

By: Julia M. C. Friedlander
JULIA M. C. FRIEDLANDER
Deputy City Attorney

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
	§	
February 12, 1996	§	February 20, 1996
	§	
	§	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano	§	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano
Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Kennedy	§	Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Kennedy
Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki	§	Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John Taylor
Clerk

File No.
97-96-2

FEB 23 1996
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

MAR 05 1996

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

CITY LIBRARIAN
PUBLIC LIBRARY
DOCUMENTS DEPARTMENT
CIVIC CENTER LIBRARY
(2 copies of all Leg.)

AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE
1/29/96

FILE NO. 216-95-1.1

ORDINANCE NO. 80-96

[Combined Emergency Communications Center]

SELECTING AND SETTING ASIDE CERTAIN REAL PROPERTY AT 1003 TURK STREET IN THE MARGARET S. HAYWARD PLAYGROUND, ASSESSOR'S BLOCK 759, LOT 1, FOR THE CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATION OF A NEW COMBINED EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS CENTER; ADOPTING FINDINGS THAT SUCH PROJECT IS IN CONFORMITY WITH THE MASTER PLAN AND CONSISTENT WITH THE EIGHT PRIORITY POLICIES OF CITY PLANNING CODE SECTION 101.1; AND ADOPTING AND INCORPORATING FINDINGS PURSUANT TO THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Findings.

The Board of Supervisors, pursuant to Section 6a of Article XIV of the 1898 and 1929 Charters of the City and County of San Francisco and Sections 11.101 and 11.102 of the 1932 Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, has the authority to select and set aside by ordinance real property in the Margaret S. Hayward Playground, formerly known as Jefferson Square, in Assessor's Block 759, Lot 1 (the "Hayward Playground"), for the construction of buildings and related improvements to serve as a central communications center to allow for effective responses to City-wide emergencies.

///

///

///

Supervisors Shelley, Hsieh, Teng, Kennedy, Yaki, Ammiano

By Ordinance No. 2732, adopted May 7, 1914, Ordinance No. 2749, adopted May 14, 1914, Ordinance No. 7-88, adopted January 7, 1988, and Ordinance No. 157-91, adopted April 25, 1991, the Board of Supervisors set aside certain real property in the Hayward Playground for the construction and operation of a central fire alarm station to improve the City and County's capacity to respond to emergencies. Such real property is more particularly described in the Board ordinances referred to immediately above (the "Present Central Fire Alarm Station and the Interim Emergency Command Center Site"). The City and County has a need to update its central fire alarm station and provide for a modern, state-of-the-art combined 911 emergency dispatch center and a command center at a central location. This new facility, which will be known as the Combined Emergency Communications Center (the "CECC"), will enable the City and County to coordinate comprehensive assistance and promote effective communication among various emergency City agencies on a City-wide basis. The CECC will provide response and direction in routine emergencies as well as in the event of a major disaster or calamity, in order to provide for the general public safety.

On June 7, 1994, the voters of the City and County of San Francisco approved Proposition B, which allows the City to use lease financing to construct a combined dispatch center for police, fire and emergency medical services and to buy new emergency dispatch equipment.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
MAR 20 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

This Board of Supervisors finds that the construction and operation of the new CECC is a use for which this Board may set aside real property in the Hayward Playground under the Charter. Because the Present Central Fire Alarm Station and the Interim Emergency Command Center Site are not sufficient for the new CECC and the buildings located on the Present Central Fire Alarm Station and the Interim Emergency Command Center Site must remain operational during the construction period for the new CECC, this Board finds it necessary to allocate other real property in the Hayward Playground for this purpose.

On November 29, 1994, the Department of City Planning adopted and issued a final negative declaration for the construction of a new integrated emergency services communications, dispatch and 911 response facility and emergency command center (the CECC) at a site in the Hayward Playground [City Planning Case No. 94.273E], (the "Negative Declaration"), a copy of which is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 216-95-1, wherein the Department of City Planning found that there is no substantial evidence that the CECC project could have a significant effect on the environment.

On December 8, 1994, the City Planning Commission adopted Resolution No. 13785, a copy of which is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 216-95-1, wherein the Planning Commission found that the proposed project is in

///

conformity with the City's Master Plan and is consistent with the Eight Priority Policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1.

Section 2. In accordance with the recommendations of the Director of the Mayor's Office of Emergency Services, the General Manager of the Department of Electricity and Telecommunications, and the Director of Property, this Board of Supervisors hereby selects and sets aside, for the construction, operation, maintenance, repair, and replacement of a new CECC and appurtenances thereto, the real property consisting of a portion of the Hayward Playground described as follows (the "Property"):

All that real property containing approximately 36,580 square feet, beginning at a point on the southerly line of Turk Street, 120 feet easterly from the intersection with the easterly line of Laguna Street; thence along said southerly line of the Turk Street east 323 feet; thence at a right angle south 113 feet 3 inches; thence at a right angle west 323 feet; thence at a right angle north 113 feet 3 inches, to the point of beginning; all as shown as the proposed 911 center site on the Real Estate Department map No. 1 entitled Site Plan - Before Demolition of Existing 911 Center, a copy of which on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 216-95-1.1.

It is the policy of this Board that no property substantially beyond the footprint described above be set aside within the Hayward Playground for emergency center uses and urges the Chief

Administrative Officer not to consider additional land within the Hayward Playground for such uses, except for the subsurface areas underlying the Present Central Fire Alarm Station and the Interim Emergency Command Center Site as provided in Section 6 of this Ordinance, and also except for any boundary adjustments or other reservations of subsurface space that may be necessary for the design, construction or operation of the proposed project and do not significantly interfere with the recreation purposes of such lands.

Section 3. This Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings in the City Planning Commission's Resolution No. 13785, adopted December 8, 1994, that the proposed project is in conformity with the Master Plan and is consistent with the Eight Priority Policies of Planning Code Section 101.1.

Section 4. The Board of Supervisors hereby adopts and incorporates herein by reference the findings that there is no substantial evidence that the CECC project could have any significant impact on the environment, adopted pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act in Board of Supervisors Resolution No. 216-95-1.1.

Section 5. The Property set aside hereby shall be under the jurisdiction of the City's Department of Electricity and Telecommunications, subject to the provisions of Section 6 of this Ordinance.

Section 6. After construction of the new CECC on the Property has been completed and the functions performed in the two existing buildings containing the Present Central Fire Alarm Station and the Interim Emergency Command Center Site have been successfully transferred to the new facility, the two existing buildings will be demolished. Following demolition, new recreational facilities consisting of tennis, basketball and/or volleyball courts shall be constructed on a portion of the Present Fire Alarm Station and the Interim Emergency Command Center Site containing approximately 14,647 square feet as shown as the recreation facility site on the Real Estate Department map No. 2 entitled Site Plan - New 911 Emergency Dispatch Center after Demolition of Existing Center and Construction of Rec-Park Facilities, a copy of which is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 216-95-1.1 (the "Recreation Facilities Site"), and the Recreation Facilities Site shall be transferred to the jurisdiction of the City's Recreation and Park Commission. However, the City's Department of Electricity and Telecommunications shall retain the right to use indefinitely for no consideration the area below the new recreation improvements on a portion of the Recreation Facilities Site containing approximately 11,740 square feet and shown as the additional area for 911 center on the Real Estate Department map No. 2 entitled Site Plan - New 911 Emergency Dispatch Center after Demolition of Existing Center and Construction of Rec-Park Facilities, a copy of which is on file

DOCUMENTS DEPT
MAR 20 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 216-95-1.1. Such area below the new recreation facilities may be used for vehicular and pedestrian access, parking, fire alarm box wiring terminus and such other purposes that the Department of Electricity and Telecommunications determines are necessary or appropriate for the CECC, provided that the Recreation and Park Department may use for storage the portion of the area designated as Rec./Park storage on such map. The Department of Electricity and Telecommunications will be responsible for maintaining the structure, and the Recreation and Park Department will be responsible for maintaining the new recreation facilities.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

_____
Director, Mayor's Office of Emergency Services

_____
General Manager, Department of Electricity and Telecommunications

_____
Director of Property

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

February 20, 1996

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki

Finally Passed

February 26, 1996

Ayes: Supervisors Ammiano Bierman Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki

Absent: Supervisors Alioto Hsieh

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

_____
Clerk

File No. 216-95-1.1

MAR - 1 1996
Date Approved

_____
Mayor

FILE NO. 45-96-5 ORDINANCE NO. 81-96

RO #95143

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF FLAVIO AND JOVITA MEDINA AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $6,162.42.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section I. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "Flavio Medina, et al. v. City and County of San Francisco, et al." Municipal Court No. 117-249 by the payment of $6,162.42.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

PATRICK J. MAHONEY
Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:

SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT

ANTHONY D. RIBERA
Chief of Police

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

EDWARD M. HARRINGTON
Controller

1G AGF AAA 38 02 51
385036 05311 $6,162.42

APPROVED:
POLICE COMMISSION

SECRETARY

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

CC

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

February 20, 1996

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki

Finally Passed

February 26, 1996

Ayes: Supervisors Ammiano Bierman Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki

Absent: Supervisors Alioto Hsieh

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 45-96-5

MAR - 1 1996
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT
MAR 20 1996
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 45-96-6

ORDINANCE NO. 82-96

RO #95144

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF MARCIA RAYENE AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO for $9,850.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section I. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "Marcia Rayene v. City and County of San Francisco, et al.,"Municipal Court No. 112-371 by the payment of $9,850.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

PATRICK J. MAHONEY
Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:

ANTHONY RIBERA
Chief of Police

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

EDWARD M. HARRINGTON
Controller

1G AGF AAA 38 02 51
385036 05311 $9,850.00

APPROVED:

POLICE COMMISSION

SECRETARY

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

cc

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
February 20, 1996	February 26, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki	Ayes: Supervisors Ammiano Bierman Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki
	Absent: Supervisors Alioto Hsieh

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 45-96-6

MAR - 1 1996
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DE
MAR 20 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 45-96-7

ORDINANCE NO. 83-96

RO #95142

(SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT)

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF CONSUELO BARTENFELD AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $14,500.00

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section I. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "Consuelo Bartenfeld v. City and County of San Francisco, et al.," San Francisco Superior Court No. 961-353, by the payment of $14,500.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM
AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

PATRICK J. MAHONEY
Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:
SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT

ANTHONY D. RIBERA
Chief

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

EDWARD M. HARRINGTON
Controller

1G AGF AAA 38 02 51
385036 05311 $14,500.00

APPROVED:
POLICE COMMISSION

SECRETARY

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

CC

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

February 20, 1996

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki

Finally Passed

February 26, 1996

Ayes: Supervisors Ammiano Bierman Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki

Absent: Supervisors Alioto Hsieh

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No.
45-96-7

MAR - 1 1996
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT
MAR 20 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 46-96-4 ORDINANCE NO. 84-96

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF **CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO V. PALACE ENTERPRISES ET AL.** FOR DEFENDANTS' PAYMENT OF $25,000 PENALTIES TO THE CITY AND STIPULATION TO A PERMANENT INJUNCTION.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the code enforcement action entitled City and County of San Francisco v. Palace, San Francisco Superior Court Action No. 969-380, by defendants' payment to the City of $25,000 penalties and defendants' stipulation to the issuance of a permanent injunction against defendants to enjoin all future violations of the Residential Hotel Ordinance ("HCO") at defendants' Dakota Hotel, and the City's waiver of any other claims against defendants arising from past violations of the HCO or City Planning Code at the Dakota. The City Attorney is authorized to execute any documents necessary to effect this settlement.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

ANDREW W. SCHWARTZ
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING INSPECTION

FRANK CHIU
Director

DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING

LU BLAZEJ
Director

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

CC

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
February 20, 1996	§	February 26, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki	§	Ayes: Supervisors Ammiano Bierman Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki
	§	Absent: Supervisors Alioto Hsieh

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 46-96-4

MAR - 1 1996
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
MAR 20 1996
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 97-96-3 ORDINANCE NO. 85-96

(Employee Pay Warrants)

AMENDING ADMINISTRATIVE CODE TO ADD SECTION 18.7.1 RELATING TO THE DESIGNATION OF PERSON TO RECEIVE WARRANTS OR CHECKS ON DEATH OF EMPLOYEE.

(This entire section is new)

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco

Section 1. The San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by adding Section 18.7.1 to read as follows:

Section 18.7.1. DESIGNATION OF PERSON TO RECEIVE WARRANTS OR CHECKS ON DEATH OF EMPLOYEE. Pursuant to Government Code Section 53245, a person now or hereafter employed by the City and County of San Francisco may file with his or her appointing officer a designation of a person who, notwithstanding any other provision of law, shall, on the death of the employee, be entitled to receive all warrants or checks that would have been payable to the decedent had he or she survived. The employee may change the designation from time to time. A person so designated shall claim such warrants or checks from the Controller. On sufficient proof of identity, the Controller shall deliver the warrants or checks to the claimant. Effective July 1, 1996, if an employee has not filed a designation of a person to receive his or her warrants or checks upon his or her demise, any checks or warrants due to that employee may be made payable to the estate of said employee and delivered by the Controller to the beneficiary named pursuant to Section 16.79 of the Administrative Code.

Recommended:

Edward Harrington
Controller
Board of Supervisors

Approved as to Form:

Louise Renne
City Attorney

cc

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

February 20, 1996

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki

Finally Passed

February 26, 1996

Ayes: Supervisors Ammiano Bierman Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki

Absent: Supervisors Alioto Hsieh

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No.
97-96-3

MAR - 1 1996

Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT

MAR 20 1996

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 97-96-4 ORDINANCE NO. 86-96

[Assessment Appeals Board; Hearing Officer Designation]

AMENDING CHAPTER 2B OF THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY CHANGING SECTION 2B.14 THERETO CHANGING THE NUMBER OF HEARING OFFICERS SELECTED FROM AMONG THE ALTERNATE MEMBERS OF THE ASSESSMENT APPEALS BOARDS.

Note: Additions are underlined; deletions are in ((double parentheses)).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Section 2B. of the San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by amending Section 2B.14 HEARING OFFICERS, to read as follows:

SEC. 2B. 14. HEARING OFFICERS. Pursuant to Article 1.7 (commencing with Section 1636) of Chapter 1, Part 3, Division 1 of the California Revenue and Taxation Code, the Board of Supervisors may, by motion adopted by majority vote, appoint one or more assessment hearing officer(s) for a specified time period to conduct hearings on applications for reduction filed with the County Board of Equalization and to make recommendations to an assessment appeals board concerning such applications. The Board of Supervisors shall select the first ((six)) nine hearing officers from among the alternate members of the Assessment Appeals Boards; three from Board #1 and six from Board #2. Any additional hearing

officers shall be selected from among the permanent members of the Assessment Appeals Boards. In their capacity as assessment hearing officers, the officers shall serve at the pleasure of and by contract with the Board of Supervisors. For their work performed as assessment hearing officers, the officers shall be compensated at the same rate provided in Section 2B.5 of this Chapter for Assessment Appeals Board members. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors shall serve as Clerk and Administrator to any assessment hearing officer(s)and shall perform the same duties for the hearing officer(s) provided in Section 2B.7 of this Chapter.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

By: Sandra K. Schaefer
Deputy City Attorney

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
MAR 20 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

cc

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	$	Finally Passed
	$	
February 20, 1996	$	February 26, 1996
	$	
	$	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano	$	Ayes: Supervisors Ammiano Bierman
Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Kennedy	$	Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden
Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki	$	Shelley Teng Yaki
	$	
	$	Absent: Supervisors Alioto Hsieh

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
97-96-4

MAR - 1 1996
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 97-96-5 ORDINANCE NO. 87-96

[Public Health Laboratory]

AMENDING THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY AMENDING SECTION 19A.20 TO AUTHORIZE THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC HEALTH TO CONTRACT FOR LABORATORY SERVICES WITH NON-GOVERNMENTAL PARTIES.

Note: Additions are underlined; deletions are in ((double parentheses)).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Section 19A.20 of the San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by amending Section 19A.20, to read as follows:

SEC.19A.20. AGREEMENTS FOR TECHNICAL LABORATORY SERVICES. The Director of Public Health ((Director of Health Care Services)) is authorized to execute agreements ((with California governmental jurisdictions)) with governmental and non-governmental entities to perform technical laboratory services ((for said jurisdictions.)) ((Said agreements shall be substantially in conformance with the forms of agreements on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 19278.))

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

By: Paula Jesson

Deputy City Attorney

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH

RECOMMENDED:

Sandra R. Hernández, M.D.

Health Commission

Public Health

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

MAR 20 1996

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

cc

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
	§	
February 20, 1996	§	February 26, 1996
	§	
	§	
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki	§	Ayes: Supervisors Ammiano Bierman Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki
	§	
	§	Absent: Supervisors Alioto Hsieh

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
97-96-5

MAR - 1 1996
Date Approved

Mayor

AMENDED IN BOARD
2/20/96

FILE NO. 121-96-2 ORDINANCE NO. 88-96

[Tour Bus Restrictions]

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER VIII OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (POLICE CODE), BY AMENDING SECTION 1183.13 REGARDING TOUR BUS RESTRICTIONS ON EL CAMINO DEL MAR AND ADDING SECTION 1183.26 REGARDING TOUR BUS RESTRICTIONS ON 25TH AVENUE, PROVISIONS OF THIS ORDINANCE SHALL EXPIRE ON JUNE 30, 1996.

Note: Additions or substitutions are underlined;

Deletions are in ((double parentheses))

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Part II, Chapter XI, of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Police Code) is hereby amended by amending Section 1183.13 as follows:

SECTION 1183.13. RESTRICTED AREAS. El Camino Del Mar, between ((25th Avenue)) The Presidio (approximately 290 feet east of 25th Avenue) and Legion of Honor Drive.

Section 2. Part II, Chapter XI, of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Police Code) is hereby amended by adding Section 1183.26 as follows:

SECTION 1183.26. RESTRICTED AREAS. 25th Avenue, between Lake Street and El Camino Del Mar.

Section 3. The provisions of this ordinance shall expire on June 30, 1996.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

By: Linda M. Ross
Deputy City Attorney

Supervisor Kevin Shelley

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
February 20, 1996	February 26, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki	Ayes: Supervisors Ammiano Bierman Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki
	Absent: Supervisors Alioto Hsieh

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No. 121-96-2

MAR - 1 1996
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT
MAR 20 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 93-96-2 ORDINANCE NO. 89-96

(DEPARTMENTAL MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING)

ADOPTING AND IMPLEMENTING THE PROVISIONS OF A DEPARTMENTAL MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN THE INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF ELECTRICAL WORKERS, LOCAL 6 AND THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION TO BE ATTACHED TO THE CURRENT CITY-WIDE MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING AS APPENDIX 6 EFFECTIVE FOR THE PERIOD MARCH 1, 1996 THROUGH JUNE 30, 1996.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors hereby implements the provisions of the Departmental Memorandum of Understanding between the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 6 and the Department of Transportation of the City and County of San Francisco to be attached as Appendix 6 to the current city-wide Memorandum of Understanding pursuant to Charter section 8.409-3 to be effective March 1, 1996 through June 30, 1996. The provisions so implemented are on file in the office of the Board of Supervisors.

APPROVED AS TO FORM

LOUISE H. RENNE
CITY ATTORNEY

Vicki A. Clayton
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

P:\LABOR\VC\LAYTON\ORDINANCE\ELEC6\ORIGDPT 15 DEC 95

CC

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
February 20, 1996	February 26, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki	Ayes: Supervisors Ammiano Bierman Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki
	Absent: Supervisors Alioto Hsieh

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
93-96-2

MAR - 1 1996
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT
MAR 20 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 36-95-8 ORDINANCE NO. 90-96

[92.219EZ: ZONING MAP HEIGHT LIMIT AMENDMENT]

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER II OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (CITY PLANNING CODE) BY AMENDING THE ZONING MAP OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR CERTAIN PROPERTIES IN THE LAKESIDE SUBDIVISION, GENERALLY BOUNDED BY EUCALYPTUS DRIVE ON THE NORTH AND JUNIPERO SERRA BOULEVARD AND 19TH AVENUE ON THE EAST, SOUTH AND WEST, ALL OF ASSESSOR'S BLOCKS 7228, 7229, 7229A, 7230, 7232, 7233, 7234, 7235, 7238, 7238A, 7239, 7239A, 7240, 7240A, 7241, 7242 AND 7243, AND ALL OF ASSESSOR'S BLOCK 7231 EXCEPT LOT 3, ALL OF ASSESSOR'S BLOCK 7236 EXCEPT LOT 1, ALL OF ASSESSOR'S BLOCK 7237 EXCEPT LOT 9, AND ALL OF ASSESSOR'S BLOCK 7244 EXCEPT LOT 17 FROM A 40-X HEIGHT AND BULK DISTRICT TO A 28-X HEIGHT AND BULK DISTRICT.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The zoning map of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby amended, pursuant to Section 302(c) of the Planning Code, Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, by making the following change in height and bulk district classification as duly approved by Resolution Number 14024 of the City Planning Commission, a copy of which is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in file no. 36-95-8.

/

Description of Property:	Height/Bulk District to be Superseded	Height/Bulk District hereby Approved
Assessor's Blocks 7228, 7229, 7229A, 7230, 7232, 7233, 7234, 7235, 7238, 7238A, 7239, 7239A, 7240, 7240A, 7241, 7242, and 7243 and all of Assessor's Block 7231 except Lot 3, all of Assessor's Block 7236 except Lot 1, all of Assessor's Block 7237 except Lot 9, and all of Assessor's Block 7244 except Lot 17.	40-X	28-X

Section 2. This Board of Supervisors adopts City Planning Commission Resolution No. 14024 setting forth the findings related to the Eight Priority Policies of Section 101.1 of the City Planning Code.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

By: Judith R. Baxajian
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:
CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

By: L. R. Blazej
Lucian R. Blazej
Director of Planning

 G:\WP51\RCA\DRAFTAD.LRB

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
MAR 25 1996
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	$	Finally Passed
	$	
February 26, 1996	$	March 4, 1996
	$	
	$	
Ayes: Supervisors Ammiano Bierman Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki	$	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki
	$	
Absent: Supervisors Alioto Hsieh	$	Absent: Supervisor Kennedy

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
36-95-8

MAR - 6 1996
Date Approved

Willie L. Brown Jr.
Mayor

FILE NO. 101-95-45
(Government Funding)

AS AMENDED IN COMMITTEE
02/21/96

ORDINANCE NO. 91-96
RO#95117
SA#34

2/21/96
grh

APPROPRIATING $1,600,000 OF 1992 FIRE PROTECTION BOND INTEREST EARNINGS FOR A CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECT AT THE FIRE DEPARTMENT FOR FISCAL YEAR 1995-96; PLACING $558,000 ON RESERVE.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for FY 1995-96 as follows:

Department and Number	Source of Funds and Purpose of Appropriation	Amount Debit	Amount Credit
3C-FPS-92A	1992 Fire Dept. Facilities Project		
FC-FIR	Fire Department		
CFC-FD2-MP0001	Design & Architectural I		
999-09999 (310029)	Fund Balance	$1,600,000	
060-06700 (310029)	Capital Improvement Project		$1,600,000
	Total	$1,600,000	$1,600,000

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: _____
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
EDWARD M. HARRINGTON
CONTROLLER

BY: _____

2/21/96
grh

Section 2. Funds in the amount of $558,000 are hereby placed on reserve, pending the selection of contractors and the submission of the MBE/WBE status of the contractors and the contract cost details; to be released by the Budget Committee.

n:\excel\approp\31\sa#34

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

cc

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading
February 26, 1996

Ayes: Supervisors Ammiano Bierman Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki

Absent: Supervisors Alioto Hsieh

Finally Passed
March 4, 1996

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki

Absent: Supervisor Kennedy

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

_____
Clerk

File No.
101-95-45

MAR - 6 1996
Date Approved

_____
Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
MAR 25 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 101-95-51 AS AMENDED IN COMMITTEE 02/21/96 ORDINANCE NO. 92-96

(Government Funding) RO# 95158 SA#70

APPROPRIATING AND RESCINDING $25,352 ~~$31,690~~ OF GENERAL FUND SALARIES FOR THE CREATION AND DELETION OF ONE (1) POSITION AT THE POLICE DEPARTMENT FOR FISCAL YEAR 1995-96 (RECLASSIFY COMMUNICATIONS COORDINATOR).

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for FY 1995-1996 as follows:

Department and Number	Source of Funds and Purpose of Appropriation	Amount Debit	Amount Credit
1G-AGF-AAA	General Fund		
PC-POL	Police Department		
001-00101 (385031)	Permanent Salaries - Miscellaneous	$25,352 ~~$31,690~~	$25,352 ~~$31,690~~
	Total	$25,352 ~~$31,690~~	$25,352 ~~$31,690~~

Section 2. Funds are hereby appropriated for the creation and deletion of One (1) position by amendment to the Annual Salary Ordinance (Board of Supervisors File No. 102-95-14) as follows:

Class	Classification Title	Rate	Positions Created
Positions Created Index Code 385031; Sub-Object 00101.			
8245 N	Communication Manager	2607B3169	1

Class	Classification Title	Rate	Positions Deleted
Positions Deleted - Index Code 385031; Sub-Object 00101.			
9205 A	Airport Communication Coordinator	2199B2672	1

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: Theodore R. Lakey
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
EDWARD M. HARRINGTON
CONTROLLER

BY: John Wheadon

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES

BY: Rita Wendell Bryan
DIRECTOR

n excel\Appro\385SA70

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SUPERVISOR SHELLEY

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
MAR 25 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

cc

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
	§	
February 26, 1996	§	March 4, 1996
	§	
	§	
Ayes: Supervisors Ammiano Bierman Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki	§	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki
	§	
Absent: Supervisors Alioto Hsieh	§	Absent: Supervisor Kennedy

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
101-95-51

MAR - 6 1996
Date Approved

Daniel E. Lungren
Mayor

FILE NO. 101-95-53 ORDINANCE NO. 93-96

(Government Funding) RO# 95154
SA#68

APPROPRIATING AND RESCINDING $380,942 OF GENERAL FUND SALARIES FOR THE CREATION AND DELETION OF TWELVE (12) POSITIONS AT THE POLICE DEPARTMENT FOR FISCAL YEAR 1995-96.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for FY 1995-1996 as follows:

Department and Number	Source of Funds and Purpose of Appropriation	Amount Debit	Amount Credit
1G-AGF-AAA	General Fund		
PC-POL	Police Department		
001-00902 (385036)	Premium Pay - Uniform	$40,733	
001-00201 (385036)	Permanent Salaries - Uniform	$340,209	$380,306
013-01300 (385036)	Fringe Benefits		$636
	Total	$380,942	$380,942

Section 2. Funds are hereby appropriated for the creation and deletion of twelve (12) positions by amendment to the Annual Salary Ordinance (Board of Supervisors File No. 102-95-13) as follows:

Class	Classification Title	Rate	Number of Positions Created
Positions Created Index Code 385036; Sub-Object 00201.			
Q60 N	Lieutenant	2585B2585	11
0395 N	Assistant Chief	4635B4635	1
	Total Positions Created		12

Class	Classification Title	Rate	Number of Positions Deleted
Positions Deleted - Index Code 385036; Sub-Object 00201.			
Q50 A	Sergeant	2263B2263	11
0400 A	Deputy Chief	4414B4414	1
	Total Positions Deleted		12

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: _____
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
EDWARD M. HARRINGTON
CONTROLLER

BY: _____

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES

BY: _____
DIRECTOR

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DOCUMENTS DEPT
MAR 23 1995
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	$	Finally Passed
	$	
February 26, 1996	$	March 4, 1996
	$	
	$	
Ayes: Supervisors Ammiano Bierman	$	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano
Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden	$	Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Leal Migden
Shelley Teng Yaki	$	Shelley Teng Yaki
	$	
Absent: Supervisors Alioto Hsieh	$	Absent: Supervisor Kennedy

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
101-95-53

MAR - 6 1996
Date Approved

Willie L. Brown
Mayor

FILE NO. 101-95-54 AS AMENDED IN COMMITTEE 02/21/96 ORDINANCE NO. 94-96

(Government Funding) RO# 95155 SA#67

APPROPRIATING $183,200 OF NARCOTICS FORFEITURE AND ASSET SEIZURE FUNDS TO ALLOW THE POLICE DEPARTMENT TO PURCHASE LABORATORY EQUIPMENT FOR FISCAL YEAR 1995-96: PLACING $183,200 ON RESERVE.

2/21/96 grh

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for FY 1995-1996 as follows:

Department and Number	Source of Funds and Purpose of Appropriation	Amount Debit	Amount Credit
2S-PPF-PDN	**Police Narcotic Forfeiture & Seizures**		
PC-POL	Police Department		
999-09999 (380041)	Fund Balance	$183,200	
Proj. PPCO25	Equipment Acquisition		
060-06071 (380041)	Laboratory Equipment		$183,200
	Total	$183,200	$183,200

2/21/96 grh

Section 2. Funds in the amount of $183,200 are hereby placed on reserve, pending the selection of a contractor, finalized cost details and the MBE/WBE status of the vendor; to be released by the Budget Committee.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: _____
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
EDWARD M. HARRINGTON
CONTROLLER

BY: _____

n excel\Approp\38SA#67

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

cc

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
February 26, 1996	March 4, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Ammiano Bierman Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki
Absent: Supervisors Alioto Hsieh	Absent: Supervisor Kennedy

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

_____ (Clerk)

File No. 101-95-54

MAR - 6 1996
Date Approved

_____ Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT
MAR 25 1996
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 102-95-13 ORDINANCE NO. 95-96

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT SAL.ORD.AMEND. NO. 10

AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 256-95 (ANNUAL SALARY ORDINANCE, 1995/96) REFLECTING THE CREATION AND DELETION OF TWELVE (12) POSITIONS AT THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The hereinafter designated section and items of Ordinance No.256-95 (Annual Salary Ordinance, 1995/96) are hereby amended so that the same shall read as follows:

(Amend-ment)	No. Pos.	Class and Item No.	Class Title	Compensation Schedule
		Department:	38 Police Commission	
		Division:	02 SFPD-Field Operations	
		Section:	51 SFPD-Patrol	
		Program:	1151 SFPD-Patrol	
		Fund:	01001 General Fund	
		Index:	582015 SFPD-Patrol	
		Proj/Phase:	000/00 Unassigned Title	
		Object:	002 Permanent Salaries-Uniformed	
11 Added	11	Q60 N Lieutenant	SC	$2585B2585
1 Added	1	0395 N Assistant Chief of Police	SC	$4635B4635
11 Abolished	136	Q50 A Sergeant		$2263B2263
1 Abolished	0	0400 A Deputy Chief		$4414B4414

APPROVED AS TO CLASSIFICATION:
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES

Wendell Brown
HUMAN RESOURCES DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

By: Theodore R. Lakey
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

NO. 10

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

CC

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading
February 26, 1996

Ayes: Supervisors Ammiano Bierman Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki

Absent: Supervisors Alioto Hsieh

Finally Passed
March 4, 1996

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki

Absent: Supervisor Kennedy

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No. 102-95-13

MAR - 6 1996
Date Approved

Willie L. Brown Jr.
Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT
MAR 25
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 102-95-14 ORDINANCE NO. 96-96

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT SAL.ORD.AMEND. NO. 11

AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 256-95 (ANNUAL SALARY ORDINANCE, 1995/96) REFLECTING THE CREATION AND DELETION OF ONE (1) POSITION AT THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The hereinafter designated section and items of Ordinance No.256-95 (Annual Salary Ordinance, 1995/96) are hereby amended so that the same shall read as follows:

(Amendment)	No. Pos.	Class and Item No.	Class Title	Compensation Schedule
		Department:	38 Police Commission	
		Division:	04 SFPD-Dept. Wide Support & Admin	
		Section:	22 SFPD Communications	
		Program:	1122 SFPD Communications	
		Fund:	01001 General Fund	
		Index:	581082 SFPD Communications	
		Proj/Phase:	000/00 Unassigned Title	
		Object:	001 Permanent Salaries-Miscellaneous	
1 Added	1	8245 N	Communications Manager, SFPD.....	SC $2607B3169
1 Abolished	0	9205 A	Airport Communications Coordinator.....	$2199B2672

APPROVED AS TO CLASSIFICATION:
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES

Wendell Brown
HUMAN RESOURCES DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

By: Theodore R. Lakey
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

NO. 11

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SUPERVISOR SHELLEY

CC

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
February 26, 1996	§	March 4, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Ammiano Bierman Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki	§	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki
Absent: Supervisors Alioto Hsieh	§	Absent: Supervisor Kennedy

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No. 102-95-14

MAR - 6 1996
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
MAR 28 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Question as Divided
February 15, 1996.

FILE NO. 115-95-3 ORDINANCE NO. 97-96

(Demolition of Landmarks and Buildings in Historic Districts.)

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER II, OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (CITY PLANNING CODE) BY AMENDING SECTIONS 1005 AND 1006.6 TO SET FORTH A NEW DEFINITION OF, AND PROCEDURES FOR, DEMOLITION.

Note: Additions are underlined; deletions are in ((double parentheses.))

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

SECTION 1. The amendments herein are consistent with the Eight Priority Policies of Planning Code Section 101.1(b) as follows:

(1) The proposed amendments seek to preserve existing neighborhood serving retail uses located within architecturally significant buildings and the preservation, rehabilitation and adaptive reuse of architecturally significant buildings citywide will provide future opportunities for resident employment and ownership in such businesses;

(2) The conservation and protection of existing residential neighborhoods and areas of mixed residential and commercial uses is a goal of

preservation and can be achieved through the implementation of these amendments to Article 10;

(3) The rehabilitation of the City's rental housing stock and protection of the City's affordable housing supply can be achieved through preservation, rehabilitation and the adaptive reuse of architecturally significant historic buildings;

(4) These amendments would not affect MUNI transit service, traffic or parking;

(5) Protection of the diverse economic base so as not to allow displacement of our industrial and service sectors by commercial office development can be achieved through preservation and rehabilitation of extant architecturally significant buildings.

(6) The rehabilitation of existing architecturally significant buildings through a comprehensive seismic retrofitting program can be achieved through preservation, rehabilitation and adaptive reuse rather than demolition of architecturally significant buildings, thereby meeting the City's goal to achieve the greatest possible preparedness against injury and loss of life in an earthquake. Utilization of tax incentives, preservation easements, transfer of development rights (TDRs), the State Historical Building Code, (S.H.B.C.), unreinforced masonry

DOCUMENTS DEPT
MAR 25
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

building (UMB) bond money, among other sources and incentives, can lead to the preservation, rehabilitation and adaptive reuse of architecturally significant buildings and should result in the seismic retrofit of architecturally significant unreinforced masonry buildings citywide;

(7) The preservation, rehabilitation and adaptive reuse of architecturally significant buildings is synonymous with this priority policy. The addition of a demolition definition will lead to careful consideration of extensive alterations of architecturally significant buildings citywide;

(8) While these amendments to Article 10 do not directly address access to sunlight and vistas in public parks and open space, the effect of these amendments would be to encourage the retention of existing view corridors and vistas and to encourage the preservation, rehabilitation and adaptive reuse of architecturally significant buildings in a manner compatible with their settings, neighborhoods and historic districts.

SECTION 2. Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by amending Section 1005 thereof to read as follows:

SEC. 1005. CONFORMITY AND PERMITS. (a) No person shall carry out or cause to be carried out on a designated landmark site or in a designated historic district any construction, alteration, removal or demolition of a structure or any work involving a sign, awning, marquee, canopy or other appendage, for which a city permit is required except in conformity with the provisions of this Article 10. In addition, no such work shall take place unless all other applicable laws and regulations have been complied with, and a permit has been issued for said work.

(b) The Central Permit Bureau shall not issue, and no other City department or agency shall issue, any permit for construction, alteration, removal or demolition of a structure or any permit for work involving a sign, awning, marquee, canopy or other appendage on a landmark site or in a historic district, except in conformity with the provisions of this Article 10. In addition, no such permit shall be issued unless all other applicable laws and regulations have been complied with.

(c) Where so provided in the designating ordinance for a historic district, any or all exterior changes visible from a public street or other public place shall require approval in accordance with the provisions of this Article 10, regardless of whether or not a City permit is required for such exterior changes. Such exterior changes may include, but shall not be limited to, painting and repainting; landscaping; fencing; and

installation of lighting fixtures and other building appendages.

(d) The Department shall maintain with the Central Permit Bureau a current record of designated landmarks and historic districts. Upon receipt of any application for a permit to carry out any construction, alteration, removal or demolition of a structure or any work involving a sign, awning, marquee, canopy or other appendage on a landmark site or in a historic district, the Central Permit Bureau shall, unless the structure or feature concerned has been declared unsafe or dangerous pursuant to Section 1007 of this Article 10, promptly forward such permit application to the Department.

(e) After receiving a permit application from the Central Permit Bureau in accordance with the preceding subsection, the Department shall ascertain whether Section 1006 requires a Certificate of Appropriateness for the work proposed in such permit application. If such Certificate is required and has been issued, and if the permit application conforms to such Certificate, the permit application shall be processed without further reference to this Article 10. If such Certificate is required and has not been issued, or if in the sole judgment of the Department the permit application does not so conform, the permit application shall be disapproved or held by the Department until such time as conformity does exist; the decision and action of the Department shall be final. Notwithstanding the foregoing, in the following cases the

Department shall process the permit application without further reference to this Article 10:

(1) When the application is for a permit to construct on a landmark site where the landmark has been lawfully demolished and the site is not within a designated historic district;

(2) When the application is for a permit to make interior alteration only on a privately owned structure, or on a publicly owned structure unless the designating ordinance requires review of such alterations pursuant to Section 1004(c) hereof;

(3) When the application is for a permit to do ordinary maintenance and repairs only. For the purpose of this Article 10, "ordinary maintenance and repairs" shall mean any work, the sole purpose and effect of which is to correct deterioration, decay or damage, including repair of damage caused by fire or other disaster.

(4) When the application is for a permit to comply with the UMB Seismic Retrofit Ordinances and the Zoning Administrator determines that the proposed work complies with the UMB Retrofit Architectural Design Guidelines, which guidelines shall be adopted by the Planning Commission.

(f) For purposes of this Article 10, demolition shall be defined as any one of the following:

(1) Removal of more than 25 percent of the surface of all external walls facing a public street(s); or

//

DOCUMENTS DEPT
MAR 25
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

(2) Removal of more than 50 percent of all external walls from their function as all external walls; or

(3) Removal of more than 25 percent of external walls from function as either external or internal walls; or

(4) Removal of more than 75 percent of the building's existing internal structural framework or floor plates unless the City determines that such removal is the only feasible means to meet the standards for seismic load and forces of the latest adopted version of the San Francisco Building Code and the State Historical Building Code.

Section 3. Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by amending Section 1006.6 thereof to read as follows:

SEC. 1006.6. NATURE OF PLANNING COMMISSION DECISION. The decision of the Planning Commission after its public hearing shall be in accordance with the following provisions:

(a) If the application for a Certificate of Appropriateness proposes construction or alteration of a structure or any work involving a sign, awning, marquee, canopy or other appendage, or exterior changes in a historic district visible from a public street or other public place, the Planning Commission shall approve or disapprove the application in whole or in part.

(b) If the application proposes removal or demolition of a structure on a designated landmark site, the Planning Commission ((shall)) may disapprove or approve the application, or ((shall)) may suspend action on it for a period not to exceed 180 days; provided that the Board of Supervisors by resolution may, for good cause shown, extend the suspension for an additional period not to exceed 180 days, if the said Board acts not more than 90 days and not less than 30 days prior to the expiration of the original 180-day period.

(c) If the application proposes the removal or demolition of a structure in a designated historic district, other than on a designated landmark site, the Planning Commission ((shall)) may disapprove or approve ((for)) the application, or ((shall)) may suspend action on it for a period not to exceed 90 days, subject to extension by the Board of Supervisors as provided in the preceding subsection; provided, however, that the designating ordinance for the historic district may authorize the suspension of action for an alternate period which shall in no event exceed 90 days, without extension, and in such event the ((said)) provision of the designating ordinance shall govern.

(d) In the event action on an application to remove or demolish a structure is suspended as provided in this section the Planning Commission, with the advice and assistance of the Advisory Board, may take such steps as it determines are

necessary to preserve the structure concerned, in accordance with the purposes of this Article 10. Such steps may include, but shall not be limited to, consultations with civic groups, public agencies, and interested citizens, recommendations for acquisition of property by public or private bodies or agencies, and exploration of the possibility of moving one or more structures or other features.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

Deputy City Attorney

cc

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
February 26, 1996	March 4, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Ammiano Bierman Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki
Absent: Supervisors Alioto Hsieh	Absent: Supervisor Kennedy

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 115-95-3

MAR - 6 1996
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
MAR 2 5 1996
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 52-96-1 ORDINANCE NO. 98-96

[Underground District]

AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 178-94, WHICH AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 220-79, TO REDEFINE UNDERGROUND DISTRICT 310, PRESIDIO HEIGHTS DISTRICT, BY REQUIRING THAT ALL AERIAL UTILITY FACILITIES IN THIS DISTRICT, INCLUDING THOSE LOCATED ON PRIVATE PROPERTY OR IN REAR EASEMENTS, BE PLACED UNDERGROUND, AND BY REQUIRING PROPERTY OWNERS TO PROVIDE AND MAINTAIN THE UNDERGROUND SUPPORTING STRUCTURES ON THEIR PROPERTY.

Note: Additions or substitutions are underlined; deletions are in ((double parentheses)).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. In accordance with the provisions of Section 901 to 943, inclusive, of Ordinance No. 139-72, codified as Article 18, Chapter X, Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, the Board of Supervisors do hereby create the following underground district:

Underground District No. 310

(a) Arguello Boulevard & Cherry Street, from the Presidio boundary to the northerly line of California Street. Jackson Street, from the easterly line of Arguello Boulevard to the westerly line of Maple Street. California Street, from the easterly line of Arguello Boulevard to the westerly line of Parker Avenues. Lake

Street between Fifth Avenue and Sixth Avenue. Sixth Avenue from Lake Street to its northerly end.

(b) Maple Street, from the Presidio boundary to the northerly line of Jackson Street. Maple Street, from the southerly line of Washington Street to the northerly line of California Street. Spruce Street, from the Presidio boundary to the northerly line of Washington Street. Spruce Street and Locust Street, from the southerly line of Clay Street to the northerly line of California Street, Jackson Street, from the westerly line of Maple Street to the easterly line of Locust Street.

(c) Laurel Street and Walnut Street, from the southerly line of Pacific Avenue to the northerly line of California Street. Jackson Street, from the easterly line of Locust Street to the easterly line of Walnut Street. Walnut Street between California Street and Pacific Avenue.

(d) Presidio Avenue, from the southerly line of Pacific Avenue to the northerly line of Pine Street. Lyon Street from the

DOCUMENTS DEPT
MAR 25
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

southerly line of Pacific Avenue to the northerly line of California Street. Washington Street, from the easterly line of Presidio Avenue to the westerly line of Lyon Street. California Street, from the easterly line of Presidio Avenue to the easterly line of Lyon Street. Jackson Street, from the easterly line of Walnut Street to the westerly line of Lyon Street. Presidio Avenue between Geary Boulevard and Pine Street. Lyon Street between Bush Street and California Street. Bush Street between Presidio Avenue and Broderick.

Section 2. The undergrounding of facilities within this underground district is in the general public interest by eliminating a heavy concentration of overhead wires in a scenic area and was requested by public petition.

Section 3. All work in connection with the undergrounding of facilities lying within this underground district and subject to the laws with reference to underground districts is to be completed in accordance with the Department of Public Works program. Said work shall be commenced and completed at such times and in such stages as established by the Department of Public Works.

///

Section 4. In this underground district, all aerial utility facilities, including aerial facilities located on private property or in rear easements, shall be placed underground. All property owners in this area shall provide and maintain the underground supporting structure on their property.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

By: _____
Deputy City Attorney

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

_____
Deputy Director
Public Services

RECOMMENDED:

_____
Department of Public Works

_____
Chief Administrative Officer

cc

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
	§	
February 26, 1996	§	March 4, 1996
	§	
	§	
Ayes: Supervisors Ammiano Bierman Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki	§	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki
	§	
Absent: Supervisors Alioto Hsieh	§	Absent: Supervisor Kennedy

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
52-96-1

MAR - 6 1996
Date Approved

Willie L. Brown Jr.
Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
MAR 3 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 121-96-3 ORDINANCE NO. 99-96

[Prohibiting Unauthorized Removal of Newspapers]

AMENDING THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE, PART II, CHAPTER 8 (POLICE CODE) BY ADDING SECTION 630 THERETO TO PROHIBIT THE UNAUTHORIZED REMOVAL OF NEWSPAPERS FROM NEWSRACKS, STOREFRONTS AND RESIDENTIAL PROPERTIES

Note: This Section is entirely new.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The San Francisco Municipal Code, Part II, Chapter 8 (Police Code) is hereby amended by adding Section 630, to read as follows:

Section 630. Unauthorized Removal of Newspapers Prohibited.

(a) Findings.

(1) The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco finds that the unauthorized removal of newspapers before they reach their intended reading public, including the unauthorized removal of newspapers circulated to the public free of charge, injures the people of the City and County by depriving them of informative printed news, entertainment, and public notices.

(2) The Board further finds that the unauthorized removal of newspapers infringes on the right of the public to a free press

under the First Amendment to the United States Constitution and Article I, Section 2 of the California Constitution, and the public's right to express and exchange diverse ideas and opinions.

(2) The Board further finds that the unauthorized removal of newspapers from newsracks, storefronts, residential properties, bundle drop locations and other locations where newspapers are delivered in mass for public consumption, has become a substantial problem in the City and County of San Francisco.

(3) The Board further finds that persons taking newspapers from these locations are often selling them to recyclers, and are thus appropriating the newspapers for monetary gain.

(b) Definitions.

(1) Newspapers. For purposes of this section, "newspapers" shall mean any publication made available to the public on a periodic basis (whether daily, weekly, monthly or quarterly), regardless of whether a fee is charged for the publication.

(2) Newsracks. For purposes of this section, "newsracks" shall mean any self-service or coin-operated box, container, storage unit, or other dispenser installed, used, or maintained for the display and sale of newspapers.

(3) Residential Property. For purposes of this section,

DOCUMENTS DEPT
MAR 2 3 1996
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

"residential property" shall mean any property attached or adjacent to a single family residence, apartment or other building used as a residential dwelling where a person would reasonably expect newspapers to be placed for the use of individuals residing in the building. Such property includes, but is not limited to, any front yard, driveway, or mailbox associated with such dwelling.

(4) Storefront. For purposes of this section, "storefront" shall mean any property attached or adjacent to a business, including but not limited to grocery or convenience stores, where a person would reasonably expect newspapers to be placed for the use of the business.

(5) Bundles. For purposes of this section, "bundles" shall mean a quantity of newspapers gathered or bound together by a publisher or distributor for the purpose of distribution to its intended audience.

(6) Bundle drop locations. For purposes of this section, "bundle drop locations" shall mean places where bundles are placed in mass for distribution by authorized delivery persons.

(c) Prohibitions

(1) It shall be unlawful for any person to remove, take, or appropriate more than one copy of a newspaper from any newsrack or

bundle drop location for the purpose of (1) selling such newspaper to any recycler; (2) selling, trading, or bartering such newspaper to anyone for any payment; or (3) depriving others of the opportunity to read or enjoy such newspaper. This prohibition shall not apply to an authorized representative of the owner or operator of any newsrack, or any publisher, authorized printer or authorized distributor of newspapers.

(2) It shall be unlawful for any person, other than a business owner or the owner's authorized agent, to remove, take, or appropriate more than one copy of a newspaper from any storefront for the purpose of (1) selling such newspaper to any recycler; (2) selling, trading, or bartering such newspaper to anyone for any payment; or (3) depriving others of the opportunity to read or enjoy such newspaper.

(3) It shall be unlawful for any person to remove, take, or appropriate from any residential property more than one copy of a newspaper for the purpose of (1) selling such newspaper to any recycler; (2) selling, trading, or bartering such newspaper to anyone for any payment; or (3) depriving others of the opportunity to read or enjoy such newspaper. This prohibition shall not apply to the owner of such residential property, a person residing at

such property, or the authorized representative of the owner of or person residing at such property.

(4) It shall be unlawful for a recycler or any other person or entity to purchase more than one copy of any newspaper, or to obtain more than one copy of any newspaper or other publication through trade or barter, from any person ("seller") under circumstances sufficient to give a reasonable person knowledge that the seller has removed, taken, or appropriated such newspapers before they reached their intended reading public. Circumstances sufficient to give a reasonable person such knowledge include, but are not limited to, being offered for purchase, trade or barter a bundle or bundles of multiple copies of the same edition of a newspaper by any person other than a publisher, printer, distributor or retail seller of such newspaper, or an authorized representative of such a publisher, printer, distributor or retail seller.

(d) Record Keeping: Any recycler or other person or entity purchasing newspapers in quantities of greater than 100 pounds per transaction, shall be required to record the following information for each such transaction: the seller's name, address, phone number, valid driver's license number, automobile license plate

number, amount of newspapers, and amount paid per transaction. Any recycler or other person required to keep records pursuant to this section shall maintain such records and make them available for inspection for at least one year.

(e) Penalties: Any person or entity violating any provision of this Section shall be guilty of a misdemeanor or an infraction. The complaint charging such violation shall specify whether, in the discretion of the District Attorney, the violation is a misdemeanor or infraction. If charged as an infraction, upon conviction, the violator shall be punished by a fine of not less than $50 or more than $100, and/or community service, for each provision violated. If charged as a misdemeanor, upon conviction, the violator shall be punished by a fine of not less than $100 or more than $500, and/or community service, for each provision violated, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of not more than six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment. In any accusatory pleading charging a violation of this section, if the defendant previously has been convicted of a violation of this section, each such previous violation and conviction shall be charged in the accusatory pleading. Any person or entity violating any provision of this section a second time within a

DOCUMENTS DEPT
MAR 25 1996
PUBLIC LIBRARY

ninety day period shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and shall be punished by a fine of not less than $200 and not more than $500, and/or community service, for each provision violated, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of not more than six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment. Any person or entity violating any provision of this section a third time, and each subsequent time, within a ninety day period shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and shall be punished by a fine of not less than $300 and not more than $500, and/or community service, for each provision violated, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of not more than six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

(f) Severability. If any subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, or word of this Section be for any reason declared unconstitutional or invalid or ineffective by any court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity or the effectiveness of the remaining portions of this Section or any part thereof. The Board hereby declares that it would have adopted this Section notwithstanding the

/ / /

/ / /

unconstitutionality, invalidity, or ineffectiveness of any one or more of its subsections, sentences, clauses, phrases, or words.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

By: _____
G. SCOTT EMBLIDGE
Deputy City Attorney

cc

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§	Finally Passed
	§	
February 26, 1996	§	March 4, 1996
	§	
	§	
Ayes: Supervisors Ammiano Bierman Kaufman Kennedy Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki	§	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki
	§	
Absent: Supervisors Alioto Hsieh	§	Absent: Supervisor Kennedy

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
121-96-3

MAR 6 1996
Date Approved

Willie L. Brown Jr.
Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
MAR 25
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

CITY LIBRARIAN
PUBLIC LIBRARY
DOCUMENTS DEPARTMENT
CIVIC CENTER LIBRARY
(2 copies of all Leg.)

4280 #2

FILE NO. 45-96-9 ORDINANCE NO. 100-96

RO #95159

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF KEVIN B. WILLIAMS AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, GERALD COSTANZO, JOHN J. WALSH, HERMAN ALCADE, TERRY JOURGENSON, PETER JAMERO AND EDWIN LEE for $65,000.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section I. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "KEVIN WILLIAMS V. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO" Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals No. 93-16090 and California Court of Appeals No. AO70423, by payment of $65,000.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

JONATHAN V. HOLTZMAN
Special Assistant
to the City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

ANN BRANSTON, Acting Director
Department of Public Works

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

EDWARD HARRINGTON
Controller

1G AGF AAA 97 09 90
975016 05311 $65,000.00
02/06/96

EDWIN LEE, Director
Human Resources Commission

CC

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	Finally Passed
March 4, 1996	March 11, 1996
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Ammiano Bierman Hsieh Kaufman Leal Migden Shelley Teng Yaki	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Bierman Migden Shelley Teng Yaki
Absent: Supervisor Kennedy	Absent: Supervisors Ammiano Hsieh Kaufman Kennedy Leal

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
45-96-9

MAR 11 1996
Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

MAR 2 3 1996

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Utica/Omaha, Ne
Houchen
Bindery Ltd
2014